IS THIS LOVE - a Mother’s Plea

Prologue/Synopsis

This book is based on a true story – one of many, I believe – about the heartache of a mother who found out with horror that the father of their children is in reality sorely lacking in one of the corner-stones of normal, ethical fatherhood. while disguised as a civilised, principled, and socially and financially successful man. A man of good standing in his community; but with a Jekyll and Hyde double personality. His children confide in their mother, describing his acts and behaviour towards them – that exposes what must be one of the most despicable abominations and abnormalities imaginable.

I shared with horror her hazardous journey through the institutions of society that are supposed to fight this evil – the police; the child welfare organisations; the courts. And finally had to arrive at the same conclusion that she did: That Western society per se seems to be turning a blind eye to this type of monstrosity. That people do not want to leave their comfort zones, get involved, and speak out for justice towards innocent children. That the Justice System in Western countries – for the most laudable reasons like individual human rights; innocence until proven guilty; standard court procedure; tangible proof of abuse and the like – seems to be biased in favour of the perpetrators at the expense of the victims.

The book exposes the totally incomprehensible situation that in the course of charges having been laid at the police in two Western countries (the country where the parents reside and the country they originate from and are still citizens of), and two court cases later – one in each country – the children have neither been extensively examined physically by specialists qualified to do so, nor comprehensively evaluated emotionally by qualified welfare officials and psychiatrists in their mother language. At the time under discussion, they were only respectively five, three-and-a-half, and two years old.

My wish – no, my prayer – is that other women will come forward and tell their stories so that Western society will hopefully wake up to the fact that we are failing our Future by failing to protect our children from this scourge.

To this effect, a Facebook page has been created that people can join and tell their stories – and spread the word via the social media.

Unity is strength. Let us show the world the magnitude of this problem. Let us make them aware that there is no time to waste – being left in those circumstances for too long, children struggle to fully recover and become normal, balanced and joyful citizens of our civilised world.

Using the title of the book, the Facebook page is called “Is THIS Love? – a mother’s plea”.
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242 Conclusion
I gave birth to three children in three years. I loved each one of them the moment I laid eyes on them. To me, they are beautiful, healthy, perfect little boys. I raised them mainly on my own, as the father’s job took him away from home for prolonged periods. I never left the children alone for more than an afternoon. I breast fed for 5 years. I bought them farm milk and eggs and bio food, wanting the best for them. They happily ate vegetables and fruits. I tried to transfuse love for music and nature and art and reading. We sang together, painted and read together. We walked the neighbour’s dog together and planted a vegetable garden together. I wanted to teach them about the beautiful world. I wanted to teach them to enjoy life.

They all still got their bottles for bedtime, and when they wanted also during the day. I prepared bottle after bottle when they asked for it. I gave all my time to please them and show them love. I did not ignore their basic needs for my own comfort. If the diaper leaked, then I washed the bedding. I did not keep them from drinking fluids. If they messed, I cleaned up after them without scolding and preferred showing them how to do something in such a way so that they do not mess. They were still learning. Even I still messed and broke things by accident.

If they asked to do or try something, but might get hurt, I would help them do it. When I would not let them have or do something, I explained why, saying that I would not like them getting hurt. I love them more than any other living being on this earth. I listened to them when they spoke. I loved their voices and their faces when they spoke. The innocence and curiosity and trust in their eyes. They were still pure little white sheets of paper. I wanted to cherish and honour that. I considered myself the happiest mother having these three children.

But, everything was not right. Something was wrong in the marriage. I was never able to figure out why I got the distinct impression their father did not love me. My feelings and impressions turned out to be the truth and resulted in my worst nightmare.

The setting now: I still have 3 children. I had given birth to them, so they must be my children. These children are breathing, so they must be alive. I look at my children from a window. I listen to their voices through the floor of the house. This is because my children on the ground and first floor of the same building as the apartment in which I live.

A nanny potty trained my smallest child. My eldest child was lisping. He must have lost a milk tooth. My second child imploringly begs for what he wants, but is refused. I could not hold my children on their birthdays. I could not give them presents for Christmas. They spent their first Christmas without me with complete strangers.

The father drops the children off at will, employs nannies at will, and allows anybody to take care of my children, who I am not allowed to even greet. These small children are left alone for weeks in the care of people that do not even speak their language. People this father does not know. I am not allowed to know who they are either.
A nanny mocks my children and tells them to be quiet when they are crying. When the children try to speak, she speaks non stop over them until they are quiet. A nanny, she was the fourth nanny in four months, told my children they are ugly. How can anyone tell a toddler he is ugly? They are talked over, screamed at, told they will listen, pulled out into the street. No one is listening to them. I listen to this father threatening in his cold voice that he is going to beat them. I hear, I see, and I can do nothing.

My children play in the snow and my heart bleeds to be with them. Seeing them also scares me. What fable is being told to my children about why their mother is not with them, caring for them and why she is ignoring them? Are able to hear me moving around in the apartment upstairs? I can hear them. I wonder if the children still want me? Will they understand that these horrible circumstances were not my choice, nor my doing?

The last time I held the eldest two children was when I came back from an appointment and they were outside the building. That was three months ago. Today is 15 February 2013. The eldest child had made something for me in school and I saw the child ringing the doorbell of the apartment when I arrived. I was so happy to see them. I accepted the eldest child’s gift and gave him and my second child a hug and told them I love them very much. They left for school after that. My smallest boy was inside the house. I had not held him since September 2012, five months to date. Even if I begged, cried and pleaded with this father, it would be of no use. Also outside was this father, his mother and the nanny (she was the third one, I've been told). I ignored their presence and walked up the stairs to the front door with this father calling my name repeatedly. I stopped and looked at him. He said if I am outside when the children are outside, he is calling the police. I just looked at this person. My chest used to compress with fear hearing this man speak, but now feelings of disgust replaced the fear. The woman who had raised him was standing next to him with no emotion showing on her face.

I tried to wave to my children from the window in the mornings when they go to school. The father, nanny and even the visiting wife of the father’s eldest brother, took photographs of me whenever I tried to greet my children. There were now at times four people taking care of 3 small boys. I once put balloons outside the house for the children. The children have always loved balloons. These people took the balloons out of the children’s hands.

A week later this father sued me. This father in court stated that I was a danger to my children, as I had now “lost all touch with reality” and he “could not recognise his wife anymore”. This special forces trained man was at the end of his tether and lived in fear of his wife! He painted a picture of someone following the children around and harassing them. As a result, he wanted an interdict of 100 metre distance between me and my children, also an immediate eviction from the house apartment and an immediate divorce. I could not help but laugh. I wanted to send this man a picture of his wife, since his memory was so short. This same special forces trained man raided the apartment I was now living in, while I was away. This fact was omitted in the court document. It would not fit into the sympathy picture created for this “poor” father.

This father’s problem: he craves what he knows is unacceptable to a civilised, morally intact society. He knows his own children’s voices can expose his secret. Thus he is doing his utmost to keep his children silent, helpless, insecure, confused and as far away as possible from the person who can provide help and security for them; and in whom they had confided — their mother.
Part 2

Chapter 2

The Courtship

The first time I met this husband, he gallantly kissed my hand. It was his pseudo persona that was honed to perfection.

We started dating while I was doing my degree and meddling with inventions. The only downside I could find in this relationship was that it was a long distance relationship. We lived on different continents, but we flew back and forth, visiting with each other.

Before we got married, this man showed care, compassion and had a playful composure. We went for long walks, holding hands. We walked in the woods while it snowed. We met at coffee shops. We watched TV on the couch and went to the movies. We cooked together and cleaned up together. He regularly cleaned his house. He had a relaxed composure. I smoked and he complained about it, but still dated me. We talked for hours on Skype.

He was friendly, considerate, giving, gallant and had a sense of humour. We went sightseeing, holidaying together and scuba diving together. He was relaxed, made jokes, laughed and smiled. He created the impression of being an honest man, who likes the truth and cared for other people and loved life. He regularly spoke of people that had lied to him or that lie, and how wrong it was to lie. His numerous friends, of different walks of life, spoke highly of him. Giving me the impression that he is a charming, honest, caring, loving person, who is trustworthy and likeable. I loved this man. Who wouldn't?

He visited the parents of a deceased friends and kept contact with the one friend's widow and children. His many friends kept on saying: How kind... How considerate... dependable...generous. He told me how he sponsored a friend's wedding. Friends of his told me how they will put their lives in his hands. How he stood by them when most people left. Yes, I got the impression that I was a lucky woman, because this nice man chose to date me.

Friends said they knew he was not going to marry his previous girlfriend. She was wrong for him. Even he said he was so unhappy when he dated her. She wanted to live the high life and only used him. He said: She only fought with him. She told him he had a split personality. She called him a snob. She played on his good nature to solicit money from him. She wrote to her ex-boyfriend that his one brother was homosexual and this ex-boyfriend then spread this rumour.

She sounded such a horrid person. I felt sorry for him. His ex-girlfriend was not the only person to have victimised him. His first big love had an affair with his nephew in the very same house they all shared. He said she gave the reason as feeling neglected because of him not giving enough attention to her. At that stage his attention giving seemed fine to me. I thought, this poor guy! How could such things happen to such a nice, kind, decent and honest person?

He asked me to take papers to the Revenue service. He said someone stole from him, done him in, and avoided taxation. He said he felt obligated to stop these dishonest people.
He painted a background of himself as somebody that succeeded against the odds. His parents paid for his other siblings' studies. Nobody helped him.

He painted a portrait of being victimised by most of the people he worked for. There was the superior in the defence force that did not want him to fly a certain helicopter and he was forced to leave. This superior pulled strings, so he could not get into airways. Business partners took over contracts that he concluded and worked him out.

He found a position flying the personal plane of a rich, international businessman. Several people were against him at this employer. Even his secretary went behind his back and told a story of something he had told her confidentially and thus he refused to speak to her ever again. However, he was nice enough and sent her a Christmas card each year. The main lawyer of this company was such a deceitful person that he just did not get along with him. Someone had to leave this company and it was either him or the lawyer. His contract was not renewed, but he knew why. This lawyer was doing the dirty work for the boss. Someone had to do it and since he is such an honest man, it cannot be him.

These kinds of stories went on seemingly endlessly. He mostly did corporate contract work and someone always did him in. Everyone always wanted his job. They wanted the maintenance contract for the aircraft he was managing and to work him out. He sent me email correspondence at the time, he said, so I can see the lies being told to discredit him. The emails depicted a man that slept while piloting an aircraft. A man who bickered for the smallest of discounts from the hotels to the fuel of the plane. A man that changed from being friendly, joking and relaxed to angry, impatient and aggressive. A man who wanted the air hostess to serve and socialise with him. When she refused, he pushed for her to be fired. A man who disregarded aviation safety rules and made his crew feel uncomfortable and unsafe. A man who did not take care of his subordinates' personal needs and displayed degrading behaviour towards them.

I read the correspondence and thought: Look at how these nasty people are trying to discredit this nice, hard working man.

I fell pregnant. This man was very happy and wanted to get married. I had a miscarriage, but we were getting married anyway.

He postponed the wedding date three times, because of commitments at the employer (where the people were “trying to discredit” him). This made it impossible to make any real plans or book the venue. The third time he postponed, I ended our relationship. He phoned back the next day, saying he cannot live without me and was getting the next available flight for us to get married. I forgave him.

I had wanted an intimate, romantic wedding at a rose garden venue, but compromised. I booked an outdoor venue for the evening, with only our close family attending. The wedding took place early 2006.

Chapter 3

True Colours

Around middle 2006 I left my native country to join my husband in the country he lived. He had left another company where the people were “only trying to discredit” him. This, after he refused to fly with two new pilots and felt sour that he was omitted in negotiating the purchase of a new aircraft. He said they went behind his back.

He informed everyone he knew, including the company they negotiated buying the new aircraft from, that these people “went behind his back”.

Shortly after arriving in the husband’s house to start this marriage, I realised that the man I was with now, was not the same person I was with before. It was small incidents that made me realise this: A few days after I arrived I asked him to help me open a bank account. He told me nobody helped him and I am on my own. Before, I had not heard the cold, angry
voice he used or experienced his attitude. When one of his friends phoned, asking for help with opening a bank account, he was helpful. Weeks later he then did make arrangements for a bank account for me without saying anything. He made decisions concerning my time and life without even discussing it with me. For example, telling his friend he is going to “drop me off” to visit with his wife, without having asked me. I questioned him on this behaviour about 5 days after my arrival, after he invited people with on our honeymoon trip without consulting me. His reply to me was that I am the most selfish person he had ever met. Before he married “the most selfish person he had ever met” he made an issue just over where I would like to sit in a restaurant. For example, in the sun might be too hot, shade might be too cold and what about my view? Continuously asking if I would like something else to eat or drink. His excessive behaviour did irritate me then, but he was behaving in that manner with others as well and then I assumed it was the caring part of his personality.

Because of his changed behaviour, I thought, this marriage is obviously a mistake and I need to leave. My shocked mother told me not to give up so easily. Saying marriage is not easy and two people have to work on it together. I pondered this. We had only been together for a week and this man was jobless and probably irritated. I decided to stay and work at the marriage. I became pregnant with our first child.

When I followed this husband in silence and complete obedience — no opinion, speaking or questions — it was bearable. If not, the man resembled a puffer blow up fish. He acted like a 4 year old, throwing a silent tantrum or ran out of the house, saying in his cold, deep voice, “If you are going to be like this, it is not going to work. You are on your own.” Sometimes he would be approachable, but I could never tell when he was the approachable man. It was always a game of chance.

He had plans to renovate his house and asked me if I did not want to take over the building project from the architect. I did not see how I would manage this effectively, as I did not speak any languages of the country or that the builders understood, I knew nothing of building and was pregnant. I refused to take the project over, but agreed to help. The building plan consisted of a new extension of 4 by 8 metres on 3 levels and a complete renovation of the old house.

This husband again found work and it required of him to be away from home a month and a half at a time. I had known his profession when I got married and this did not bother me. What did upset me was that he ignored me when he did come home. He would spend the entire day sitting on the sofa, surfing the internet and phoning people. When I spoke he would wave me away with his hand, saying I bother him and he is working.

I almost left when I was 7 months pregnant. He phoned me, from where he was, and said he had booked training for himself. The dates he gave fell over the baby’s due date! I reminded him of this. I thought maybe he had just forgotten. He replied, “When am I supposed to do my training?” Recurrent pilot training is a 5 day training course that a pilot does every year to keep his pilot’s skills and license up to date. Various companies offer this service and a pilot booked his chosen course dates. This husband chose, knowing I was on my own in a foreign country, of which I do not speak the language, having our first child.

I did not answer him, but when the conversation ended I started packing. I reasoned I could still fly, being seven months pregnant. A friend of his phoned and I told him I am leaving and why. Shortly after this husband phoned again, saying he changed his training dates and that he had only forgotten it was the due date of the baby. I once again stayed. This friend’s wife and I went shopping a few weeks later. She told me her husband phoned him and told him not to book his training on the baby’s due date. Being embarrassed for having chosen a husband that does this to me, I made no reply. At home he denied having changed the dates because of this friend phoning him. He said he decided on his own to change the dates, having forgotten when the baby was due. Also that he already changed the date by the time this friend phoned him.

In this time I was kept busy with the building project. The building construction was to be
done in three phases. The first phase was the renovation of the apartment on the second floor. We planned to live in this renovated apartment while the construction of the extension and renovation of the ground and first floors of this building were done. There were delays and confusion. Some days, neither contractor, nor I could make contact with the architect’s building supervisor. I would end up instructing the builders on what they asked, in sign language, to enable the work to continue. The architect phoned me, saying I am interfering with his work. He said he was withdrawing from the project. This husband had asked me to take the project over and I then decided to do it. Warning this husband that I was going to make mistakes. He did not seem to have a problem with that.

This husband that was away from home for most of the time was also offered a contract with a corporate jet managing company. I had a dormant company registered in my home country. I opened it with the idea to develop inventions. Now, this husband said we have this company, so we can use it. I agreed to this. He asked me if I would draw up the contract between him and this corporate jet managing company and, when the contract started, also asked me to handle the invoicing. He claimed to be struggling with the computer program and said it took too much of his time. I said I will help. This husband was still working on another contract and gave me names of pilots to contact for employment on this new contract. The pilot I employed wanted to work full time, but planned to leave after six months. This husband planned to work on this contract himself afterwards. He left his previous company for the birth of the baby. He said, because of how he left there was friction and they did not want to pay him. Around a year later he tried to sue this employer, saying he could only find new employment several months later and had to pay for his own recurrent training. These were lies. He was taking commission from his corporate jet managing contract. He also waited for the employed pilot on this contract to leave so he could take it over, meaning he did have a job. This new company also covered the expenses for his recurrent training. He did not pay for this himself.

I had health problems in my last month of my pregnancy and did blood tests every 2nd-3rd day. The doctor called it Hellp syndrome, pregnancy induced hypertension. The baby was also overdue and a Caesarian section was scheduled, which I did not want. God made a woman to give birth and there must be a reason. I drank castor oil. Our first child was born in the hospital. This husband was there. He held a lamp for the doctor. He repeatedly told me, while I was recuperating in the hospital, how incredibly busy he was at home, having to do the washing. I had asked him to wash some clothes of me and the baby. We had a washing machine and dryer.

The 2nd floor apartment was completely renovated by the time of the baby’s birth. The renovation of the two lower floors of the building and the exterior extension still needed to be built. We needed to move from the 1st floor apartment to the 2nd floor apartment for this. On arrival home, after about four days in the hospital, this husband asked me to help carry sofas and cupboards to the 2nd floor apartment. I did not feel well and asked him to rather phone his friends to help him do this. I doubted if I had the strength to carry a sofa up a flight of stairs. This husband was angry and told me I am ungrateful and unhelpful. He stayed home for about three months, before taking over from the pilot which was leaving. The main construction on the extension of the house started a month later.

Apart from doing a stock take when he returned home, which included literally counting the cutlery and making me search for any that is missing until I find it, this father had more games. It involved convincing a person of having lost all their senses, perceptions and true feelings. This was done by using lies, manipulation, repetition of insults, deception and denial of what had happened or what was said. Also included in his games were dismissal or denial of another person’s emotions. To explain this to people was difficult. Small things, tiny, petty incidents were used as his justification bases for his actions and words. Used mostly in not worth mentioning, or unimportant, situations. No, he would say, I never said that, you cannot remember anymore. No, I did not put the bottle there, you cannot remember what
you are doing anymore. Eventually my thoughts were: if it is not he, then it is I, but I don’t remember putting that there. Could it be possible that I cannot remember what I am doing, seeing, saying or hearing anymore?

He would say, no, you never said you’re sorry — this while I was busy saying I’m sorry. When I point this out, he would say, no, you are not feeling sorry, I can see. If I forgot something, he would repeat, see you can’t remember what you are doing anymore. His other sayings were, you deserved to be treated badly. You were rude to me, so I will be rude to you. But, I could not remember being rude? He constantly asked where everyday things were in the house, saying I keep moving things around. When I did not rearrange cupboards at all?

When I tried to discuss something with him, he cut me short, saying, “You think you know everything,” or, “You just want to fight.” There would always be punishment, for example, being ignored for days and reminded by him of what I did or said wrong, according to him.

This husband had other games as well. He would also find fault. Even the smallest incidents, for example, me closing a door too hard and then, later in the same day, I had not closed a door at all. If the wind blew a door closed he would be angry at me and stayed cross for a whole day, because of a door. His reaction was so severe I looked at this door, thinking, am I missing something? Maybe it is not still there and in working condition? But it was. If I did counter him, he would start with respect. Respect for his “things” and my lack of it. And how this, according to him, meant I had no respect for him.

One day we climbed through a window inspecting the building site. When it was his turn, he accidentally pushed the cookie jar off the window-sill. It fell and broke. He turned to me and said, “It is your fault. You put it there.”

By accident, he pushed something off the top of the kitchen cupboard. When it fell and broke, he came to me, at the time in another room, and told me I broke it. I had put it on top of the cupboard, so I had broken it, he said.

If I tried to explain to him he had an accident. No one is to blame. This resulted in a fight, where I listened to him saying I do not have respect for his things. This always evolved into him saying I have no respect for him. And I would be ignored later as my punishment for either “not having respect”, having done or said “something” he does not approve of or for having “talked back” and then told I am rude to him. The mistakes that I did make, or accidents I had, were hugely exaggerated on and I was constantly reminded of them.

I was kept very busy. I had the new baby, the building architecture, construction management, finding quotes for the building, this husband’s administration and the normal house management. This husband also decided that I am his personal assistant and called me this. If I refused to do something I listened to him saying how ungrateful and unhelpful I am. It felt as if I was frantically treading water the whole time.

Somehow I could not put into words what was happening. These incidents seemed so petty, meaningless and small to me. How do you complain over someone saying you had taken a simple small bottle out of the cupboard, but you did not. How do you explain to someone that a small petty incident did not make sense to you? He also made me feel like I was at fault and a bad person, which made me feel guilty, ashamed and this inhibited my speaking up. He made it sound as if he was making the correct judgement on me.

He did all this gradually and slowly. He kept periods in-between where he would be “nice” and normal. Over the years of marriage these “nice” periods shortened while his “game” periods gradually increased.

I became pregnant again when my eldest child was eight months old. During the pregnancy this husband started mentioning the one flight attendant a lot when he spoke to friends over Skype. He sang her praises and told people what a good person and worker she is. Then I started noticing a lot of pictures of her on his camera. Pictures of her and their outings. Pictures of them clinking glasses in restaurants, pictures of them on a boat, pictures of her in
front of monuments, etc. He himself showed me these pictures. He always smiled in these pictures and looked happy. I did not perceive him that way at home. He never looked happy when at home unless he was speaking to a person on Skype. When I asked him if he would take me out for dinner he replied he does not enjoy going out for dinner. He said he only goes to a restaurant while working, because he needed to eat not because he likes it. Before our marriage we used to eat out and he seemed to like it.

Our second child was born when the eldest was seventeen months old. I had decided on a home birth. This father had no preferences and met with the midwife.

It was holiday season and also the builders’ holiday when I phoned the midwife at 8:30 one morning and told her I am in labour. This father kept on telling me I am exaggerating and not in labour. The midwife said if I can speak to her in such a manner, the baby is still very far away. The baby was born at 10:00. The midwife was late. This husband caught the baby. He immediately gave the child to me, saying, “He is not breathing.” The midwife did show us what to do under the circumstances, and I held this brand new life in my arms while he gave his first breath.

This husband did not understand that I needed to rest and spend time with my new baby, and ignored my requests. He invited people to visit the entire next two days and expected me to carry on as if I had not just given birth. I obliged, feeling helpless in a sense, and then got mastitis a few days later. To which I moved into the spare room with the new baby, stayed in bed for a week and for once I ignored this father. He did bring me food in bed. He phoned all his acquaintances and boasted how he had to deliver the baby.

My mother came to visit when the new baby was 1 month old. The builders had begun working again and this husband gave me instructions, as if he was ordering an employee. The building was all he spoke about. What I needed to do. How I needed to do it. What I should be busy with. What I had done wrong. I digested the whole situation and I told this husband he must say thank you to God, because He is the only reason I am still around. This husband laughed, as if I had made a joke.

Still during my mother’s visit I was speaking on Skype with this husband one evening around 23:30. I stopped speaking, because I could see his attention was not with me. He seemed to be reading on the internet and had forgotten about me. I watched on the video as the flight attendant this father had described as wonderful, walked, without knocking, into this husband’s hotel room. She came and stood against him and put her arm around his shoulders. He carried on reading, putting his arm around her waist and sat like that. After a moment he jumped up and said, “Say hullo to my wife.” When he came home, I confronted him. No, he said, he was not having an affair. She did not have a camera. She was asking him to take pictures of her, hence the many pictures of her. No, she came to fetch water in his room that night! She could not find water anywhere else, because he had the water in his room. I just listened to this.

A month later, he again showed me pictures of their sightseeing trips. These again included pictures of the camera-less flight attendant. Then I saw a picture of him, this flight attendant and another pilot on a bed, with her lying against this husband, in the crook of his arm. Not in both pilots’ arms, only his. I got upset and confronted him. He said the picture was meant as a joke. They sent it to everyone at their office, because they were accidentally booked into the same room. I was not laughing. I told him that I am not taking pictures of me with building constructors on a bed as a joke. I worked with them all day in the house. I told him it felt as if I was in a desert, dying of thirst and he was giving my water to someone else. I told him if he wants to live on the borderline where one is not entirely sure on which side of the line he really is, then he can go. For the first time this man apologised to me. This air hostess, after a year without one, managed to buy a camera. While I listened to this husband saying I am only a jealous person. I had feelings of jealousy. I caught myself envying anyone that appeared to have a normal, loving marriage. I even envied people that said they had maternity leave with time to just spend with, and enjoy, their baby.
I used to be very sure of what I liked and wanted. This gradually changed. I became unsure of myself, doubted myself and drifted with the current. I pretended in front of visitors that everything was normal. I even pretended in front of this husband to be happy about things when I was not. My reasoning was, that I will make the sacrifice to make it work. I must just try harder to do everything right. On occasion the old me came back and I would be like a donkey, but mostly I kept silent. I reasoned the more perfect I am, the less he will have reason to complain about me. The more I do, the more he will appreciate me and not call me unhelpful, ungrateful and would not ignore me. It felt as if I was on a roller coaster. This husband told me it is my fault. I was to blame.

I do have a temper and can be a bitch, I knew it. This made me feel guilty and ashamed afterwards if I had countered him. I thought myself to be a horrible person. This man started saying I have hormone problems. He phoned my mother regularly and told her this. When speaking to her, I would tell her something is wrong, because I don’t seem to remember things anymore. My mother would then say, you are just pregnant, you are just breastfeeding, you are just not getting enough sleep, the building is difficult for you, you have too many things on your plate. That was true, but it did not explain the hatred I felt from this husband, because I did not manage to be “perfect” somehow.

This man was mostly away and when he came home, he inspected the building site. He would tell me what I still need to do and voiced his disapproval of things that had been done. He did not instruct the builders. He expected me to do this and I did. His contribution was to ask the builders if they wanted coffee or tea and then he made it for them.

A wealthy Russian businessman he had worked for, disappeared mysteriously. This husband laughingly told me this man had sold a company to two people. I looked, but could not find this in the news. This husband also told me they smuggled this businessman’s highly pregnant girlfriend into America, but, in the news, this businessman was married with a child?

Each month there was a problem at the bank with the payments from this husband’s corporate flying contract. The bank kept refusing to accept the money transfer from this company. They said the money is transferred in the name of a company and the account holder’s name is this husband’s. Using a friend of his, this husband then opened an account at a bank in the name of my company. I found this out when he sent me the new account details for his administration. I thought how is this possible?

I started confronting him regarding tax. I had done the administration and invoices for more than a year. As far as I could see there was no tax being payed. He replied he does not need to pay tax, since he is earning his income in another country. As far as I knew, if a company makes money it needs to pay tax. That he was using my company name started bothering me. I told him I am doing the administration for his contract. Also that I want him to leave my company alone and use his own, like he had been doing before. After a while, mail arrived from another bank in my company name. He opened a credit card account and my company name was also on it? I got cross and told him to change it. He said he can use any name he wanted. I said, “So do that.” He did not. I kept on asking him for two years with no result. I still kept on wondering why he is not using his own company and discovered the answer. He had made me an accomplice to fraud, and he was using this to attempt to threaten me into silence.

He withdrew money in cash, walked over the street to another bank and deposited it into his fraudulent accounts. This was, simply put, a game creating difficulty in pinning the tail on the donkey. The line that lead to him was difficult to trace and proof. I had also noticed it was as if he avoided signing any contracts with the building. He would tell me, “Handle the builders. You can speak their language.” When I did not speak their language. The architect sued this husband for payment for his first work and I was made to handle this, after this husband refused to speak to the architect. He did not answer the phone when this man tried to phone him, but would give the phone to me. The court case lasted over two years. This husband did not attend court once, translate any court papers or
write any counter arguments. That was left to me. He was nowhere. He carried on with his internet research on the current news and phoned friends to inform them of the world situation. Nothing more important was ever happening directly around this husband that he deemed required his attention more than this research and him needing to keep contact with other people.

Chapter 4

*The Downhill Road*

The main house was liveable in summer 2009. We moved out of the apartment on the 2nd floor into the house on the ground and 1st floors. This house now consisted of 3 bedrooms, 2 bathrooms, a separate toilet and 30 square metre extension living space on the 1st floor. The renovation of the old part of the building was finished.

I started a vegetable garden and herbs in pots with the help of the children. I already planted grass, with my mother's help, when I was eight months pregnant with my second child. I wanted a place outside for the children to play. I was told by this father, "You want it, you plant it." This husband came home, was happy with his grass, and took me to the shop to choose a lawnmower so I can maintain it.

In this house, when I asked for time as a couple, this husband replied that he had built the house for me and I am ungrateful."

He became obsessed with cleanliness, damage of possessions and having control over everything. He came back from a work trip and walked around looking for damage on the wooden floors, walls, etc. Sometimes it would be damage he remarked on already. He did not count the cutlery any longer, although these were new. If he did find something damaged or wrong in the house he would have a lengthy, raging discussion with me in his cold voice of: Who had done this? How did the child do it? Why were you not looking? Did you give the child a hiding? Did you hit him hard, so that he does not do it again?

He scrubbed furiously with his finger at a piece of a sticker on the leather couch and damaged the colour permanently. The very next day he wanted to know who had caused this damage. He also, on several occasions, took most of the carpets out the house to the upstairs apartment. According to him I had no respect for them. After a few days he brought them back. The carpets were never damaged. If I countered him, he did his "run out of the house" routine, saying I am on my own and/or I would be punished by being ignored and/or insulted for days for having no respect for his possessions. His conclusion was always: I do not have respect for his possessions, hence I do not have respect for him; I did not appreciate what he had given me and I showed no gratitude.

I became pregnant with my third child. This was a shock. I told this husband I would like to go on a holiday. Every holiday we went to his parents' farm in our home country and I needed a break from everything, including this. He obliged, booking a week on the cheapest holiday package he could find and started criticising everything on our arrival. I was glad for the break.

I started having a miscarriage during the holiday, or so I thought. While I was in the bathroom for most of the day, the children were cooped up in the one room we occupied. I asked this husband if he would take the children to the beach. He replied, “Who is going to watch them?” He was watching tennis on the television and ignored the children. The evening, when I thought it was over, I asked him to get me products at the pharmacy. He did this. When he did help me, he loved reminding me and loved saying that he had sacrificed his time for me. He did this.

After the holiday I still got morning sickness, although I felt sure I was not pregnant anymore. I was wrong. It was placenta previa. The baby was fine.

I noticed several behavioural patterns of this father. For example, when he was away for
work, he would occasionally be friendly and loving over Skype. He would send, “I miss you and love you,” messages and even say it to me on Skype. When at home he did not repeat these love messages. After three years of marriage he started sending me emails containing jokes. Before, he had sent emails only for instructing me.

When he came home and was in a good mood, we were novelties. He would spend time with us for a few days at the most and then he swept us under the carpet, as if he had had his fill and is bored with us.

When under the spotlight, which would be when we were in the presence of other people, he maintained his outward impression and nice guy character perfectly. He would be smiling, joking and have a constant serving attitude. He again became grumpy and controlling when the performance was over - isolating himself in front of his computer. Where he waved us away with a hand-wave when we “bothered” him. Until he pulled us out again for the next performance, when needing to give the impression of the perfect family. Outside the house, he literally bowed, smiling to the neighbours and passersby in greeting them.

He has a relaxed composure with other people, but in the house he was always stressed and in a hurry. His family needed to do what he says, or be ready to go somewhere, at once for him. He refused to wait for us. We waited for him to finish what he was doing. For example, we waited before meals, or if we were ready to go somewhere he would first speak to another person on Skype. We waited, sometimes for half an hour. He was allowed, but we were not allowed to be a second behind. Many times he raged on in the house about me being late, when I was not. When we exited the house and there was a neighbour, he switched, oozed friendliness and had long conversations with them. It was as if he had forgotten he was in a hurry. The children and I would wait in the car for him. This always baffled me.

This man used to vacuum his house at least three times during the day, while we were dating and I was visiting. I used to think he was obsessed with vacuuming, owning three machines. After I arrived, he never touched a vacuum cleaner again. If he saw something lying on the floor or a spot on the floor he pointed it out to me and would tell me where I must clean. He stopped cleaning the car as well. He told me that if I want to drive his car, I better clean it. He complained when I did not manage to get to it. I ignored him and cleaned when I could. I remember vacuuming and cleaning the car in the winter, heavily pregnant with my third child, while he “worked” on his computer.

My marriage to me had gone from, crying when I took this man to the airport when he left for work, to, crying when I had to go and fetch him at the airport when he came home.

During my pregnancy with my third child I got anxiety attacks the moment this husband entered a room I was in. When he spoke in his deep, cold voice, I ran for a window, leaning out, because it felt as if I could not get enough air.

He was at that time living in the 2nd floor apartment when he was home. He even slept there. He was studying for a flying license conversion. He kept on telling neighbours and people on Skype, speaking for hours, how we are bothering him. The acoustics in the building is good, so I heard him. He told them he was not sure if he was going to pass because of this, when we only saw him when he came downstairs for meals.

I drew up a schedule for him to spend time with me and the children after meals. He agreed to it, but never kept it to his own. I had to phone him to find out when he is coming to the house. He would say, “I am busy, give me five minutes.” After the five minutes we waited again. I would again have to phone, getting the same message. If we started, for example, eating without him, he would be upset that we did not wait for him. I tore up this agreement. It meant nothing to him and only served to annoy me.

My mother came to help me for three months with the baby’s birth. This husband was now at home studying. With all her previous visits, this husband left shortly after my mother’s arrival and returned a few days before or after she had left.

In this time, this husband asked me if a friend of his, with his family, can come to visit for a week.
My mother and this husband were using the apartment. This husband was making comments of not going to pass and that he was behind in his studies. This, he said, because we bothered him. My marriage was in tatters. I was eight months pregnant, with two small children. I said no. This husband continued to ask me. At an earlier occasion, when my second child was about four months old, this husband had wanted to visit these friends of his and asked me to phone his friend's wife. She said it did not suit her, because her mother was visiting. I did not continually ask her, but accepted her answer. After being continually asked by this husband, I agreed that if they don’t mind helping, ok. They then decided not to come and booked at a holiday resort. This husband was furious with me. He left his studies and joined them for a day at their holiday spot.

Throughout the marriage this husband proceeded with his games of confusion. I started believing him and decided I cannot remember what I am doing and saying. I told myself I am a horrible person. I had feelings of anger and unhappiness. I did not recognise myself anymore and avoided people.

What I believed literally changed in a moment. It was early evening in winter and we were preparing to walk to an event in our street. This husband could not find his gloves and was again blaming me, saying I had put them somewhere and I cannot remember. I took the children’s double push stroller out of the back of the car and lifted my second child into it, but could not pick up the eldest, who felt too heavy with my back and eight months pregnant stomach. I asked this father if he will help me. He was still looking for his gloves. He turned and said, “If you want a slave, you should find someone else.” My mother, still on her visit, overheard him. She said, “How can you speak to her like that.” He replied, “She was rude to me, that is why I was rude to her.” My mother replied, “She was not rude. She had asked nicely.” My mother is very quick to tell me if I had done something wrong or had been rude, of which I am capable of occasionally. She would not say I asked nicely, if I did not.

A light went on in my head. After lifting the eldest child into the stroller I walked with the children down the road, thinking that this husband has the problem all along. He is a liar and he seemed to be very comfortable with and convincing at it. For some reason I did not understand he wanted me to be confused, struggling and unhappy.

After this incident I decided to spend time with myself for a change. With my mother taking care of the children, I started going to the woods to walk and get away alone. I had stopped smoking completely and now decided to have a cigarette. I used to be a smoker when this father met me and when he dated me. However, this husband did not want me to smoke. His reasoning was it was as if I am having an affair and that he can have an affair with someone if I smoke. I reasoned one cannot tell if he had had an affair or not. Even if he did, there was no marriage to speak of.

I felt horrible, because I was eight and a half months pregnant and started praying to God, while I was in the woods. I cried and begged forgiveness for who I had become, what I had done wrong and was doing wrong. I did not like being this scared, angry and unhappy person.

Somehow I knew at once I was not alone and that God loves me. He did not like what I do sometimes, but He still loves and accepts me even with my imperfection.

I felt a peace that I cannot describe. Things changed. An example of this: I did not have to run for the window for air anymore when this husband entered a room. The change was so remarkable, I remember being amazed when I realised I could just breathe.

On one of my visits to the woods I remembered an experience while scuba diving a few years before my marriage. During this specific scuba dive I felt extremely uncomfortable and left the dive group I was diving with, thinking it will be more peaceful alone. I had experience in diving and had my own emergency buoy. This I needed to inflate and send up to signal the boat where I was. But I could not remember how to open this emergency buoy and was trying to untie knots on it. I could not read my air gauge. The fact that it was nitrogen narcosis, which I have never experienced, did not register. I hyperventilated, felt out of breath, lost control and, unbeknown to me, began to swim for the surface in a state of blind panic.
I was stopped almost three metres off the bottom of the ocean by a man's voice. Then helped to such an extent that I could send my buoy to the surface and continued diving. Still without being able to read the air gauge, but not panicking about it and finally, making a controlled ascent to the surface. At the time I unsuccessfully tried explaining this incident to myself and decided to move on and forgot about it. But in the woods I started asking myself if this could have been God who had helped me? I did not live a sinless life, on the contrary. I always called myself a Christian, but went to church mostly only on New Year's Eve. I did acknowledge and admire what God had created and considered this amazing. I tried to think whether I thought of God or asked Him for help during that scuba dive. I did not. The other option of course is: I was going to die, it was not my time and maybe God looked down and said, “Look what she had done. I had better help this stupid woman.”

I told this husband of God and what He had done for me. I found it amazing, but was met with: It is normal. God speaks to everyone. I was still excited. I smoked for three weeks before this husband found out. He was livid at my “betrayal”. In his cold voice, he raged on about me having lied and deceived him. His hatred was obvious and he treated me accordingly. My mother was shocked, saying she cannot leave me like this and organised marriage counselling for us with consent from us both. He was treating her badly as well, because he said she had also betrayed him by not telling him I had started smoking again.

This husband was diagnosed with Percy's decease at the age of four and blamed smoking (his father smoked) for this. After an operation, he was in a plaster cast for over two years from his waist down. His parents put wheels on his cast and he pulled himself over the floor by his arms. This husband’s father proudly told me his son had never asked for help during this time. I had found this comment very strange, thinking my children at almost the same age, are not inhibited and constantly asks for help. However, this husband told me his father was hardly around and was always working.

This husband told my mother that the birth of this third baby was my and her “problem”. If the child comes before a certain date, he is still around, but after that he had arranged to leave. My mother said there was a smirk on his face while he was saying this. I confronted him and he said he did not know the due date for the child. He knew this from accompanying me to the doctor and I told him that. He then changed his story, saying I had not told him when the baby is due. He still had the paper on his desk on which I had estimated the date range for him. I showed him. He then said he made a joke. I told him that a joke makes one laugh, not cry.

In the meantime my third child felt enormous and the doctor said another two weeks before the baby is due.

Two midwives were on standby, in case the previous birth repeated itself. This husband had no preferences and did not show excessive interest in any of my pregnancies or decisions made about them, as well as the births. My third child was also born at home. The midwife was on time. The baby was a sturdy, strong boy, weighing around 4.2 kilograms. The other children were ecstatic with their new brother. The new baby was calm and had no problems feeding. I had chosen to give birth to the baby in the 2nd floor apartment to not disturb the other children, and now rested in the apartment for a week with the new baby. His brothers regularly and excitedly visited him.

In this time my mother confronted this husband of mine with the way he was treating me and his subtle, negative insinuations he constantly made of me. She said she told him he was only outwardly friendly, had bullish behaviour in the house and had a split personality. This
made him angry. He told me she was no longer welcome in his home. He told me his parents do not even speak to him in that fashion. When thinking about it, I realised that this husband grew up with excessive rules on things like food, manners, physical boundaries for protection of possessions. However, no rules and boundaries on lies, manipulative games and intimidation. I have observed behaviour from his parents that included coldness, excessive control, manipulation and lies. I get the impression, in their rearing process, actions like these were promoted rather than punished.

After my third baby’s birth I concentrated on what I would like to do, not what this husband expected me to do for his approval of me. I decided to take care of myself so that I could take care of my children.

The marriage counsellor this husband and I were seeing spoke of emotional abuse and I researched this on the internet, recognising this husband’s tactics. I also identified some of my own behaviour as abusive. I ordered a book on Amazon and studied the advice in it. I figured this may be another avenue — if I adjust myself and move out of this destructively patterned marriage this husband might follow.

I paid attention to changing my attitude towards my marriage and towards this father. I did not desperately seek out his company, nor his approval or love. I did not respond to any of his games and tried to not let it upset me.

I started reading again. I played guitar again and sang to the children. I started sculpting with plaster of paris and allowed the children to sculpt with me. He would complain about the mess the plaster of paris was making. He did not have to clean anything. I cleaned. He complained about the children playing on the guitar, saying I allow the children to do what they want. This global statement was not the truth and the guitar belonged to me. He increased his attacks and threats in what can be described as periods of angry bursts with in between periods of normality.

This was when I started paying attention to the behavioural and verbal tactics he used on me and the children. I never confronted him. I noticed that confrontations distracted me. Sometimes this husband could be friendly. Then it started again with the slightest thing, for example, if he found (looking purposely) any fruit or vegetable going off in the refrigerator drawer or basket. An apple going off started him on a ranting spree where he would tell me how wasteful I am living. I always kept ample fresh fruit and vegetables, because I raised my children on this, wanting them to have good eating habits. This meant he could always find something to use in his angry raving sprees.

Someone messing or dirt on the floor would set him off in a rage. It was as if he wanted others to maintain total cleanliness the entire time around him. He did not clean, but ordered. His ideology was limited to this cleanliness. Things in the house were not done yet: the house needed safety railings. This caused anxiety and discomfort for me with three small children. He wanted wires installed for a sound system, but never connected this, and wires were hanging from the ceiling. We lived with a light globe hanging from open live wires in the living room. I hired a handyman to fix things around the house. I asked this husband if I could use his power drill for putting up the wall lights and he refused vehemently, saying I am not going to drill holes in his walls.

The maintenance of the house did not bother him. The roof was leaking. His ideology was as long as there was not a spot or dirt on the floor, the roof could leak - permanently damaging the wooden floor. His reasoning made sense to him.

This husband’s main point of discussion is politics, news and the world economy (the downfall of society, as he predicts). He perceives it as a tool to create an impression of himself. He then gains admiration or cause friction, depending on his desires. Because he spent most of his time researching on this on the internet, he had more information than the average bloke on the street. He called his research on the internet “work” and said he was getting the information to enable him to have conversations with others. He asked me to order multiple copies of books for him on the internet. He lent these with
flare to people visiting, telling them what a wonderful informational book it was, but he did not read it.

I noticed this husband’s generosity is false. He is very stingy, unless he could profit in a way, for example, buying big presents for friends or their children to look good and impress them. He would buy presents for other people’s children and he tried to force me to take back toys I bought for our children. “They don’t need it, you are wasting money,” he would say. If I wanted to buy something for the interior of the house, he would say, “You can get it later,” or, “you don’t need this,” or “you just want to spend.” He did allow me to buy things for the house, while my mother was visiting. This of course impressed her. Giving, to him, has to serve a purpose, create an impression and benefit him.

From the change in his personality, I could tell when he wanted something from me and/or when he invited someone to visit before he even informed me. He changed from angry, stressed and unhappy to relaxed and friendly with me and the children. I felt like a piece of meat being put in marinade, made ready for the meal (the visit).

He wanted his rules to be obeyed blindly. Even ones that did not practically function or served a meaningful purpose. He changed these rules for other people. When people visited with children these visiting children would be on the sofa with shoes and/or eat on it. Then he would ignore his strict rules and even give them food, where he gave our children a hiding and used his cold voice. We bought a jolly jumper and all the children used it, but only the smallest child was allowed in it later out of fear that it would break with the weight of the eldest two children. He ripped the eldest two children out of it and went off in his raging voice when he found them jumping in it. Visitors’ children of their age were left to jump in it, while he stood by with a smile on his face. I watched my children’s confusion when they looked at the visiting child jumping, and realised the impression created with them was that they are worth less than the visitors’ children. I asked the parents to take their child out and explained why. This husband was silent.

He would sit at the dining room table, phone female friends of his while smiling, joking and laughing with them. I asked him once why he was capable of being friendly to them and not to me. He said they are kind to him. They are kind? They do his washing? They cook him food? They clean up after him having messed in the toilet? They had given birth to three sons? They had worked at renovating and building him a house?

I received a grant from the government and gave this for the household and never kept money. I started putting money into a separate account. When this husband realised it he threatened to withdraw himself financially from me and the children. He refused to contribute towards the household. If I told him I needed money, he would say, “No, you have money.” I was still giving two-thirds of this money I received for the household and refused to give more. He refused to add to this, so I could manage financially. I persisted and refused to back down. I told him I was going to report him to the authorities if he does not contribute towards the household. My expenses in a month were earned by this father in three days’ work. We agreed to an amount, after several months of negotiations. He never kept to this. Sometimes he contributed nothing. I ignored this.

He was unhelpful with the smallest of details, for example, refusing that the computer in the 2nd floor apartment, that we used to speak with him on Skype, be moved into the house. He would phone on the house phone and tell me to go up to the apartment with the children, so they could speak on Skype. This happened several times a day. I was forced to leave everything, take the children and go at once or he would be cross.

My second child got upset and threw a tantrum when we went to the apartment and I needed to carry him screaming and kicking up the stairs. After our third child’s birth, I had two children to carry up the two flights of stairs several times a day when this husband phoned. It could have been one flight of stairs, but because the safety railings by the front doors were not done I took the interior stairs for safety reasons.

I kept on asking this husband to move the computer into the house, but he repeatedly told me the fax machine will not work if the computer is moved. Electronic technology
comprehension is not my strong point and I did not question this. This husband mostly phoned at critical times, for example, when I have to prepare food or the children needed to bathe or eat. He refused a regular phoning schedule. He had ample time, on standby in his hotel room, to just talk. He could not understand that I had activities that took priority with the care of the children at times. Shortly after our third child’s birth I got fed-up with the ridiculous setup. Thinking that I would find a way to sort out what was not going to work, I carried the computer downstairs into the house. Only to find out that everything, including the fax, were still working. This left me with one question: Why was this husband trying to make my life difficult?

I taught the eldest child how to answer and phone his father on Skype. This disturbed this husband and he said I allowed the children to do whatever they want. We did not have a television in the house and I also allowed the children to watch DVDs on the computer. This resulted in the children watching DVDs when this father phoned on the computer. This man that occupies himself with his computer, waving us away saying we bother him, threatened the eldest child that he was going to take the computer away, if they do not immediately stop what they were doing, and first speak to him. I got fed-up while listening to him and told him to take the computer away at once and get it done with, and to stop threatening small children. He denied being threatening and then did not take the computer away. This husband expected us to be available all the time, as the computer was now in the house.

He phoned constantly, sometimes to say he cannot speak, he is going out shopping, to the gym, eating out or sightseeing. If he could not reach us on the computer (Skype), he phoned the land line and if that was not answered, he phoned on the cellular. I do not like a cellular and preferred not to walk around with it. If he did not reach us, this resulted in trouble for me. His constant desire for contact was not out of concern for us. There was no care or concern in his words, only the desire for control in wanting to know everything. He had a flight schedule, accessible on the internet or via his emails, that was worked out at least a month in advance. I had seen it before. But he did not want to give this to me when I asked so communication could be easier arranged. He insisted on informing me only the day before of his next day’s schedule. When he phoned we had to be available and sitting in front of the computer, not moving or making a noise, unless he gave that person permission to speak. These small children lost interest and started playing. He blamed me for not having full control over them.

My mother told me about a book by a Christian author and said I should read it. I looked up information on this author on the internet and found an odd warning on a commonly used website, claiming her to be “fake”. The book, however, had good ratings and comments on the internet. The book itself was about satanism, repentance and conversion to Christianity. I had met people who were converted satanists and they had told me terrible stories of what these devil worshippers do in rituals. How demons tortured them if they wanted to leave this “religion”.

I started reading this book, but halfway through decided to read my Bible instead. I hardly ever understood the Bible before and got bored reading it. This time was different. After putting the children to bed I read for hours and did not get bored.

This husband and I were still going to marriage counselling for a few months after our third child’s birth. During one of the sessions he got very angry with the counsellor and accused her, in his cold voice, of playing games. Another session he stormed out in anger. The counsellor advised us to get divorced. Get married to get divorced. I had three children. I had gotten this far and had survived, amazingly fairly intact. I knew, just like with the scuba dive, that I could not have survived on my own.

I approached this husband and asked him if he is prepared to concentrate on our religion, Christianity, to help us in our marriage. He agreed to this.

Something that bothered me tremendously was that he was taking the eldest two children, then 3 and 2 years, to a pub on Sunday mornings. He would put them in the trailer hooked to his bicycle and say he is taking them to the play-park, but always ended up in the pub with
them.

I had voiced my disapproval of it before, but he would say the children get something to
drink, they had fun. And it carried on. This time I put my foot down and said, “No more pubs
for toddlers.” In the culture he and I were raised in this was considered very bad character
and behaviour of a father. This father was cross, but I did not think a toddler had any
business in a pub, socialising with grown men drinking. There were no other children. I knew
how this husband got when he was in his “impressing people” routine.
The children did not know of God and we did not attend church. I started teaching my
children of God. How He had created them, the people and everything they see around
them. How He was their Father in heaven and He loved them and He was happy with them.

That children, like them, belong to Him. How He can see everything and looked at them,
saying, “I am so pleased with you.” I told them I am also pleased with them. That I did not
care for what they do sometimes, but that I will love them, no matter what.

I started questioning myself why I was doing things and how do I feel doing it? Discovering
that I had been living in fear. Cleaning a floor out of fear! Fear for what this husband would
do or say. Even in his absence I continued this behaviour. This husband revived the fear as it
pleased him and I responded accordingly. In fearing him I had given him control over me. I
reasoned obeying someone out of fear of wrongful punishment, was not love. The person
instilling this unreasonable fear did not love me. It was done for control and power over me.
It was obvious that the instances he used to display his anger was misplaced. It was not out
of care for us that he got angry. It was in showing care of the wall, the floor, the carpet, the
sofa and anger for disobeying him in small meaningless instances. While he dismissed our
real fears of imminent danger, for example, possibly falling down one story front stairs or out
of a sliding door, both without railings.

I had found that a majority of this husband’s sayings to me, for instance, “If you want a slave
you must find someone else”, was something I can say to him. He did not treat me as a wife,
but as a slave. Ordering, telling me I must do as he says, as if I had no choice or will be
punished. I had worked for him throughout my pregnancies. I raised my children. I hardly
rested with very little sleep. I cleaned and maintained the house, garden and the car. I was a
reasonable housekeeper. I cooked a full fresh meal every night, loved baking cakes and
organising birthday parties for the children. I made cushion covers, curtains and paintings for
the house. This husband kept on hinting, saying I am his personal assistant. Even after I had
decided to keep myself very far away from his business matters. I disliked the way he was
doing his business. It was very far removed from the “honest man” picture he had painted
before our marriage.
Throughout everything he constantly managed to tell me how unappreciative and unhelpful I
am, if I declined to do something or ask for something. He would tell me I never said thank
you for what he gave to me. Which is untrue. He constantly told me how selfish I am, when
he mostly did what he wanted to do. He still led his private life. He helped me just when it
suited him. I could not depend on this help. With his work he was mostly on standby in a 5
star hotel with a gym. No real responsibilities, except making it in time for his 5 star buffet
breakfast, but seemed to still succeed in creating an impression of being extremely
occupied.
I had done what he told or asked of me to do as far as possible to try to please him, which
was impossible. I had tried everything I could think of and was met with anger and
unhappiness. I noticed that these emotions were the emotions he could identify with and
reverted to. This was not my shortcoming or fault. I cannot change that. All I could do was to take care of and love myself and the children as best I could.

This husband still loved telling me regularly that I was on my own. Where my heart used to
cringe, this nearly made me laugh out loud now. I told him, “No I am not. Look up, I am not
on my own.” He did not reply and never said it again.

He became ridiculous with his threats. He left the house for a business trip with the words, “I
am seeing a lawyer and you will sign a contract in front of a lawyer and the counsellor to
stop smoking or you relinquish your rights to everything and I divorce you.” I told him to do
what he wants to do. He returned again, friendly, with no contract and no threats. I kept on hoping this man will wake up, realise and appreciate he had everything a man could want: A wife, three beautiful, healthy children, no debt, a good job and a nice house. All he needed to do was relax and appreciate it, but for some reason he could not.

I had started to take an afternoon off to go shopping when this husband came home from a trip. He would then take care of the children, who normally slept. On one such an afternoon I drove to the shopping centre. On the motorway I started feeling strange and I badly wanted to sleep. I stopped the car, had a cigarette and waited to feel better, before driving further. Two days after this the same thing happened again. I got in the car and on the motorway had to pull off, because it felt as if I was going to sleep. I sat thinking what I had eaten or drunk out of the ordinary that might have had this unusual effect. Realising both times I drank tea. Only when this husband was at home did I drink tea. And the previous time and this day he had made me tea, before I left. He was being friendly.

Later that evening he was fighting with me over something and I decided to ask him if he had put something in my tea. He had no response to my question. He ignored it totally and carried on fighting about other things. The following day, he was angry and said I had accused him falsely of putting something in my tea. I said yes, I asked you and your response was very strange. Once again he did not reply. Just to be sure I never accepted anything to drink from him again.

I employed a temporary nanny to help me with the three children. I cleaned the house and she took care of the children. I wanted to take care of my children myself. A cleaning lady came in for four hours a week, but she could not increase her hours. This husband suggested the daughter of a friend of his, which I have met, to come and help me. Saying the daughter is jobless.

I struggled to arrange a work permit for her. She would only be able to come for three months. I asked the father how he had managed to stay in this country. He said someone had helped him. He knew a lady who worked for a car rental company at the airport. Her brother owned several creches in the country. Their father worked at immigration and he helped him. He had no contact with them anymore. I remember we drove past this lady in the city once and she did not look pleased to see him, hardly greeted and looked the other way. He was greeting her as his excessive outward self as if not noticing her stand-offish attitude towards him.

In the meantime this husband's eldest brother, his wife and their two children where coming for Christmas to visit. This husband scrambled to buy a television, something the children and I had been waiting for, for a year and a half. I knew he was being generous to impress them and used the opportunity to get the children nice, big presents for Christmas. I enjoyed having people for Christmas. I did notice that this husband's eldest brother did not want to go anywhere. He complained constantly, saying he preferred being on his parents' farm. His wife and the children went away alone sightseeing for a few days. I had picked up this "parents' farm" behaviour with this husband as well. I planted a nut tree and he would ask me why am I doing this, since there are nut trees on his parents' farm. The same happened with the strawberries I planted. His question to me was, "Why are you planting this? There are strawberries on the farm!" His parents' farm is on a different continent.

My mother came to visit after everyone, including this husband, had left. But he was still upset by her visit, even though he was not at home, busy with his plans and agenda. Over the phone he continued saying my mother is never allowed in his house again and he will get a court interdict for it. After my mother visited with the last child's birth, this husband never picked up the phone again when he saw it was my mother phoning. This husband used to phone my mother regularly and this had stopped after her visit with our third child's birth.

This was one of this husband's characteristics. He did not have forgiveness and never ever forgave someone that he felt had done him wrong. In business, personal life, big wrong, small wrong. It did not matter.

After my mother left, this husband's friend's daughter, whom this husband had suggested,
arrived to come and help me. She was living in the 2nd floor apartment. Amongst other problems, I got the impression she was watching me. For example, I was talking to my mother on Skype one evening around 23:00. I had just finished speaking when she came from the apartment into the house for no other reason, but to asked me who I had been speaking to?

She lost my second child one morning. He wanted to go with me to drop off the eldest at school. He was still in his pyjamas, was having tantrums when I tried to dress him and I left him. While I was leaving he had another tantrum. I asked her to take him into the house and left. When I came back from dropping the eldest child off at school, this nanny was running around outside, saying the child is missing. She had left him downstairs and gone up to the dining room to eat breakfast. She said he was still screaming and she could hear him, but then it became quiet. The children had rods to catch plastic ducks with and a purple one was lying on the sidewalk. It was not there when I had left. I searched through the house and phoned the police when I could not find him. Then I drove around with the car and this girl was looking in the neighbours' backyards. I did feel like giving her a piece of my mind, but it would have taken up valuable time. I noticed she was clearly stressed and felt remorse, so I calmed down.

The child had red pyjamas on and I just concentrated on finding red. Someone found him next to the road almost 1 kilometre from the house. The police took me to him and when I saw him I was so happy. I did not know if I should cry or scold him, so I did both at the same time, but mainly I was happy and content to hold him again.

This girl wanted to stay longer than planned. When she asked me, I told her I don’t think it a good idea with her visa. She then asked his husband, who phoned me and told me to extend her flight. I refused, wondering why they kept on asking me to extend her flight when all of them are capable of doing it themselves. Someone then did extend her stay for 3 weeks.

In this time I started going to church and also took the children with me. This husband later joined us. At this point he had moved the smallest child’s bed out of the main bedroom into the spare bedroom downstairs. He said we bother him at night. I was still breastfeeding the child during the night and moved with him. This husband said he did not care where I sleep.

It turned out to be marvellously peaceful not having someone ignoring you for what you have done wrong during the day or for what he said you have done during the night to disturb him.

Over breakfast one morning my second child, out of the blue, said, “Jesus is speaking to me, but I am not going to speak to him.” I looked at this child that had not turned two and a half yet and frowned. But then thought I was the last person to say who Jesus can speak too. I told the child, “Jesus is a nice man. You can speak to him if you want.” He did not continue speaking and I left it there.

We were going to this husband’s parents’ farm again for the holiday. The night before we left, this husband slept in the apartment. Before he left, he told me he wanted everything packed and ready by 11:00am the next day. I washed, ironed and packed the suitcases he had brought up from the garage. The first thing he said to me the next morning when I came up from the room was that he did not tell me to pack!

He kept on saying the one bag is too heavy. It weighed 22 kg. It was his very own bag that he had packed himself?

He had 6 bottles of wine that he wanted to role up in my clothes. I did not want this. He said if I do not unpack my suitcase, we are not going. I refused and he carried on threatening after which I gave him my packed suitcase and left the house for the post office, praying for patience and help. When I came back he had unpacked my suitcase, wrapped his wine bottles in my clothes and put this in the suitcase. The rest of my clothes were in his “overweight bag”. He was happy, his wine bottles were secure, his belongings safe and he was going to his parents’ farm.

On these holidays this husband shot and killed many animals. This husband’s father told me
proudly how his son, as a child of four years old, had killed his first animal. It was a chicken that he was supposed to chase out of the garden. He laughingly said that his son killed it and said it will never be naughty again. Ironically, he and his family still tell the children they kill animals, because these animals are “naughty”. What a fear filled idea to put into a small child’s head of what happens if you are naughty. He and his family even clobber the porcupines in the dam wall to death. They will invite the children with them for this. I refused that the children witness this. There were preventative measures to take that would prohibit these animals from making their habitation, “in their naughtiness”, in the dam wall on the farm.

During these holiday visits, this husband’s youngest brother made constant religious comments. For example, saying I am a sun worshipper, because I was going to church on a Sunday and celebrated Christmas, which he said is not the day Jesus was born. He was reading books of an author that proclaimed aliens are walking amongst us and are we ready for a new world. He watched DVDs on the Illuminati, etc. He made copies for this husband of the DVDs and I was asked by this husband to order the books. I refused. This holiday his youngest brother was persistently harassing me, saying Jesus was poled, not crucified, and the New Testament is not worth reading. I told him the devil utilises an upside down or bent cross as his symbols and I am sure he knows. This youngest brother made no reply and stopped his religious comments, but showed intense dislike towards me.

We went to another family farm. Here, this husband carried on hunting with his bow and arrow, and spent his days in a hut by a water hole for the animals. After a few days he invited me with to this hut. I was surprised because his invitations to me were few and far between after we were married. I disliked seeing something killed, unless for purposeful use, and this husband knew it and even agreed not to shoot any animals in my presence. Once again he was a different person. He was smiling, relaxed, talked freely and was very amicable. I had noticed that this family farm is where he appeared most comfortable. This was the place where he and I mostly visited while we were dating. Then he did not own a bow and arrow and went hunting with a gun, but killed one buck during our entire visit and gave the meat to people. Not average three animals a day, as he did now.

When we came back home from the holiday my second child kept on telling his father he knew where he can go hunting. He can shoot the buck at the zoo. This father found this comment very amusing, and repeated it laughingly to people he spoke to on the phone, as if this child was making a big joke. I found it observant of the child, but not hilariously funny and did not understand the “joke”.

I had continued to read my Bible and prayed whenever I saw an opportunity. We were still going to church. Every night I was teaching the children how to pray the “Our Father” prayer and read to them out of the Children’s Bible.

My life was good to an extent that I could manage and once again I had God to thank for saving me.

This husband planned to be home for a month and I dreaded the thought. But, nearly the same man I had known before we were married, returned from his work trip for this month. I was pleasantly surprised and enjoyed having him home. He joked around, appeared relaxed, smiled and was playful. He was making time to be with us and did not prefer solace, reading on his computer. He did not speak in his raging, cold voice. He asked me to stop smoking, because it was unhealthy. No threats or anger detectable? He teased me jokingly about my quirkiness. He had not done that since we have been married. He even jumped literally at the chance to sit next to me on the couch to watch television. Since we were married this was something I did on my own.

In the mornings he came to the bedroom where I was sleeping and got into bed with me, saying he misses his wife, asking if I don’t want to move back into the main bedroom. In the more or less 10 months we had slept separately he never made advances, never came to the bedroom I used and never asked me to move back! We were still intimate, but only when I had approached him.
I relished the peace and the fact that he was not searching for something to fight over. But I did not want to make rash decisions and decided not to move bedrooms, until this change was proven. I was inwardly excited and optimistic that there will be normality in our relationship. I had prayed for this and once again I thanked God.

This husband left for two weeks to work and when he came back the difference in him was remarkable. While I waited for him to arrive at the airport, I browsed through magazines at the stand. He walked up behind me and said, “You have read long enough for free now.” I noticed his disapproving tone and cold voice. I turned around, hoping he might be joking, but found an annoyed person with no humour.

At home he grumbled about this spot, that mark, who had done this and that. The angry, unhappy and stressed man had resurfaced. I asked him if he notices a change in himself, but he refuted this. Did he earnestly not know or was he playing games? Which ever way, something was seriously wrong and I needed support. I approached the pastor of the church we were attending. His wife was away at the time and I took him soup and told him the happy family that walks out of church on a Sunday is a show. I told him we had had marriage counselling and were advised to get divorced, but I had continued to try. I told him the only real emotions I can find inside this husband was anger and unhappiness. He asked me if there was something he should know and I told him I had started smoking again, while eight months pregnant with my third child.

Chapter 5

Abuse for Discipline

This father preferred avoiding reprimanding a child, and would call me to do it. Saying it was my fault that the child had done wrong. For example, the child sat speaking to him on Skype and broke the keys off the computer keyboard. This father called me, saying I must tell the child to stop. If I asked him why he does not reprimand the child, he replied, “You want to make me the bad guy.” This was especially the situation with our first child. This pattern changed at times around each child reaching approximately two and a half years of age. Then this father started punishing, using actions, techniques and “games” that to me were abusive and controlling, but he called it discipline.

This father’s pattern, when he returned from a work trip, consisted of: Giving the children treats, starting in the car on the way back from the airport. At home he hid sweets in his bedroom cupboard and put sweets out of reach for them on the bookshelf where they watched DVD’s. In the house he would be amicable, occasionally bearing gifts and, for a few days, be forgiving of their mistakes. In this period, he expected me to reprimand or punish them. When he started his routine stock take, of going through the house for damage, his anger was directed at me. Why did you not look what the child was doing, etc. Did you punish the child hard enough, so he would not do it again, etc.

When this amicable period with the children passed, he became bored with them and be unavailable. He sat at his computer, did not look up and ignored them when they spoke. He would have outbursts of anger at them. For example, when they accidentally messed, he would talk to them in his raging, cold voice, or storm at them and give them a hiding. They were ordered by him to get something to clean up with and then he stood over them while they were cleaning, giving them instructions on where they must still clean. These small children were not maliciously damaging property, they had accidents. They were still learning how to do things and his behaviour was extreme to me.

He would punish them severely for the smallest misgivings and used words that I found misplaced. If a child accidentally messed or broke something, he would tell the child in his raging, cold voice they had “hurt” the carpet, floor, toy, wall or chair. Ironically while giving them a hiding (hurting them), will tell them in his voice that they had “hurt” this possession.
When the children fell and got hurt he told them they fell and are hurting now, because they did not listen to him when he told them to do something earlier or even the previous day.

He attributed unfounded guilt on them, for example, bumping their foreheads hard with his forehead. Then he held his head, saying, “Aiwa, aiwa.” This father’s word for sore. Then he said to the child, “You had hurt daddy.” If I pointed out that he was the one causing the hurt he would say to them, “Mommy does not want daddy to play with you.”

This father wanted me to give all 3 children a hiding when one had done something wrong. He said he and his siblings were punished in this manner. They had one bicycle between them as children and when one child did something wrong with it, the bicycle was taken away from all of them. He said his father came home from the farm land and would give them all a hiding without speaking.

This father stood in front of me in the bathroom, while the children were bathing, ordering me to give all three of them a hiding. One child messed water out of the bath. This father reasoned that because the other children did not stop their brother, they were also to be punished. I refused. Apart from that, I found this “offence” not worthy of punishment. I allowed them to play in the bath and would put towels on the floor for this purpose. I kept on refusing this father’s order and he got cross, saying I undermine his authority in front of his children.

When at home this father loved changing the children’s nappies. He always volunteered when a nappy needed to be changed.

When the eldest boy was potty training he had many accidents. Also on this father’s silk carpet. This father was livid with the child and gave him a hiding. I got cross and told this man to leave his news and politics for a while and rather read up on how to potty train a child, because what he was doing was not helping his child. This father’s response was removing his silk carpets permanently into storage.

He was obsessed with the hygiene of the children. When he did bathe them he vigorously scrubbed them all over with a tremendous amount of soap. He would continuously, during a day, told them to go and get the cloth, while telling them, “You are dirty.”

This father loves to play with the children physically, as if they are toys. Up to the child’s age of about two and a half he would stand on his hands and knees next to the lying child. He would make piglike snorting/grunting noises and biting actions, pressing his mouth and face all over the child’s body. If the child tries to get away he pulled the child back into a lying position in front of him by an arm or a leg and continued his actions. His other “physical game” started when the children got older. He laid on his back on the carpet or on the big pouf cushion. The children would “attack” him and he trapped them between his legs or held them by an arm or leg ‘tickle, pinch’ them. This he did on their lower stomachs, in their groins and below their buttocks. He concentrated on these areas. The children confusedly cried-laugh while he did this. When they started crying out to me for help, he continued and did not release them. He calls it “wrestling”. His enthusiasm for this physical contact game with the boys interested him. It lasted at least for half an hour. This “wrestling” was reserved for the time before their bedtime.

On occasion a child would start crying. If I spoke up, saying he is hurting them, he told the boys, “Mommy does not want daddy to play with you.”

He scarcely played soccer or any other activity with the children that did not involve this physical contact. When he did, when asked by them, he showed clear disinterest and lack of enthusiasm with doing it and it never lasted more than a few minutes. He kept control of the ball or whatever they were playing with and never relinquished this.

I found a play bow and arrow with a sucking action and a target for the children. They shot with this on their own, only needing a little guidance. They insisted on shooting alone. It was
as if this father did not want them to feel accomplished on their own or to have their own personal interests. He bought an advanced version of a crossbow for older children and locked away the bow and arrow they played with independently, even from me. They were now dependent on him if they wanted to shoot. He made them sit between his legs while he held the crossbow. The eldest child wanted to shoot with the old bow and arrow and not the crossbow, but this father would repeatedly say, “Later,” or “after you have done this.” But he never gave it to the child.

He showed no interest in what the children did at school or went to parent-teacher meetings. His only concern was teaching them how to count. He showed me an article on the internet, where a mother made her daughter sit in front of the piano and refused her the bathroom and food until she managed to play a piece on the piano. He used this as an example of how I should raise the children. (http://www.livescience.com/18023-tiger-parenting-tough-kids.html) I disagreed with him and the parent that did this. I am their mother. This position is most descriptive making use of the word nurture, not torture.

I preferred allowing my children to choose their own interests, and did introductions to what is available for them to do. I said to them, “You can manage to do anything you really wanted to do by doing it repeatedly and not giving up, until you manage to do it.” I told them, because everyone is different, they might be better at doing something than their brothers and their brothers again better at doing something than they are. I made sure they know I love them just as they are.

When the house we lived in was under construction and the doors were put in, this father asked me why there were no locks on the doors I had ordered. He wanted to know how we would keep the children in the room without a lock? This father had told me when they were children their mother locked them in a room. He said if his mother found them outside, she gave them a hiding and locked them back in the room.

He wanted the children to get the food they had not eaten at one meal for the next meals as well, until they have eaten it. I refused to do this. He told me he did not eat liver as a child and had stayed without food for three days, because he got it at every meal. On one of our visits on his parents’ farm, the grandfather wanted to repeat this with my eldest boy during breakfast. The child said he had eaten enough and this father’s father told him he was going to get the porridge for every meal, until he ate it. I took the children outside, went back inside, took his left over porridge and gave it to the dogs. They got the family’s left over porridge in any case.

This father, at mealtimes, licked his plate clean, using his finger. Initially I thought it was because he enjoyed the food. When I commented on this behaviour later, as an inappropriate example to the children, he told me I had obviously never gone hungry.

After I declined to repeatedly give a plate of food a child did not want to eat, this father started force feeding the children after they said they had had enough to eat. He stood next to them and put each bite into their mouths, sometimes not waiting for them to swallow the previous mouthful. The eldest child, on occasion, got nauseous. While he did this, he kept on praising them. I tried to make the arrangement with him that when there is a bite or two left, the children can finish it. But if half the plate is left, not to force that on them. He always reverted back to forcing this food on them. I noticed that sometimes they ate little and sometimes they ate a lot, depending on what they felt they needed. My children ate all vegetables and fruits. I saw no reason to make eating a punishment.

My children had their scheduled eating times, which consisted of three main meals and two snack times in-between these, depending on them. When we visited this father’s parents’ farm, I asked his mother for left over food or an egg when it was the children’s scheduled eating time. This father was extremely upset and told me I expect everyone to adjust to me. Everyone else, including me, ate at whatever time the grown-ups ate, which would not be at a scheduled time. It depended on when his youngest brother and his father returned from the land. On most occasions only at 14:30 in the
afternoon and 21:00 at night. This was out of my children’s schedule and I could not expect them to go to bed hungry. This father expected his children/toddlers to go hungry, so that his mother did not have to boil eggs for them. While she smilingly refused any offers of help in her kitchen.

While growing up I was never forced to wait for someone to give me food. We got our meals and could help ourselves in between meals to what ever was available when we were hungry. I told my children they were allowed fruit or a snack any time during the day and could take it themselves or ask for help. This father’s family, even as grown-ups, still wait for their mother to give them food. For example, one evening at 21:30, after having to wait for this father’s father and brother to return. All these grown people sat around the table, without speaking, waiting for food. But, their mother and/or wife made no attempt nor gave any sign of “bringing” any food. She started making a mix to bake a cake and they sat waiting quietly as if there was an unspoken law. They usually had bread and jam for dinner. I stood up and asked her if I may set the table and went and fetched the bread, etc.

I noticed this father took turns picking on a child. He picked on the second child the most and then at other times he would treat him as a favourite in front of the other children. The most “picking” happened during meal times. He would tell the second child what a mess he is making or that he is dirty and he is a “piggy”. The youngest child, right next to his brother, messed in the same manner, but this did not bother this father.

On a visit to this father’s parents’ farm at dinner time, my eldest child was sitting on a chair too low for the table. When asked by this father to lift himself for a cushion his response was slow. This father’s youngest brother stormed around the table and pulled my eldest child upward with force, resulting in bumping this child’s thighs against the underside of the table. The child laid his head down on my lap and started crying. This father’s eldest brother commented, saying he thinks the child got hurt. This father’s family, except for his mother and sister, started laughing as if someone had made a joke. I did not think it funny when someone hurt a child and told them so. Apart from this, that they were laughing struck me. They hardly ever laughed especially with no outsiders to impress.

I had seen this father pulling his hand into position to give one of the children, the smallest one, a backhand slap. He dropped his hand when I moved towards them, but his reaction was so instantaneous it appeared he was used to doing it. But I saw this only once. It was for a very small incident where the child had accidentally pushed over something of this father.

This father’s father were discussing discipline with me during one of our visits to their farm. He said it is wrong that physical punishment is not allowed anymore. I told him that it is allowed for parents to appropriately discipline their children. I took a chance, based on the above incident, to see how they will respond, and said what is not allowed and is atrocious, is to give a child a backhand slap. There was dead silence after I said this.

Chapter 6

“The mouth speaks what the heart is full of…”

After I approached the pastor, he came for dinner the following night. In trying to explain to the pastor what I am dealing with, being married to this man, I said that my mother is not even allowed to visit me or her grandchildren since our youngest child’s birth. This father replied, “Your mother is not allowed to visit, because she called me a pedophile.” I was shocked and asked, "What?"

This father continued, saying that it happened in 2008 when the eldest child was 8 months old and we were visiting in my mother’s apartment. He said the child laid on his chest. The child pinched his nose and he pinched the child’s bottom, and the child pinched his nose and
he pinched his bottom. In this version he told me my mother walked into the room and called him a pedophile.

Numerous data problems arose with this husband’s story.

My mother visited us several times between 2008 and 2010. He even contributed towards her tickets. Welcomed her. He contacted her as well, regularly and voluntary, during these years. There was no animosity between them.

I witnessed him telling my mother, after our youngest child’s birth in 2010, that she is not welcome anymore. Then, according to him, it was because she had lied to him about me having started smoking again and confronted him in his own house. My mother did confront him. She said because he constantly made sly demeaning remarks about me. She told him he behaved bullish in the house, that he had a split personality and was just outwardly charming. I witnessed how he coldly and repeatedly said to my mother, “Goodbye mother, goodbye,” whenever she tried to speak to him after this.

I wondered if a child of 8-9 months is capable of the pinching-joking interaction this husband was describing? I had not experienced it, but then I never pinched my children anywhere or at any age.

Immediately I also made a connection to something this husband had told me roughly two years before we were married. He told me two people were spreading a rumour about him, saying that he likes little boys. I asked, “Why would someone say something like that?” He replied that they were trying to discredit him and they wanted his job. I asked him what job he had? He replied he was flying in an African country. Putting his comments in the context of pedophilia it now made perfect sense.

I did not voice my thoughts that night in the presence of the pastor and decided to first speak to my mother. I waited for this husband to leave on one of his work trips. I phoned my mother, starting the conversation saying, “This man is saying you called him a pedophile? He said it was when our first child was around 8 months old.” I hoped she would have no idea what I was saying and was waiting for her to ask me what am I talking about. She said, “He is lying. I did not call him a pedophile. I asked him what he is doing?” This shocked me. She even remembered the exact date, saying she had written it down. In my mother’s version of events this happened when our eldest child was approximately two years old. He was lying on his back on a bed next to his father and this father had his hand in the child’s diaper in front. When she had passed the room before this incident, the child was sleeping on his stomach and was alone in the room. She was feeding the second child, then around 5 months old, banana in her room and wanted to ask this father something. She heard him going into the bedroom where the child was sleeping. When she went into the room, she saw him lying on his side next to the child at the foot of the bed. The child was apparently still sleeping, but was lying on his back now, with this father’s hand in the front of his diaper. She said she was shocked and asked this father what he was doing? She then turned around and went to the living room. This father left the child and followed her to the living room. She said to him, “You know what I was thinking. Is it true?” He said, “No mother.” He talked of pinching then too. My mother said we went out for dinner that night and this child slept between me and this father on the bunk we sat on. This father concentrated on rubbing the child’s stomach and had pulled the child’s shirt up for this. She said his actions disturbed her even further.

I confronted this father on Skype with my mother’s information, saying that his and her information differ and then I asked him what is going on? This father’s immediate response on my question was that he was going to sue both my mother and me for accusing him of molestation.

Once again there were several problems with this father’s response. I had only asked him what was going on and was not making accusations. He voiced no denial or explanation of my mother’s words. He showed no understanding that I would feel
upset by this information, which would be a natural response. He himself had brought up the subject and now he appeared to be out to attacking me and my mother? If his reaction is like this towards me asking questions, then he must have sued the people that spread the rumour of him liking little boys.

Not knowing if he did, I asked him if he sued these two people who had spread the rumour as well. He said no, he did not sue them. He confronted them and they denied spreading it. This meant he heard via someone else they were saying this. This person would be a witness to them having said it. So why did he not sue when he had a witness?

If he was, as he is claiming, upset with his reputation being ruined, the creation of a bad impression of him and the loss of work, then why is he himself, years afterwards, still spreading this very rumour?

Nobody confronted this man, questioned him or had any knowledge about rumours of him liking little boys or being called a pedophile. This is until this father “reveals” it, so to speak.

I asked this father who the two people are that had said he likes boys? And did they get his job, because as far as I know that is not how you apply for a job? He gave two names, but omitted saying if they obtained his job. In which case they needed to have told his employer or have spread this rumour in his work place.

I started wondering about the strange behaviour of the children that I could not place and understand. About things I had seen that had made me frown.

Our second child’s tantrums? Severe, angry, screaming tantrums, that lasted for hours. Anything triggered them. When you put him into the car, he would scream to get out, once out he screamed to get in. When you gave him food, he would push it away and start screaming, giving the impression he did not want it. If you took the plate away he screamed to have it again. When I gave the plate to him, he pushed it away again. It would carry on and on. When you had to bathe him, he screamed. When you dressed him, he screamed. When you undressed him, he screamed. When I left, he screamed. Even if I did not have another banana for him, he started screaming in a tantrum. No matter how I tried explaining, it did not help. You could not get through to him while he was having these tantrums. He would be beside himself. The severe screaming tantrums started when he was about 10 months old.

The first day I took this child to attend the creche, someone from the creche phoned me about an hour after I had left, saying the child is ill and I must come to fetch him. I had expected this call. This child had screamed himself into a stupor. I placed this child into the creche for two mornings a week, with his elder brother, so he could adjust before his brother had to leave the creche for school. Being with his brother did not seem to comfort this child. I persevered and kept taking the child, but after several months the caregiver at the creche called me aside. She told me that he screams and wants to be picked up. When they pick him up, he screams to be put down. I knew what she was talking about, as the same was happening at home. She then said it was as if he does not know what he wants and is confused and she suspects he is autistic. In trying to console me she said many intelligent people are autistic. This child was around twenty-two months old at the time.

When I discussed this with this father, his response and advice was that I am not hitting the child hard enough. He said if I hit him hard enough, he will never do it again. But the child displayed no behaviour deserving of a hiding. I just could not rationally understand the child’s behaviour. He was at times screaming over small, normal, everyday occurrences. It was as if this child went through phases where he would be fine and then again started acting up over nothing. This father’s mother told me all her children screamed in this manner. That their other grand children also screamed in this manner and that it will stop. I thought maybe because this child was a middle child he felt unloved and not special. I started telling him he is my “pokkel”. When this father was at home and I called the child this nickname, he would ask the child repeatedly: “Are you mommy’s or daddy’s pokkel.” When the child said he was my pokkel, this father would pull a face and say: “No, you are daddy’s pokkel,” repeatedly. I stopped calling the child this, because I did not care for the position this father was placing his child.
Then this child went through a phase where he took his excretion and rubbed it over the walls, the bed, himself and pressed it into toys. He did this for close to 6 months around two years of age.

I wanted to take the child to a psychologist several times. When I discussed this with this father, he would say all they were going to do, is to give him medication. To diagnose him with a mental illness and keep him under sedation with psychiatric medicine so to speak at his age felt severe to me. Being a naturalist, I also prefer homeopathic medicine and home remedies.

This same tantrum child, as a toddler, also had a strange looking anus. It would be swollen and red. I changed the child’s diaper one day on the bed in the main bedroom and must have frowned, while looking at the child’s anus. This father was also in the room and said, “This child has a big anus.” I replied, “Yes, I see,” thinking that the child’s anus appears big, red and puffy. This child also had a lot of stomach pains. He was constipated and his tummy was bloated. His whole body was very tense and tight for a child of his age. I used to massage his tummy and stretch his leg muscles, because I noticed with the way he moved that his leg muscles must be tight.

When I was about 6 months pregnant with the third child, this father insisted on moving the other children to one of the bedrooms on the ground floor. I had concerns with this. There were stairs. This second child was about 15months old and woke up in a tantrum early in the morning around 02:00. He would just start screaming. It would last for an hour, until he fell asleep again. Nothing could calm him down. This was also when he would push me away, while screaming, and then hold out his arms for me, but when I want to pick him up he’ll push me away again continually screaming. After this father had moved the children downstairs, he then went to this screaming child when he was at home. He stayed away for hours. I asked him once why he stayed downstairs so long, and he said he stays with the child until he is asleep again. He said he was doing me a favour, since I was pregnant and so I can rest. Then I was very grateful for this “kindness and help”.

I had difficulty when potty training this second child’s bowl movements. He soiled his pants up to three years old. He would be sitting playing and just soil himself. He himself would be upset. I asked the preschool teacher for advice. This child had to start attending this school and could only attend if he was potty trained. The teacher said all children are different.

Also once whilst potty training this second child, I noticed the water in the toilet had a red colour around his excretion. This father was home at the time. I was upset and went to this father, telling him about this and asking could it be blood? His calm reply was that the child had eaten something. It is not blood.

When this second child started speaking, he woke up in the mornings, saying there was an elephant or lion by his bed at night. He made me take all the stuffed animals out of the room before he would go to bed at night. Later, the stuffed toys taken out of the room, was also not good enough and he wanted them out of the house. I moved all the stuffed animals into the apartment upstairs. This child continued saying there was a lion or an elephant by his bed at night.

The eldest child went through a phase where he switched on lights in the house in the middle of the night. I would wake up, switch them off, check on the children sleeping and wake up again later, with the house lights burning again. On occasion this eldest child came to the bedroom after having switched on the lights. He just stood next to the bed. He did not speak.

The children also had strange behaviour when they were in the shower. The one would lie flat on his belly while the other tried pushing something into the lying child’s anus. This
happened several times.

I started having difficulty changing the youngest child’s diaper. He said, “Aiwa,” (this father’s word for sore) squeezing his legs shut and pushed my hands away. The child had no nappy rash and I could not see any reason for him to say something was sore. The eldest child went through a phase where he stuck his tongue out when I wanted to kiss him good night. I told him every time that it is not how one kisses he must keep his mouth closed and his tongue inside his mouth.

One night this father wanted to kiss this eldest child good night. The child pinched this father’s mouth shut with his hand and then kissed him far back on his cheek.

All these incidents and behaviour of the children were now concerning me. I started doing research on the internet. The information on tantrums and, what they referred to as smearing of excretion, are signs of a traumatised child that cannot speak yet. This could be signals of sexual abuse. The advice was not to punish a child acting out in this way. That young children are not able to voice/express themselves or their distress. The website suggested traumatised children needed stimulation, where they can make a mess and feel safe to express themselves. They needed time, love, attention and understanding. There was also advice not to prod or pressure a traumatised child into speaking, but just to give love and wait for the child to speak in his own time.

My children could be traumatised children and I had been responding to and treating the second child’s behaviour inaccurately.

When this second child smeared his excretion, I had thought of having to clean up the whole afternoon. Of having to wash the room to try to get rid of the smell that seemed to hover for days. I would punish him and put him in a bath while I cleaned up. At night when I put him to bed, I felt bad about being cross and told him I loved him, no matter what he did and how naughty he is.

I also got cross when he threw his tantrums and would tell him to stop screaming, because he is driving me mad. Eventually I ignored him when he screamed and would just remove things that could be broken in his anger. Someone also gave me the advice to throw cold water over him or to put him in a cold shower when he threw a tantrum. At the time I had looked up this advice on the internet. It was there and I tried this. It did not work, and now I cannot believe I had tried this advice.

With all these new happenings, I decided I was going to treat my children as traumatised children. The next tantrum the second child had, I did not tell him to stop screaming, I did not get cross and I did not ignore him. He was sitting on the floor, screaming. I watched this child and realised he was not stubborn or hardheaded. He was sad, angry and upset. I told him I love him so very much. He stopped screaming and said, "No, you don’t." I said yes I do love you.

I started telling him about when he was born. How happy I was to have him. How I held him the first time. How he made soft gentle whimpering noises, but did not cry. The child came and sat on my lap. I told him how old he was when he sat upright, crawled, spoke his first words and his mannerisms. I told him he is a beautiful child and sometimes I don’t understand what he is trying to do or what he wants. During this conversation I told him that no one is allowed to touch his body and private parts. It is his. He did not reply.

I also told this to the eldest child on a different occasion and he also did not reply. The youngest child was twenty-one months old at that time and still too small to understand me.

I processed all the information in my head concerning the possibility of the children being molested. It was as if my head was saying yes and my heart kept denying it. I still left the children alone with this father. He still took them on outings. On one outing, he said he wanted to take the second child to the Christmas market. It was around 17 December 2011. When they came back the child did not compare to an exited child who had just been at a Christmas market and had had all the attention of his father. He was not throwing a tantrum, but he looked cross and upset. I asked the child what is wrong and he said he did not like
the people. This father had a big smile on his face and asked the child, “Didn’t you like the chocolate? Didn’t you like the chocolate?” The child said no and walked off. This made me frown. Thinking it is odd, I know my second child adores chocolate.

There was another incident later in December 2011 that disturbed me. I was in my room downstairs one morning when I heard the second child throwing one of his tantrums upstairs. I followed the screaming and found this child, without pants on, sitting on his father’s bed with this father standing next to him. The steam shower was switched on, which meant this father was preparing to shower. (I then remembered one incident where I was leaving to go shopping in the afternoon and this husband was preparing the shower for him and the second child. This very child had also asked me not to go shopping.)

I went and sat next to the child on the bed. This father, in his cold voice, told me to get out. I said no, and he repeated, "Out!" I again said no. Then he said, “It looks like I need to tighten the bolts on you.” He then relayed his story of how disobedient this child was. His reason was again trivial. I asked him if he was done, picked up the child and walked out of the room. He followed us and was picking a fight with me, saying I undermine his authority. I told him to go and shower on his own. He looked shocked and went back to his room. I took the child back to the living room where the other children were playing. The eldest child said to me his brother did nothing wrong. Their father said he should look at him when he is talking. The child did not. The father gave the child a hiding and then took him to his bedroom.

This father never spoke normally to me again after saying “he is called a pedophile”. His angry, deep, cold voice was permanent now, even over Skype. It was as if he went from the one thing he deemed I did wrong to the next. He was away more than usual, approximately 3/4 of the time, which was a blessing. When he was at home he recorded everything I say. (He walked around holding his phone in front of him.) At night I would hear him listening to his recordings. I got the impression he was trying to get me to say certain words. For example, he would approach me, saying I had called him a pedophile and he has this on tape and he is going to sue me. He did this several times. I kept on wondering why, if he had it on tape, as he is saying, is he still recording me? Why isn't he then just using it, as he is threatening to do? He told me I said my father is a self confessed pedophile and he had this on tape, when I know I had said self confessed alcoholic. I told him to go and listen to his recordings again. He said my mother is a pedophile. He saw her touching the eldest child on his penis. During these conversations he would be recording.

If I mentioned or asked on what my mother had seen, he would at once start threatening, saying he was going to sue both my mother and me. He took pictures of the children’s room or the house when untidy or of the paper cuts after the children played with their pattern scissors or of me smoking outside, or the children if they had a bump on their heads. In the presence of the children, he would tell me he wants to get rid of me.

This man’s behaviour scared me. His actions and demeanor were aggressive. He was completely dismissing my worries and fears. One day I told him he is scaring me with his reactions. He continued this behaviour irrespective. His behaviour was not that of an innocent man. The reality of that was scaring me.

He locked me out of the house at 00:30 one night. I went outside for a cigarette. When I tried to open the front door with my key it did not want to open. I could see him through the glass door, standing on the inside. I told him if he does not open the door, I am going to call the police, and I tried to open the door again. He went away from the door, came back and fiddled around the door handle. Then he opened the door. This husband had put the key in the lock inside and tied the key with a cable tie to the door handle. This prevented the door from being opened from the outside. The key broke in the lock when I turned the handle. This was what he was fiddling with: removing evidence. And of course: He told me that I had broken the key.
This man did not, at any time during our marriage, do anything in the house that I could depend on for help on a regular basis, except he offered to change the children’s diapers. But, from December 2011 he did nothing, also not offering to change diapers. This did not bother me. I got the impression he was trying to pressurise me. He became more secretive. He stayed in his bedroom, listening to the recordings he had made of me. Before the incident where he said my mother called him a pedophile, he used to sit at the dining room table with his computer, reading all the news and phoning friends of his. He did not tell me when he would be leaving on his work trips, where he is going or when he is coming back. When I did ask on occasion, he told me it had nothing to do with me. When he did arrive back from a trip, he would sneak up the stairs or ring the door bell. Then he would jump out from whatever hiding place he was using, screaming hello. He ordered me to start writing down all the money I spent and have receipts or else he did not want to “refund” it. Certain things he did not want to refund, for example, if I had bought myself a coffee at a coffee shop. I started using the credit card more and he made me lower the credit limit, with threats of withdrawing himself from “us” financially if I do not do as he orders. I presumed it was part of the tightening the bolts on me plan he had, but obliged anyway.

There was no behaviour at any point from this man that I could connect to that of an innocent, caring or compassionate man. It was useless trying to pretend and wait in the hope of something different. I needed help.

I contacted the pastor and, saying this husband’s behaviour is scaring me, I asked for a phone number of a place to help. In a meeting with us the pastor gave this husband the number of an organisation to help, and told us he wants us to go together. The pastor also told me to be obedient to this husband, him being the head of the house. I waited and after about a month, I asked this husband when we will be going? His reply to me was, "I had already gone to see them. You can go if you want to."

I went to this place and discussed the second child’s strange behaviour, being careful with what I was saying, because this father was threatening to sue me. Wanting their opinion I put the option of autism, as the creche teacher had thought, to them. This was an option. However, to me this did not explain this father’s extreme behaviour and actions.

This pastor contacted a child psychologist for information and told me that this psychologist said it is highly unlikely that a father would molest his own children. None of them were living under this husband’s constant attacks, after he, himself says that people are calling him a pedophile.

Initially, when this husband had mentioned the word pedophile, I did research on the internet. I looked at pedophile tactics and mannerisms. Manipulation, control, intimidation, emotionlessness and compulsive lying were all said to be characteristics of pedophiles. I did get the idea that it was expected of me to maintain this father’s discipline and control over the children when he was away. That was why he got so cross with me if I did not do as he was ordering. There had to be a reason for it.

I recanted this father’s behaviour, which I did not understand and which bothered me at the time. When the children were small he expected me to reprimand them. If I questioned this, asking why he does not reprimand them, he would say, “You want to make me the bad guy.” I had realised you can turn this husband’s accusations and insults around and apply it to him. This meant that he was making me the bad guy. However, now the children were bigger and he was punishing the older children. To me, this “discipline” resembled baseless blaming, unfounded accusations, excessive control, threats and, if you lock a child (three years old) in a dark garage, as I had seen him do, abuse. When I confronted this father on his actions he said the child deserved this treatment, because he did not obey him in his order to come into the house. This did not warrant his reaction. But making a victim feel they
deserve or wanted abuse creates crippling fear and guilt in the victim. This is then used by
the abuser for control.

I gave the children more space as human beings, for example, watching TV, while eating.
The father forbade this for the children. I had seen the father himself eat in front of the TV
and he allowed guests to eat on his couch. His rules only applied to us.
I started making a point of looking at the children as far as possible when they were
speaking, so they would know I am paying attention to what they are saying.

I allowed the children to choose for themselves within boundaries.
I always suggested we do things, like go to a play park or picnicking or feeding the birds, but
I had never asked them what they would like to do out of the ordinary.
They wanted to ride on the train at night. Over a weekend one night, I dressed them up
warmly and we took the train into the city after supper.
For the next outing the children wanted to walk in the woods at night. I negotiated and they
agreed to drive with the car through the woods and I gave them torches to shine out of the
windows with.

I reasoned my actions and their requests out with: If it is not going to harm or hurt them or
someone else in long or short term then it rationally does not serve to enforce it. For
example, my second child never wanted to sleep in the afternoon and I would get annoyed
and tell him he has no option. He has to sleep, and I would put him in his bed repeatedly. I
still put him to bed now, but if he had not fallen asleep, I did not enforce it and continually tell
him to sleep. This father was continually telling me what I should do and it made me feel
helpless.

I used a wooden spoon on their bums for punishment, never liking the fear I saw in the
children’s eyes when they saw the wooden spoon.
I felt uncomfortable with using something to punish the children with. I discussed this with
the pastor and he said that they had a wooden spoon behind every door. My brother said it
is advocated to use something impersonal to punish a child with. Another problem I had with
using the spoon was that one could not gauge how much pain the child was feeling.
I decided to use my hand for punishment, so I could feel their hurt. This father had lengthy
angry periods and blaming which compared to torture. Wanting nothing to resemble this I
gave a short warning, with the reason, and then, if the child continued, a rap on the bum with
my hand. Making sure the child realises after this that it is over and forgiven. I only punish
for telling lies and intentionally hurting another sibling. I tried to continuously keep in mind
how I would feel if I was in their shoes. After a while of this, I noticed that the warning and
explanation of what I would like was more than enough.

There was a reason behind why this husband made my life more difficult, uncomfortable and
busier than it should be. The impression he gave was if I am better, made less mistakes and
did more, then he would show me love. This never happened, which meant it was fake. I
started doing internet shopping and making more instant food. Before, I ran around shopping
in my available time and every night cooked lengthy meals. I started varying this to have
more time for the children. I still kept the children’s fruit the same.

When the children asked for help with something, I at once tried to help or give whatever
they asked, as long as it was reasonable. For example, before, if I was cooking, I would tell
the child he needed to wait until I am done. I now instead switched off the plate and noticed
that those few minutes made the difference between my child feeling valued or unvalued.

Their father did not want any pets. The children asked for a pet and I had “adopted” the
neighbour’s dog for this reason. The children walked him and took him bones. Now I bought
the children hamsters. They were small and manageable and could serve as a distraction and teach the children care for a living creature. They drove loads of hamster food to the hamsters with their play tractors.

All 3 children had always gotten bottles with tea. I never gave them choices of what they wanted to drink and I now gave them choices.

I started making them ice-cream cones after dinner and had a tin of various cookies and sweets for them to choose from. I kept these in the kitchen. (This father’s sweets in his bedroom cupboard and on the bookshelves where the children watch DVDs worried me in that it is not the normal place for sweets.)

A game I did start was true or false. I would make a comment and they would have to look for themselves and say, if what I had said, was true or false. Because of this father’s “teachings” I explained that a thing can be damaged, but, not feel hurt. Only a human can. True or false?

I always did comfort the children when they got hurt. But, now I emphasised and reconfirmed their feelings, for example, when they got hurt I said, “Yes, mommy believes you feel hurt. You feel right.” This I did because of the “no you don’t feel hurt, stop crying, you did not get hurt” attitude from this father, and his family, when the children cried.

I told the children I am very happy and satisfied with them. That sometimes I am not happy with certain things that they do, but that will not change the fact that I love them.

I kept their eating, sleeping and bathing routines exactly the same. The children responded wonderfully to the new framework.

One Saturday, my second child was complaining of stomach ache and I put him in the main bedroom bed and gave him a hot water bottle. This father had just left the previous afternoon. The Sunday the child was worse, lying with his legs pulled up, saying his stomach was very sore. He had a fever and I could not straighten his legs or he would complain. Scared that it was his appendix, I asked the neighbour to watch the other children and I took the child to the emergency at the hospital. The doctor said the child had pneumonia. He had mild flu symptoms. All of us did. I kept the children home and took all of us to our house doctor and bought homeopathic medicine, with which everyone got well quickly, including the child with pneumonia, who was up and running.

The children and I also had diarrhoea and this second child could not make it to the toilet in time. He got very upset with this. I told this father over Skype I was taking the child to the doctor. His response was that the child was just too lazy to pinch. The day we needed to go to the doctor this child refused to go. I had noticed that he had extreme reactions and screamed himself in a tantrum when the doctor approached him for examination.

One afternoon the eldest two children were watching a DVD at the computer, sitting on their big pouf cushion. The smallest child was taking his afternoon nap. I went down to the washroom to put laundry in the washing machine. When I came back up the stairs into the living area the computer was switched off and the children were not there and neither was the cushion. I walked around the wall that divided the living area. The children had pulled the cushion in behind this wall. They had taken off all their clothes. The eldest child sat upright on this cushion with his legs open. The second child laid between his legs. The lying child was wriggling his body like a worm, while touching the eldest child on his penis. When the eldest child saw me his face was shocked. The second child stopped his actions, but showed no reaction. I told them what they are doing, is wrong. The eldest child replied, “It is?” The second child’s response was, “I like it.” I told them, while dressing them, that this is not behaviour for children. That if grown-ups touch children in that way, they are ill. The children did not respond.

This husband, who came home for short periods of time, and I had more meetings with the pastor. During one meeting the pastor asked this husband about himself and how he saw God in his life. This husband sat back in his chair and put his arm over the back of the chair next to him. Then he said, “So what of God. I got here on my own.” This, to me, translated, meant: He acknowledges God’s existence, but he does not follow Him. The day we got
married this man had stood with me in front of God and made a vow. He did not then say, “So what of God?”

I had found another bank statement in the mail, addressed to my company. I asked the pastor to be a witness and he agreed. In front of the pastor I then told this man to change this name on the bank account. He had the same stories of how he can use any company name. It did not matter. I told him he had until the next day to change it, otherwise I was going to go to the bank myself. The following day he told me he changed it to his brother’s company name.

The second child started coming up to the living area at night and would sit talking to me. I got the impression he wanted attention. I would give him a moment to talk or just let him sit next to me and then, after a while, take him back to bed. On one of these nights, while I was busy on the computer, this child came up and started his talk with “When I go to Jesus…” I asked him what he meant and he repeated: “When I go to Jesus.” The first thing that entered my mind was that if you go to Jesus, you die. I remembered when this child had said that Jesus speaks to him, but he is not going to speak to Him. I asked him if Jesus had shown him heaven. “Yes,” he replied, “the sun shines brightly there.” I asked him if there are trees and grass. He said yes. I realised I had no idea what heaven looked like and my questions were futile.

I took the child to bed and started praying. I did not know what was happening around me. But everything seemed abnormal. A few days had passed. This second child was playing in the bathroom with the hamsters and I went to him. I asked him if Jesus had told him how he was going to go to Him. He replied: “The house is going to burn.” He turned to me and asked, “Is it sore when you burn?” I did not know how to answer this, so I kept quiet.

I then remembered that several months ago he had asked me questions on a house burning. If the whole house is gone. He would ask me if a house that had burned down, can be built again. I tried to teach him the street name where we live and he would say no, we live by the big fire.

This child now said, “The angel that takes care of me is named Jacob.” I wrecked my brain, trying to think if I had ever told him of an angel Jacob, but could not think of any Bible story, except for the one where Jacob saw the angels on the ladder, but I could not remember if I had read this to them. I asked this child if he was going on his own. He continued playing and replied yes. It did not look as if this was bothering him. I asked him if it did not bother him going on his own? No, he said, and carried on playing.

I had a recurring dream that the house was burning. With every dream I wondered where the fire came from. The passage between my room and the eldest two children’s room is burning and I cannot get past. I take the smallest child outside. There are a lot of people around the house. They are just looking at me without helping. I wonder how they heard of the fire so quickly. I look through the children’s bedroom window, seeing the eldest two children’s beds are burning. In my dream I beat the window to try to break it. Fireman try to stop me. I woke up, very upset and sad.

Another recurring dream that I had years ago, had come true. At that time I dismissed the co-incidence. Now it troubled me.

This child continued talking of the house that is going to burn, saying everything is going to burn. With every dream I wondered where the fire came from. The passage between my room and the eldest two children’s room is burning and I cannot get past. I take the smallest child outside. There are a lot of people around the house. They are just looking at me without helping. I wonder how they heard of the fire so quickly. I look through the children’s bedroom window, seeing the eldest two children’s beds are burning. In my dream I beat the window to try to break it. Fireman try to stop me. I woke up, very upset and sad.

Another recurring dream that I had years ago, had come true. At that time I dismissed the co-incidence. Now it troubled me.

This child continued talking of the house that is going to burn, saying everything is going to burn. I made no reply to this. One night when it was bedtime this child did not want to go to bed, repeatedly saying we have to leave the house. Jesus says it is going to burn. He was upset and I could see he was scaring the other children. He was scaring me as well. I figured it was weekend and there would be no harm in sleeping out. I would also not be ignoring this child. This father was away. He was not on Skype and I could also not get hold of him on his cell phones. I presumed he was piloting an aircraft. I phoned the pastor and told him we are going to spend the night at the hostel, if someone is looking for us. I also told him the child is saying the house is going to burn and I cannot calm him down. At some point during this, the child said his father is going to be afraid of the fire and run away.
This child kept on repeating, “Mommy, phone the fire-brigade. The house is burning.” I told him I cannot, because the house is not burning. But he kept on saying it, even when we drove away from the house. I turned the car around, drove back to the house and told him to look at the house. It is not burning. I drove to the hostel with this child, saying I must phone the fire-brigade. In between he would ask, “Why are they not coming?” The last thing he said was, “It is ok, the sun is shining again,” and fell asleep. When we arrived at the youth hostel, where I had booked a room for us, the other two children, who were sleeping, woke up and played around. This second child was sleeping like a log.

The next morning we ate breakfast and the children played in the playground, before we went back home. Once again this second child did not want to go into the house. He kept on saying the house is burning and we have to leave. I opened the garage door and told him to just walk in and look. There is no fire. He refused. Two neighbours were talking on the sidewalk. I went to them and said I have a problem. The child does not want to go into the house. He says the house is burning. The one neighbour suggested buying fire extinguishers and smoke alarms.

This seemed a brilliant idea. I told the children of the plan and we left to buy three, two litre sized fire extinguishers and four alarms. I explained to the children how the alarms would work and sound and showed them how the extinguishers would spray when pressed. I explained how this would kill any fire. The children were now excited and wanted to keep the extinguishers with them. I allowed the eldest two children to have the two (with the pins still in) to calm things down.

A stock take of my circumstances would be: I was married to a man that spread rumours of himself preferring little boys. Knowledge of my emotional abuse in my marital experience, meaning this husband’s capacity for lies, control and manipulation. The children’s behaviour and physical signs pointing at sexual abuse. This husband’s revelation of my mother witnessing inappropriate behaviour of him with the one child, almost three years after it occurred. This husband’s behaviour that had turned to severe attack and secrecy mode. This husband giving the impression of not serving God, but acknowledging God’s existence. A three year old, saying Jesus speaks to him. He is going to Him as a result of a burning house, wanting me to phone the fire-brigade, but the house is not on fire.

Remembering the book of the Christian author, I wrote to her and told her most of what is going on in an attempt to see if someone can shed light on these happenings for me. I did not receive a reply.

When emptying the paper recycling dustbin in the house, I found a box for Zovirax salve. Internet information described it as herpes medication. No one in our house had herpes as far as I knew. But, the smallest child had a strange spot-like rash around his anus for about a week already. It did not go away with the nappy rash ointment this father was meticulously buying in another country. This nappy rash ointment was very good and took away any redness in a day. I decided to make an appointment with my gynaecologist. He spoke and understood English well and would understand what I was saying. I figured he would also know more of sexually transmitted diseases. I phoned him and explained my problem to him. He agreed to look at the child’s rash. I made an appointment.

Chapter 7

“Out of the mouth of babes…”

This husband arrived home again unannounced late the afternoon of 27 March 2012. He rang the door bell and jumped out from behind the wall. That evening, while the children bathed, the eldest child said, “Daddy makes foodie out of his penis and he puts it in my mouth.” Immediately after him the second child said, “Daddy puts the foodie in my bum.” I had my back to them and turned. They were sitting next to each other looking at me over the side of the bath. All I managed to say was, “It is wrong.”
Oddly enough I did not feel anything.

What I thought was: These children are too young to know about anything else but urine coming out of a penis, never mind putting things in a bum. That this meant this husband’s rumour, before we got married of him preferring young boys, was true. What my mother saw this father doing to the eldest child on the bed, was true. This meant that this husband had lied about everything for years. This pseudo persona man that I had married, deceived, used and hurt. He had made me his slave over the years and now my children were telling me he had made them his slaves for his own perverse pleasures.

After the children had bathed, we went to the dining room. This was when a combination of feelings including disgust, anger, disappointment and sadness nearly overwhelmed me. There was pizza in the oven and it was ready. I set the table, putting things down with force, trying to get anger out. Leftover birthday cake stood where I was setting the table. I threw the cake to the other side of the eight place table, not caring that the cake crumbs fell over the table and the floor. Thinking, this table, this house and everything around me is for a showcase, that was why this husband revelled showing it off. A creation of an impression of normality that he needed for executing his selfish desires.

I went and called this husband who had been sitting, as usual, on his bed. I said, “Come. Your pizza is ready.” He replied he was busy. This was his normal game, of us having to wait for the self proclaimed king. I now said, “No, come now, your pizza is getting cold.” He came to the table and at once wanted to know who had messed the cake crumbs. The eldest child said it was me. This husband did not reply or do anything he normally would. I cut the pizza into pizza slices and dished up for the children. While doing this I said: “I would like to be cutting something else.” This husband did not ask what I wanted to cut. We started eating. I could not swallow the pizza, felt ill and said, “Some things make you so ill you can’t even eat.” This husband did not ask what was making me ill. Instead he then commented on the mess of the crumbs. I stood up. “Obediently” taking the mop I swept the crumbs off the table and into the corner. While I did this I said, “I would like to sweep all the garbage out of the house.” Once again this husband did not ask me what I was referring to.

When I put the children in bed that night the eldest child said, "I told some of the children at school and they are teasing and beating me." My heart went out to this boy. He had told me before that the children are teasing him. The teacher had told me it is because he does not wear undergarments. But the child refused undergarments. At the time I had asked this child if he goes to the teacher, telling of the teasing, and if she helped him and he said yes, so I left it. However, when I had a parent meeting with the one teacher, she would say the child is doing fine. No, she did not see anyone teasing the child. He does keep to himself and has other interests than the rest of the children, like playing in the garden. No, he did not join in the ball games.

I knew my eldest child liked working in the garden and being outside, but he also enjoyed playing ball. I encouraged the child to find a good friend. I had a habit of keeping to myself. I also did not have much time for socialising. To set a different example for him I started socialising more. When doing this, and their father was at home, he would try to lure the children to rather stay with him. For example, one Sunday there was a church lunch. I had made eats and everything was arranged, but after church this husband all of a sudden declined to go. We dropped this father off at home and he started luring the children, with all kind’s of “fun” choices, to rather stay with him. The children refused and he played on their emotions, pulling sad faces, saying to them they don’t want to stay with him. The children and I left, while I thought this husband’s behaviour was puzzling.

I confronted this husband later the night the children spoke. I did not tell him what the children had said, but asked him about his childhood. This man had no shame lying, he concentrated on his own comfort except when he needed
to create an impression. No amount of discomfort and pain of another human being stirred empathy in him.

I knew no person is born void of emotions or without feelings. They are made that way by their life experiences. He had told me that, as a child, he was neglected, confined, unreasonably punished, starved, and lied to by the people who were supposed to teach him how to love.

He now denied, saying he had a normal childhood. He was again lying. He pulled himself over the floor for two years as a child, with a father that boasts he never asked for help. But then his father, he said, was mostly absent and punished all his children without speaking when present. His mother, he said, locked him in a room. It is very difficult to ask for help from a locked room. He was given the same food repeatedly until he ate it. None of this sounded normal to me.

With this husband repeatedly telling me I am not punishing the children enough and I should punish the children hard, I had done research on the internet. Finding that when severe, unreasonable punishment is enforced on a child, the child starts telling lies to avoid this punishment. The child learns never to take responsibility for his actions or to apologise. Was this grown husband an example of a severely punished, severely controlled and disciplined child?

The following morning I phoned the organisation for abused children which the pastor had referred us too previously. I told the secretary what my children had told me.

The appointment that I had made with the gynaecologist after finding the Zovirax salve, was also that morning. Once there, the doctor asked if I it was going to be used in a court. I replied that it probably will be. He then refused to look at the child’s rash.

This organisation for abused children made an appointment with this husband and me to come and see them the following day. In front of this husband I told them what the children had told me. I asked them if they could organise play therapy for the children to see if they were sexually abused. They said they don’t do that. They only assess children’s educational progress. They gave me contact numbers for the youth police, child lawyer, child psychiatrist and a house for abused women.

This organisation for abused children also made an appointment for that evening for a physical examination of the children by a children’s doctor at the hospital. This father made sure he went with us.

The doctor told me to bring the children in one at a time. The second child said he wanted to go in with his father and stayed outside with him. I left it. The eldest and youngest children went with me. The doctor looked at their private areas and said he could not see anything wrong. Then this father and the second child went into the examination room. I stayed at the door. This father smiled constantly, gave his iPhone to the child and told him to show the doctor the pictures of the animals on the farm. The child did this. The doctor told this father to put the child on the examination table. This child told his father to get on, which this father laughingly did. Then the child walked away and in the middle of the room he turned around. He pointed at his father and said, “The doctor should look at you.” He said this several times, while still pointing at his father. Then the child came to me and started pulling me out of the room. I pulled back and told him I want the doctor to look at him. This doctor declined examining this child, saying he was not going to force a child. Afterwards the doctor asked to speak to me and said I have to bring the child in within 72 hours after a suspected incident, with the clothes he had worn. This upset me tremendously.

That night, this father, who had secluded himself before, left his bedroom and wanted to take care of the children, which included bathing them. To me this man was either insensitive or playing games. I decided on both options. He pressed himself in next to me with a big smile for the children on his face, using the words “daddy wants to… do you want daddy to…?” The children declined his requests. But he exaggeratedly pulled his sad face, acted hurt, saying, “Don’t you want daddy…daddy wants to…,” repeating his requests. Watching and listening to him putting on his act made me feel like throwing up.
The morning of 30 March 2012 I took the two youngest children with me to the grocery shop. The eldest child was at school. This shop has a cafeteria and we normally had something to drink there. I phoned the child lawyer and made an appointment. Then I phoned the protection house for women and was informed they have no space. Then I phoned the police section for the protection of children. I told this police officer what my children had told me. He replied it was not necessary for me to come in, the person who is dealing with this case, was on holiday. I had not gone to the police? What case was he talking about? I picked up the eldest child at school, could not get myself to go home and decided to find a policeman that would listen. After two closed police stations I found an open police station, but they said they cannot help me and I should contact the police section for the protection of children. I told them I did phone, but the police officer said I need not go to them. This police officer phoned them and then the person, who had declined me, said I can come in. I took the eldest child back to school and went with the other two. But at the youth police this person did not understand me and said we should come back on the Monday, when he had organised for a translator.

I picked up the eldest child from school and when we arrived home he told this father we went to the police. This father, with a smile, said, “I am glad, I am glad.” He then left with the eldest two children to the neighbours at the corner. Over a week ago I have invited these neighbours for dinner that evening to celebrate the eldest and youngest children’s birthdays. I got ready and waited for the neighbours to arrive. They did not. I phoned after an hour and was told by the man, who sounded cross, that they were not coming. He told me this father is broken. From this I deducted that this father did not arrive at their house with the grin on his face, repeating that he is glad. He portrayed himself as a broken man to obtain their sympathy.

On Saturday 31 March 2012, in the afternoon the children were playing in their room and in the garden. I went upstairs to my drawer in the main bedroom. My belongings and clothes were still in the main bedroom. This father was as usual in this room on his bed. When he saw me enter he closed his computer and folded over the paper in front of him. He then stood up, took these and left the room. I did what I wanted to do in my drawer and walked back to the stairs. This father now sat at the far end of the dining room table. I stood by the kitchen and watched him. Again he stood up, taking everything and came walking past me. I wondered what was on this paper that needed such special guarding. When he was next to me I grabbed the paper, but he immediately grabbed the paper back and ran around the table. I threw the content at him out of frustration, but missed. I then climbed over the table, managed to grab the paper and ran around the table. He stayed on the opposite side of this table from me. His cold, forgotten tea was standing on the table. I threw the content at him out of frustration, but missed. I then climbed over the table, managed to grab the paper, turned and started running. This father ran after me and pushed me from behind towards the sliding door. My left shoulder hit the protruding wooden window frame before my face hit the window. This father grabbed the paper back and it tore. I was still holding about half of this and looked at the paper to see what he was so intent on hiding. It was a printer paper with nothing except his next flight dates and a name written in his handwriting. This confused me, because it did not warrant his secretive behaviour at all. He then made a tremendous issue of this basically blank paper saying that I had torn it.

The next day on the way back from church, I told this father I needed the car the following day. This was one of his rules when he was home, I had to give him a days notice if I wanted the car apart from routine activities. He wanted to know why? I told him we are going to the police again. The eldest child started asking me if the police take away naughty boys and what happens to naughty boys. I told him children are not taken away by the police, but he kept on asking me. That evening I went upstairs to the kitchen to get myself a glass of milk before bed. On my way back to the stairs, having gotten my milk, I noticed this husband standing in the toilet with the door open, but the light was off. I walked to the toilet, stuck out my left hand to the light and said to him, “Wait, I’ll switch on the light. I also want to see where the foodie (food) for the children comes from.” He slapped my hand away. I reached for the light switch.
again and said, “No wait, I want to see where the foodie (food) comes from.” He walked out of the toilet and I stepped back. I again said, “Wait, let me switch on the light,” and pointed with my hand to the light. He grabbed my hand and started pressing it hard enough to damage my fingers. I had the glass of milk in my right hand. Aiming the bottom of the glass at his lip, I hit him with it. He seemed surprised and released my hand. He walked into the bedroom to look at his lip in the mirror. I watched him from the bedroom door as he walked back past me to the toilet. Once again, I said, “Wait, let me switch on the light,” but I did not move to do this. He turned around, walked back towards me, placed his hand over my hand holding the glass of milk and pushed it so that the glass hit the bedroom doorframe. The glass broke inside my hand and cut my fingers.

The morning of 2 April 2012 I went back to the police. The children were with me. I allowed them to take one of their hamsters with us, thinking it could be a distraction for and comfort to them. I also took the children's jackets and push trolley with, because I had read on the internet that semen can be picked up by a light. The translator arranged by the police, learned our native language from a boyfriend and was not from our native country. They took my statement, while the children played in a room next door. I informed the police of the rumours of this father preferring little boys that this father himself told me, the incident this father revealed that happened three years ago in my mother's apartment, and of what my children had told me.

They informed me there was nothing on the trolley and jackets. The police officer and the translator also interviewed the eldest child, (he had just turned five) in their conference room. The police then told me that he denied that his father makes food from his penis. When I asked the police if they wanted to speak to the other child, they declined. I asked this policeman if he was going to send police home with us. He asked what for? I had no idea how they investigated, but assumed they would want to look for evidence. This police officer replied that sexual child molestation is difficult to prove. Later I found out that this meant they were not going to do or investigate anything. I told him what this husband had done to my hands and shoulder and he told me to go to the doctor, but did not take a statement. My collarbone had an open bruise, my left hand's finger was swollen and bruised, and my right hand had cuts on the fingers from the glass that had broken in it.

This police officer also asked if I am filing for a divorce. I had only thought of how to protect my children the best way I can. I had also stayed with this man all these years mainly because of my promise to God. This police officer continued to speak about divorce and this father then having supervised visitations with the children.

I decided my first priority now was to try to protect my children. What happened after that was for later. We left the police station, with the hamster, but nothing else.

Shortly after this the children and I were sitting at the table eating breakfast one morning. This husband was not present. The second child said, “They like boys.” The eldest, while smiling, repeated, "They like boys." I asked them what they were trying to say and the second child repeated, "They like boys." I asked them, “Are you telling me there are more people?” The second child said yes. I asked, “But where and when do you see these people?” The eldest replied, “When we go to the bank.”

This father went to the bank at least 2/3 times a week for an afternoon when he was home. He would always just take two of the children, but never all three. He always also went with the train. He never took the car. I had thought this behaviour odd but had not questioned that this father did not go to the bank as he was telling me. This was because he had given me the impression he is obsessed with money.

I asked the eldest child, “Don’t you go to the bank at all?” He replied, “No. We go to this place.”

I asked them if the smallest child has been there. The second child replied, “He goes to a room where the animals don't bite. I go to a room where the animals bite.” I asked them if there are other people as well and they said yes. I asked them if there were other children and they said yes. I asked them if there were men and women and they said yes. I asked them if the people dress like animals. The second child said, “No they don’t. They bite.” I asked them if they would be able to show me where this place is. The second child said he
will be able to show me which station they stop at. He said they walk a long time to get there from this station. I asked the eldest child if he can remember the station name. He then named the next biggest town to the south of us and another town further to the south.

I took the car when I went somewhere and did not know the rail system well, but decided to take the train now to see if the children could recognise the train station at least.

At the station the eldest child confirmed that they took the train away from the city when their father tells me “he goes to the bank”. This husband’s many banks were in the city, not out of the city. At the next train station from where we live the rail line splits and one had to get off the train for the second line. The children could not tell me if they get off or stayed on the train. I decided to stay on the train. The two smaller children fell asleep. I asked the eldest child questions, e.g., what the place looked like. He said it was an old house with cracked walls. There are 3 bedrooms. He hides in-between the cars, while his father is busy with his ugly things. I asked him if his father leaves him totally alone with these people and he said yes. He named a neighbour that had stayed with him once between the people. I asked him if he knew the people there. He named people that are there, this included friends of the father’s and neighbours. I could not believe it and asked him repeatedly if he was sure. Eventually he asked me to stop asking him questions. We travelled on the train for half an hour and the eldest child could not identify any of the stations as the station where they get off. I decided to go back home. This father would not take the train for half an hour one way and my chances of having a 5, 3 and 2 year old giving me correct directions would be slim.

During the following days I noticed the second child calls this place the “zoo”. I asked him why, and he replied, “The animals bite.” I asked him again if the people are dressed like animals? He replied, “No. They bite.”

The eldest child said the people smoke there, I asked because of this husband’s tremendous hate for smoking. I asked him what else they do and he said they sing.

I kept on asking the children, also each one separately, about this information, but always got the same answers.

I phoned the youth police. The police officer who had taken my previous statement was on holiday. Another officer said I should wait until he is back.

One night I woke up at 04:00, hearing someone on the stairs. It was my second child on his way upstairs. I asked him what he is doing? He turned around and said, "I like it." I had heard him say this when I had found him and the eldest child naked on the pouf cushion behind the wall. I told this child to come and sleep in my room. The next night the eldest child also wanted to sleep in my room. The smallest child had been sleeping there since this father had moved his cot downstairs into the spare room, at which time I had moved into the spare room as well. This second child wanted me to rub his back in the middle of the night and constantly told me to guard and/or protect him.

This husband, after having told me of the incident at my mother’s apartment, had tried his utmost to intimidate me with his harassment. I had tried not to respond to him. However, his added tactic, one I instinctively reacted on, was the one that got to me. This game of his was: aiming his attention at the children and luring them into situations he knew would upset me.

Now, when it was the children’s bath time and bed time, he was there. The children kept on declining this father’s continuous persistent offers of dressing and bathing them. Saying they want me to do it.

This father turned into an octopus with eight arms. He was all over the children, kissing and hugging, rubbing bodies, playing his wrestling game and snorting/tickling game. I tried staying with the children and tried to go where ever they go to protect them. I could not be everywhere and this caused me a lot of anguish and distress. This husband was acutely aware of my anguish and he increased his attacks on the children and on me and then recorded my reaction. I would be downstairs dressing a child and when going upstairs he
would be rubbing a child’s stomach, having pulled up the shirt, while the child watched television. He would try to convince them, asking repeatedly because they declined, to go cycling with him. For three days this man tried to lure them to go cycling with him, so he could show them a dead hedgehog on the road. He was so persistent and annoying that eventually I told him he can stop with that story now, because that driven over hedgehog, if it was there, is definitely gone by now.

My mother had given the children all the books they had and I read to them at bedtime. Because this husband was worming himself in everywhere he also wanted to read to the children before bed now. For his mission he needed a book, but did not know that their favourite books are in their room and when he started asking for a book, I did not tell him. I told him to get his own children's books. He went upstairs and scratched around in my books. I pushed him away and I told him to leave my books alone. He then took a book of his, “One soldiers fight for paradise,” and started showing the children the pictures. There were pictures of people with machine guns in camouflage, deformed carcasses, people driving with machine guns on a jeep. Then I saw a picture of a man being chased by a lion. The second child had nightmares of a lion by his bed at night. I grabbed the book and told this father, “Are you crazy, you cannot show the children this for a bed time story!”

One night this husband woke me up, repeatedly saying I should follow him. He took the basement stairs to the apartment. In the apartment he pointed out the stove with all four plates turned on. He started asking me in his cold voice who had done this, took his phone out and started taking pictures. My first thought was why did he not switch the plates off? So I turned the plates off and walked down the stairs back to bed. The next day I discovered he had locked all the doors and had taken all the keys to this apartment, using the turned on stove as his justification.

A few days later the children and I returned home around 17:00. This father emerged from the front door of the apartment. The front door of the house, right next to the apartment door, was also standing open. He told the children that he had bought them a remote control helicopter and that it was upstairs in the apartment. Busy charging he said. The children ran into the apartment and I told this husband if he has nothing to hide he will leave the front door open. I did not have keys for the apartment he took them all. He did not reply, but walked casually into the main house. I relaxed, followed him in and started making dinner, while he stood at the end of the kitchen counter. I started cutting an onion when this husband started running towards and out of the front door. I ran after him, but when I came to the door of the apartment, he had just closed it. I was on speed and slammed on the door as I ran into it. The glass panel in the door broke and cut my wrist open. I looked at it, but did not feel anything. I could see this husband standing on the other side of the door. There is double glass in the door and only the exterior pane broke. I reasoned that if I break the second one as well, I could open the door from the inside. I slammed on this glass pane as I did on the outer pane, but it did not break. This husband then opened the door. I turned without speaking, went back into the main house and phoned the police. I told them I had just reported child molestation to the police youth protection division and this father locked himself in the apartment with the children. When the police arrived they took our statements, and wanted to phone an ambulance.

This husband acted guiltless and meek. No, he could not understand why his wife broke the glass pane of the door. He was just charging the helicopter, that he had bought earlier that afternoon for his children in the apartment. No police officer noticed there are several power points to charge the helicopter with in the house. This husband need not have charged it in the apartment, to which I do not have a key, and then close the door on me. He knew the children would respond by running to the helicopter once he told them of it. He knew I did not want to leave the children alone with him. I had reacted on that and he had known that I would react. I did not know what the situation would be with the children if an ambulance took me to the hospital, so I told the police I will go myself. I finished making dinner and we ate. My wrist, which was gashed to the bone on one side, was starting to hurt and bleed. I also felt
extremely tired. This husband volunteered to drive me to the hospital and I had to accept this.

The rest of the month was one entire ball of torment altogether. This father/husband still took pictures, video’s and recorded everything, now mostly in secret and only sometimes holding the phone in front of him.

He charmed and lured the children on every occasion possible. Where before he never paid attention when who arrived from where, he now stood ready with a Cheshire cat smile, arms open as wide as possible and telling the children repeatedly, “Say hullo to Daddy!”

While the children were watching a DVD, he would ask them, “Can daddy sit with you? Daddy wants to sit with you. Can daddy sit with you?” He then plonked himself down on the big cushion pouf with them. He would start tickling them. The two eldest children would be enthralled by the DVD and the smallest child was the only one he could lure with this. As a result he concentrated on him, making snorting noises and biting actions on the child's body. He would stand on all fours, press his face in the child's neck and stomach and roll the child around for half an hour. He did not move around or chase the child. When the child tried to get away, he would pull the child back by an arm or a leg, into a lying position in front of him and continue.

This father continued his wrestling with the children, but now he was restraining himself to just pressing his face into their necks and holding their bodies against his while he tickled them in their necks and on their torso’s. He did not do his pinch tickle movement around their private parts. On occasion his hand would automatically go to their private areas, but he would stop himself. When I saw him getting out of hand and taking chances, I got my camera and kept this on him. If there was going to be evidence this father behaved. During one of these wrestling sessions of his, my camera's battery was flat, but I pretended to record just so that he would not do anything more to the children. The children were used to me recording and this did not bother them. I had made video recordings of these “wrestling” games of this father on earlier occasions, but could find none when I searched the computer.

This father also started disregarding the children's bedtime routines in this time. He would use the “wrestling games”, or he would find something to show the children, or he would phone his family repeatedly saying, “Let’s phone so and so. Do you want to speak to them?” When I eventually got the children downstairs to brush their teeth and to read their story, he would once again be present and be disruptive. His new game was to lure the children to rather sleep with him in his bed and he would ask them repeatedly, pulling sad faces and kiss them continually during his asking. I had found this kissing strange behaviour. For example, after struggling to get the children away from his attention and finally to go downstairs to bed, he would say, “Kiss daddy good night.” He would give them long hugs as well. The children would go downstairs to brush their teeth. He would come downstairs and repeat the whole process again, saying, “Kiss daddy good night.” When they went to bed, he would again say, “Kiss daddy good night,” after which he would start asking, “don't you want to sleep with daddy in his room?” This was every single nights procedure. He did not back down at all, but just increased his tactics, not accepting their refusals, but intensified his asking. He ignored me when I told him the children had said no.

The children and I went to the swimming pool twice during the school holidays. The first time this father invited himself with. We waited for him in the car and as he approached it, he decided to make a new rule for us. No more drinking and eating while he is driving. This, he said, is because the car is a mess inside. He ordered me to hand over the teat bottles at my feet and took the other one out of the second child’s hands. He put them in the boot of the station wagon. He started driving and the second child started crying, saying he wants his bottle. I leaned over the seats to try and reach it in the back and this father swerved the car to make it difficult for me. Scared that I will fall on one of the children I left it until he stopped and then gave the children their teat bottles. While they drank inside the car, this father stood next to the car. In his raging, cold voice he repeated, “No drinking and eating while I
am driving, because the car is dirty *inside.*"

After an hour at the pool this father decided by himself that we are all going home. Neither the children, nor I wanted to leave. While he was deflating the children's mattresses, I used the opportunity to try to get information. I reasoned he would not attack me in front of the people and started asking him about his actions and if he was enjoying himself. He got angry and managed to slightly bump my nose with his forehead before I pulled away. The second time I pulled away soon enough and I told him not to get upset. I am only speaking to him about his own choice of life. He tried to get the children to go home with him. They refused and he left in a rage.

The second time we went to the pool without this father. The second child shocked me. He went to a man and, while pointing at the man, said, "He has also hurt me." The child spoke in our language. This man could not understand him. But the man blushed, appeared flustered and looked from this child to me and back to the child. I was sitting at a table about 4 meters away from them. The man had a child about my eldest child's age with him. This second child came to me and I asked him if his father also hurt the man's boy. He replied, "No, daddy does not hurt other boys, but other people hurt me." I approached the man casually and asked him, in an international language, if we had met before? He looked shocked, was abrupt and said he does not know me, repeating it several times. I asked him his name, saying was he sure we have never met? He then gave me his name but did not want to give his surname and kept saying he does not know me. My eldest boy told me he knew his son and he wanted to play with him. When I asked the child if he knew this man's boy from school, he shook his head no. The children showed me another man, who they said are also at this "zoo". The man had a little girl, about six years old, with him. He was biting and physically rolling her around. The exact manner and actions in which this father was behaving towards our children.

At home, after bathing and eating, the eldest child was watching the *Cars* DVD on the computer. I was sitting next to him. In one place the press takes pictures of McQueen and their numerous flashes go off. This child asked me why they are taking pictures of McQueen. I told him McQueen was lost and he is a famous car and they had found him. He was silent for a moment and then he said, "They took pictures of me as well." I was not in the habit of using my flash while taking pictures.

I stood up and walked to the kitchen in our open living area. This father was sitting on the couch in the living room, typing on his phone and I asked him, "Why don't you just sell the children?" He replied, "I am not selling the children." I said, "From what my children are telling me you are selling them!" He did not answer. I told him, "You were right when you said, how will I ever be able to forgive you." He did not reply. **

**After I had found out about the incident at my mother's place. I had told this father during dinner one evening that God knows everything, God sees everything and God hears everything. He replied he knows. Later that evening when he sat on the couch, drinking his tea, he said to me, "How will you ever be able to forgive me?" I was cleaning up in the kitchen and replied, "It probably depends on what I need to forgive." He did not reply.

I struggled to get hold of the police officer who by now was back, but was never available. I made an appointment and went and spoke to another police officer at the Police section, and told him what the children are telling me. He said, "You don't have proof. You need to follow your husband around." He did not take a statement down.

The children continued to decline this father's attempts to bathe them, change diapers, undress or dress them. He continued his kissing and hugging routine with the children's bedtime and there were two nights when he managed to get them to go to his room.

The first time after he had put them all in his bed, I stayed in the room and sat at the bottom of the bed. He repeatedly told me to leave and I only shook my head no. He then said he was going upstairs to the apartment.
The second time he managed to get the children in his bed, this father once again tried to get me to leave the children alone there and I refused. He left “for the apartment” and had been gone for about 5 minutes. I decided to shower in the bathroom adjacent and when I turned on the shower he immediately walked into the room. This gave me the impression he had not left the house for the apartment as he was saying. He told me I am not allowed to shower there. I said ok and again sat on the bed.

On both these “sleep in daddy’s room” occasions the smallest child was restless and I took him to his bed. The second child did not fall asleep and came down on his own when I took the youngest downstairs. The eldest child fell asleep and I carried him down to bed.

With this father’s baiting routines at night, the second child started behaving out of character. He talked in a husky voice to this father, saying he wants to sleep with him. Then he would start to take off his pyjamas and role around while saying this. This father would take the child upstairs to his bedroom. I could not understand the child's confusing behaviour and initially tried to keep him from going up the stairs. The child would fight me. Then I realised this father was playing on our emotional reactions. I could see his enjoyment and he was also recording this. I let the child go, thinking I will try again later. I calmed down after noticing this child never stayed there and always came back down on his own, saying, "Mommy, you must protect me."

This husband was supposed to leave and go back to work. He left for two days after I reported to the police what the children told me and then changed his work schedule to stay at home.

He blocked the telephone. I could not dial out to my family or any international number from the house phone.

Before his family never called our home. This husband did the only calling on Skype. But they now started calling. This husband also increased calling them and phoned several times a day, always calling the children to speak to them.

The eldest child was always repeatedly asked if he wanted to say hullo to this father’s youngest brother, never the second child.

This particular day the eldest child was again speaking to his uncle and his grandfather had joined in. I had just given the second child strawberries at the dining room table and could hear the conversation.

The way they were coaxing this eldest child, made alarms go off inside my head. I asked the second child if this uncle or his grandfather also do these things. He said yes. I named each family member of this father separately and did not get a definite answer on the rest of the family. But he repeatedly said yes when I mentioned the father’s youngest brother and his grandfather.

When the eldest child had finished talking to them, I asked him the same question in private. He said yes, they also do it. I got upset and asked him, “But why do you do it?” The child replied, “If we want to ride on the harvester. Grandfather takes brother into the field and I stay with uncle.” I confronted this husband that night at around 22:30. Taking a chance, I asked him if his entire family are involved in child molestation. He did not give an answer to my question, but replied he was going to record the conversation. I told him he can and I repeated my question. He recorded me and then he went to his bedroom. I still did not have an answer. I was standing halfway up on the stairs, looking at his door, when I heard him say, “She knows. The children told her.” He was speaking in our native language and I did not hear him say hullo or goodbye to whoever he was speaking to. It was near 23:00 at night.

The second child started taking long baths 2/3 times a day. Once this father closed the main water tap, saying the child is wasting water. The bath water was cold and I carried water from my rain water tank, with the help of the eldest child, and boiled this until the bath was warm again. We had no tap water the entire afternoon. This father locked the door where the main water tap was and took the key.

I eventually felt like a tiger with rabies held on a leash. He and I were both exasperated. He was angry for me knowing his secrets and I was angry at him for what he was doing to the
children.

The eldest child used to go to school with a designated person who would meet all the children in the street and walk with them to school. Every morning, after the two week holiday that started shortly after I reported to the police, when the eldest child and I came out of the bedroom for school, this father now stood ready in his cycling gear. He would be a black shadow in the doorway against the light of the lit washroom. He had the bicycle wagon hooked up to his bicycle, ready outside the garage. He would then ask this child repeatedly, “Do you want daddy to take you to school in the wagon?” The two youngest children were still sleeping.

Initially I tried to stop the child and told him to walk with the people that were waiting for him outside the garage, but the child wanted to go with the bicycle wagon. I left it. This father also fetched this child at school now with this wagon. On one of these occasions the child came home looking shocked and white. I told him, “If something happens that you do not like, you tell me.” This father was standing by the garage door. When I looked at him he seemed to want to explode with anger at my non remarkable comment. This child started refusing to get up to go to school. This father repeatedly said it was my fault.

I never before had a problem with this child attending school. The child used to get up, dress, eat and leave. Now, with this father waiting, he did not want to get up and it was a huge struggle just to get him dressed.

When he was ready he also refused to go in the bicycle wagon. This father again changed his tactics and told this child he can cycle with his own bicycle. With all the abnormal commotion that was going on, the other children started waking up and hence also wanted to go with. The second child wanted his bicycle and the smallest his push car. This father would prod the eldest two children to ride faster, running with them, while I tried to keep up with pushing the smallest on the bobby car.

In these weeks trying to protect my children and for the most making movements so they are not alone with this father, behaviour from the children emerged, that to me was foreign. The smallest child walked to his father in the kitchen and laid himself flat on his back in front of him with his feet by this father’s feet. He stayed like that for at least ten-seconds before getting up and walking away without speaking. This father stood still during this and did not move or speak. The smallest child did this twice and the second child did it once. I asked this father why they are behaving in this manner? His replied that he does not know.

The second child went and stood behind this father, sitting on the couch, and caressed his head. I have never seen the child do this. This father was sitting with his eyes closed. I asked the child why he was doing it. He replied, “Daddy likes it.” I said to this father, “I have never seen this child do that before. Why is he doing it?” He abruptly replied, “I love him and he loves me.”

One afternoon this second child slept late for his afternoon nap. When he woke up, he started throwing a tantrum, telling me he wants to go to the “zoo” and that I have to take him. I told him I was not going to take him there. This husband was standing in the doorway and said to the child, “Come, come, you and I can go for a walk.” It was dark outside and I asked him, “It is 19:30. Why do you want to go for a walk now?” He did not answer, turned around and walked away. They did not leave and the child calmed down.

The children were playing in their room. I heard them scream, “Bloody, bloody,” incessantly. They were making their voices deeper and screaming it with this country’s language accent. I was puzzled, because I had never heard them say this. I asked them where they had heard this word and they replied, “The people at the “zoo” scream this when we try to run away.”

This husband phoned the police three times during this time. The first time he told the police I slapped him. We were involved in an argument in the garage after he took a child’s toy away and we were pushed each other around, but I did not slap him. The second time he
said I pushed him into the fish tank. Ironically, he had taken my handbag out of my room, while I was getting the children ready for bedtime. He crept up the stairs with the bag in front of him and did not want to give it back to me. He ran around the table in the direction of the fish tank, with me pulling on his shirt. All I remember is seeing I was going to go into the fish tank and only had enough time to turn, so I would hit it with my side. I had a bruise on my arm and upper leg, but only discovered this the following night. I told the police what my children were telling me. The police officers that were in the house after the fish tank had broken, told me it had nothing to do with them and that I should go to the police youth protection department. They only take statements. I asked them if they don’t know of a police officer that needed a place to stay and that he could stay for free in the apartment. They said no and left.

The third time this father phoned the police, I took the children out with his knowledge. When we came home later the afternoon, the police was outside the house. This father acted as if he did not know that we were going out for the day. I unloaded the car and showed the police the container in which our lunch was, saying this man stood in the kitchen while I packed it. This was the same police officer that was in the house when the fish tank had broken. He asked me where we were and I told him. This officer told me everything is ok. I told him I am glad if he thinks everything is ok, I do not. The children and I then walked into the house.

The children kept on repeating the information that they had given me at first and added more. The eldest child named someone who lives in our street and said that this man had also put his penis in his mouth. The child covered his face with his hands, after he had said this. This neighbour often gave presents to the children. Many of the children’s toys came from him. He is always jovial, smiling and friendly and would stop and talk to me when the children were playing outside.

The eldest child also told me that when they go to the park, his father takes his second youngest brother in under the bridge.

One Saturday afternoon, this father managed to persuade the children to go to his friend in the neighbouring town in the bicycle wagon hooked to his bicycle. He did this by using his repetitive baiting technique on them the entire morning.
I said I will cycle with them. The smallest child was on my bicycle in a seat. The eldest two were in the wagon behind this father’s bicycle. This father had a general habit of cycling/walking away from me. He was faster. Since the last time he had cycled away from me I have never cycled with him again. That was when I was 4 months pregnant with the youngest child. I have never cycled to these friends of this father. I put the car keys in my pocket, incase he did cycle away from me as usual.
One kilometre from the house this father cycled faster. I tried to stay with the children by grabbing hold of a band hanging from the trailer. The father saw this and started to cycle even faster. I lost my grip and he cycled away down the road. I turned around and cycled home to take the car. As I started driving, this father came back with the other children. The second child told me he kicked the wagon window, until his father had turned around. This father started cycling again and I drove behind them in the car.
He turned into a road that turned out to be a farm road that had barriers at the end and I had to leave the children, turn around and go back on the main road.
I found them again on the way to this friend’s place. When we got there this father was impatient, constantly saying he wants to leave. This puzzled me. He was the one who wanted to come and visit these friends of his, making a big cycling event out of it. I sat talking to his friend’s wife and this father was moving between the outside with the children and watching football on television. The second child pointed at people there. The eldest child had also mentioned these people that day on the train. I told the pointing child I understood and he went outside again.

When we left, this father cycled the same road back and I took the main road. But then I could not find him and the children again.
I had timed how long it took to get to this father’s friend, which was around 20min. After 40min I found this father with the children turning from the small town next to us onto the road to our town. He smiled when he saw me.

At home the second child wanted to bathe immediately. It was not their bathe time and he bathed alone. When I dressed him after the bath he told me, “Daddy took us to the slaughter house and hurt me with a wood beater.” Wood beater is this child's word for a hammer.

I did not confront this husband or gave any indication that I had this information. It seemed there was now another place that their father takes them to and the children calls this place the “slaughterhouse”. This “slaughterhouse” cannot be too far from the house by the sounds of it. I asked this second child the following week if he could show me where the “slaughterhouse” is. Making a detour in taking him to school, he showed me an old farm house with old ruined dilapidated barns in the back. In a section of these barns one could see a camper-van. I asked the child and he said they go into the camper-van. The following day when I drove past this farm house the camper-van was not in the barn anymore.

During the following week two women from the Social Service came to the house for an unannounced visit. Neither the father, nor I were supposedly informed of their visit. However, according to this father’s actions he certainly was expecting something or someone.

On the way back from school the second child wanted to play at the corner of our street and refused to go further with this father. The smaller child and I caught up with them. This father appeared to be in a hurry, but he wanted the children to go home with him. He kept on prodding and asking the children to go home. After having asked several times and them not responding to him at all, he eventually turned and walked off in a great hurry. I stayed with the children while they played. After a while, we went home.

I opened the garage door and was standing in the washroom on the ground floor around 15:00. I heard a woman’s voice say, “So when did her strange behaviour start?” I could also smell something had burned in the house.

The youngest two children were still pottering around outside the garage. When they started going in, I followed. The second child went to the hamsters in the downstairs bathroom and the youngest child went up the stairs to the living area. I also went up. Two women were sitting across from this father at the dining room table. I went to look at what had burned. It was eggs that I had put on to boil at around 12:30 on a heat setting of 6 with the timer for 20 minutes. The eldest child wanted eggs for lunch when he came back from school at about 12:15. We had cheese, bread, jam and fruit, while the eggs cooked. When the eggs were ready this child said he was repleted. I had also cleaned up after lunch leaving the eggs next to the sink to cool and nothing except this husband’s tea was simmering on the stove.

Now the eggs were intact, burned half through and no water in the pot?

The one social worker was the main speaker. The other social worker never spoke. She just sat looking at me the whole time. During this interview, the second child was still in the bathroom downstairs and I could hear strange noises. This did not bother any of the people at the table. I stood up and the social worker did not want me to leave the table. Her reasoning was that what she is doing is very important, and I should leave the children. I thought why can’t she leave the table and come with me? You cannot disregard small children for a few minutes, let alone hours. This social worker did not comprehend this. Initially I obliged, but as the noises became louder and stranger I ignored her and went to check on the child. He had opened the hamster cage, the hamsters were out, the wood chips were everywhere over the floor, but other than that the child was fine.

This social worker told me that I should not listen to my children and that I should not give my children what they ask for. The first time she said this my thought was that this woman does not have children and has no experience in raising children. I was right. My second
thought was these women are saying they are psychologists. Does that not entail listening to children and trying to help them if you are in the business of child protection? After one of her repeated speeches of saying I should not give my children what they ask for, she sarcastically asked if I would also take the goldfish in the car? I wondered if this woman was aware of how the hamsters and fish had come to be at the house or the shop for that matter. Has she ever seen people walking their animals, or carry them in handbags and cages, etc. She gave me the impression her concern for the welfare of the animals is her highest and only priority. She made farfetched statements in an aggressive tone about hamsters being thrown around and being missing for weeks, always adding, “You should set boundaries for your children!” This made me frown, thinking why on earth is she making these false accusations? She had not seen this happening with her own eyes, never mind that it did not happen.

The eldest child returned from school around 16:20. He came into the house but did not want to stay. I, having had enough, went outside with him to a tree he wanted to climb. This father came and told me they want me to come back in. He said he will take care that the child does not fall. I went back in and the next moment this father came back into the room, having left the child alone by the tree. The child could climb up, but needed help in getting down. I went back outside and asked the child to come in, which he did.

We arrived back, as these women, touring the house with this father, was by the washing room door. Neither of these two social workers paid any attention to the children, attempted to speak to or interacted with them in any way.

The second child was still in the bathroom downstairs and was holding a hamster. The social worker, who was the spokeswoman, got severely distressed and repeated several times, “Look how he is holding the hamster! Look how he is holding the hamster!” I had several problems with this comment of hers:

This child had been playing with the hamsters most of the time she was at the house.

Everyone had disregarded the noises from his playing.

He had played with the hamsters many times before as well. He was kind, gentle and considerate and I trusted them with him.

When looking at how he held the hamster I could see the hamster was comfortable. The hamster was not in distress at all or he would be biting.

While this social service worker repeated, “Look how he is holding the hamster!” She herself neither the other social service worker nor this husband was looking. From where they were standing they could not see the child, they stood looking at me. Then this social worker told me, “You have to take yourself to the emergency at the hospital immediately!” I looked at her, frowning, and she repeated this. Initially I did not understand what this woman was saying. After she repeated herself the second time I realised, but I said nothing. The third time this social worker repeated her emergency hospital sentence, she was in the hallway at the front door about to leave. I replied, “I believe what my children had told me. I will not leave them alone.” She did not reply and I walked away. Thinking, my small children telling me they are being abused, raped and, I suspect from their words, exposed to a pedophile circle, is in second place after rodents in the social service worker’s priority list.

I left these women at the front door with this husband, and went outside with my eldest child through the back door, because he wanted to climb the tree. My eldest child walked out onto the sidewalk and I stopped in the alley, watching, as the two women crossed the street, laughing. They did not appear upset, but rather quite satisfied and happy. Still smiling, they got into a long, dark coloured convertible with the top down, parked across the street, and drove away.

On 23 April 2012 this father’s divorce summons was delivered in the house mailbox. He gave me the summons for the divorce in the evening, saying he had found it on the door step. I opened the envelope and saw the notice for divorce. I asked him what it is and he said he does not know, it is probably the youth court that sent it. I told him, “Are you telling me you are getting divorced without knowing it?” He did not reply. This “emergency” divorce hearing was scheduled for 30 April 2012.
I watched old photo’s of the children on the computer. The children started watching with me. Usually, when we went to this father’s parents’ farm, the children would walk around with the least amount of clothes on. This was because they got wet and dirty and it was hot in the summer.

There was a picture of this father holding the eldest two children one on each arm. In the picture the children only had shirts on. The eldest child remarked, “Bums and penises. Mommy is dumb. Mommy is dumb.” I said, “Yes, I was dumb.”

One day I walked to the dining room table and found this father sitting on the couch in the living room. He was holding the second child so that the child was in a forward bent position. The child was hanging like a rag doll. This father was sitting on the edge of the seat with his legs open and swung the child repeatedly against him. I had never seen him do that with any of the children. I told this father to carry on doing what he is doing, because I just want to get my camera. This father that appeared captivated, looked up and stopped.

I found, to my shock, having feelings of anger towards my children. Because they had listened to and obeyed people that were hurting them. Even kept quiet about these things that were so wrong, which resulted in more hurt for them. Every time they were disobedient, I noticed, it reminded me of this. I knew my anger was misplaced. I could not blame them. They had no idea how to distinguish between right and wrong. Right and wrong is taught by parents.

I needed time out. I had been running behind the children and been on guard for weeks with constant attacks and luring from this husband. Everything was getting to me. I told this husband I was going for a walk, but rested out of sight in my room. It was lunch time. When it became time for the eldest child to go back to school, this father told the eldest child to walk with the adult and other children as he used to do. The child refused, saying he wants to take his bicycle. This father had smilingly and enticingly ran with his boys on bicycles to and from school the past weeks, with me trying to keep up with them. Now that this father thought I was not there, he was getting cross with this child, because this child wanted to take his bicycle.

The eldest child started screaming and I walked up the stairs. I saw them in the kitchen. The child was lying on the floor and this father was standing over him with a 4 cm thick, metre long wooden spoon with a scoop the size of a hand. He had bought a few of these “spoons” as a joke to give to friends before we got married and had kept one, which was lying on the extractor fan. I told this father that if he is going to hit my child with that thing, he will be dealing with me. He put the big spoon down and scratched in the drawer, saying he was looking for something else. I told the child to get ready for school and again we all, including the now running father, took him on his cycle to school. The spoon disappeared and I never saw it again.

I told the children, in the father’s presence, if someone puts something in their mouths, they must bite it so hard that it bleeds. I stuck my finger in this father’s mouth and he bit me so hard that my finger was bleeding. I told the children, look how your father had done it. You must also do this. I told them if someone hurts them they are allowed to defend themselves. I told them they are allowed to stick their fingers in the person’s eyes.

The second child started hitting this father on his legs and ramming him on his penis with his head.

After the two social workers’ visit this father said that she said the children must sleep in their room. I did not try to stop this.

The first night I stacked toys behind their bedroom door. I woke up again at +/-04:00 from the noise of the toys falling. The second child was awake and standing in the hallway. I told him to go back to bed. This husband was also awake. He came and stood halfway down the stairs. He said to this child, “Daddy is waiting for you. Daddy is waiting for you,” as if he was saying a mantra. He had this big smile on his face, while he said it. I told this father, “I can hear you. I am standing right here.” As if oblivious of my presence he repeated, “Daddy is waiting for you.” I told the child to go to his room and I put him to bed. After that I slept on a
mattress in the passage in front of the children's bedroom door, blocking the bottom of the stairs leading up. I still put toys behind their door, but slept there in case the noise of the toys falling did not wake me.

I needed help in protecting the children, so I asked my mother to come and assist me, but this husband had forbidding my mother the house. I asked my next door neighbours, an elderly couple, if my mother could stay with them. They wanted to know why my mother was not staying with me. I told them this husband had forbidden it. I told them I went to the police, because my children had told me their father is not behaving properly with them. This lady then asked me, what about his comrades and is he profiting from the children? Her husband, who stood next to her, put his hand on her arm and she stopped talking. They said my mother can stay with them. A few days later they changed their minds.

On 3 April 2012 we saw a child psychiatrist, at the children's hospital. This was the child psychologist who the organisation for abused children had referred us to. The earliest this psychologist could schedule an appointment was after a month. According to this organisation she was an expert in her field. I was under the impression this was evaluation for molestation. But she said she knew nothing of the molestation of the children. She also showed no interest and interrupted me when I listed the second child's behaviour. Saying she was only asked to see if this second child is autistic. She concluded in the meeting that lasted about 20 minutes that he is not autistic, while watching him play with his brothers. She did not speak to any of the children. She had an attitude of "he is not autistic, goodbye and thank you for coming".

There is and was a huge problem. My children are only fluent in our mother language. This language is not spoken in this country. There is no psychologist in this country that could be able to assess my children or help them efficiently in any way.

This husband had the children’s passports locked up in a safe of which only he had the key. If I broke open the safe, took the children and left the country, I would be charged with kidnapping my own children. A law in this country disallowed me leaving with the children without this father’s permission. He could immediately get full custody of the children.

I did see a lawyer a few days after I received the notice for divorce, but she said she felt too inexperienced to deal with the case. The hearing was supposed to be on 30 April 2012, but was postponed by her to 7 May 2012. Friday 4 May 2012 I had an appointment with another attorney. I had to go, since this was the only time she could see me. The divorce court hearing was the Monday. This father was also going into the city. For the first time, since the children had told me of the "food", the second child wanted to go with his father. On the previous occasion with the bicycle, the other children said they wanted to go and he had said he was only going if I also go with them. However, now I told him I cannot go with them and he said it was ok. I was not allowed to keep the children away from this father.

This husband made a fuss saying he does not want me to travel on the same train as he and the children. He said he will take the car if I insisted on taking the train with them. I ignored him and went on the train and bus and I stayed with the children as long as possible. I had to get off, I had no choice. Instead of going to the advocate I went to an organisation for abused woman and told them I need help.

The woman at this organisation said they cannot help me and have no space in any of their protection houses. I gave her contact details of the social worker and police officer and then went to the advocate. After this I went home and this father arrived later with the children. This second child threw a tantrum, his first one in months.

The pastor and his wife came to our house that afternoon. While they were there, the woman of the organisation for abused women that I had gone to that morning, phoned at around 16:00, with the words, “The social worker is afraid for your and your children's lives.” She told me I have to put the children in the car and come to their office immediately and not to tell the husband where we were going. I told her this husband will not let us leave. She replied I need to be in the city before 17:00, and that she will tell me where to go from there. I packed a few things and put this in the car. This husband had taken the two youngest children to fetch the eldest child from school, while I had spoken to the pastor and his wife.
When they arrived, I put the children in the car.

This husband saw the packed car. When he asked, I told him the social service worker told me to take the children to an office in the city. He climbed into the driver seat and told me to give him the keys. It was 16:30 and I needed to be in the city with the rush hour traffic by 17:00. I first climbed in the passenger seat and then gave him the keys. He drove us there and dropped us off with our luggage. When this father left, the second child threw a tantrum. The other two children went to play in the play area.

This woman wanted to know why I had brought this husband along. Although they work at an organisation for women in distress she obviously does not know what a woman in distress means. I told her he got in the driver’s seat and asking does not work with this person. So what else could I do?

I asked her if the social worker said why our lives are in danger and she replied, because of the violence in the house. This was so ironic to me, I started laughing hysterically. This social service worker, after her visit, did nothing for anybody’s protection. After all the abuse, luring, harassment and lies that the children and I had had to endure, this social worker now, after I approached an organisation for protection, said she wants to protect us from violence in the house. This organisation also had place for us now, but obviously not based on my cry for help.

The arrangements for the stay at the protection house was made until Monday 7 May 2012?

We took the train to a house in the north of the country. It was one hour by train. On arrival I realised I do not have my handbag. I phoned home and asked this father if he would look for it. He refused. I cancelled the credit cards and went to the police. On the train I did notice someone watching me, even openly turning around to do this, and I had also left the luggage alone and took the children to the toilet.

I reported my bag missing and told them of this person that had shown interest.

During the first day at this place I cried and I could not control it so I allowed myself to cry. This was over the next day. There were bicycles and a sandpit for the children and this kept them mostly occupied. Every person there spoke below average english and having any conversation was difficult.

On Monday the children and I went to the neighbouring town in the van of the protection house. I went to the bank and the children waited in the van. Afterwards the lady driving the van went to the post office. The children sat strapped in the van most of the morning and wanted to walk around. It was also lunch time. When she came back, I told her I was taking the children for lunch and we will take the bus back. She directed me to a place to eat. This place was similar to a diner. They had big television screens on the walls, which played explicit sexual music videos, displaying half naked woman and men on each other’s laps. I told the children not to watch, it is not for children. They laughed at me and said they know this. This is what the people do at the “zoo”.

The children and I walked around. I noticed a grey haired, pot bellied, shortish, old man with ice blue eyes. The first time I saw him he was walking towards us. I do not judge people by their looks, but this man did not have any pleasant features. That is why I noticed him. I saw him walking past us and then we would again walk past him in the town’s shopping area. I started paying attention to where he goes and noticed that when we stopped, he stopped and would turn to look in a window. If we walk across the street this man crossed the street. If I crossed the street again he would as well. I took out my camera and started taking pictures down the street in his direction. This man carried on walking. He walked past me, stopped 20 metres further on a street corner and stood staring into the sky. I took the children into a shoe store and stayed there for a while, buying shoes for the second child, etc. With luck, this shop had a climbing frame for children right in the middle of the shop, so it kept the children busy. Late that afternoon we took the bus back to the protection house for woman.

I had an appointment with the manager of the protection house on Tuesday 8 May 2012 in
the morning. It was pre-arranged that morning with someone to watch the children in the office across the hallway. The telephone rang and the manager said in the country’s language, “They had just arrived,” while looking out of the window. I did not look at what she was looking.

She was looking at the police. While I was oblivious, the police placed the children and their belongings, that was in our locked room, in vehicles. Then they came into the office, gave me the order from the judge made on 7 May 2012 that this father had full temporary custody of the children. They were sent to come and take them from me. The police, in uniform and a police car were sent to fetch these small children. This father was nowhere. So much for me telling my child the police does not take children away.

The manager of the protection house said only when they see the mother is a bad mother do they then call the police, but they did not call the police in my case. She said she had never allowed it and would not allow it again, which meant she made an exception to allow it with me and my children. They said to each other in the country’s language that the eldest and youngest children said yes when asked if they wanted to go to their father and the second child said no.

I asked if I can say goodbye to the children. They allowed this. The second child wanted to know why I was not coming with them. I did not know what to answer him and now think why did I not just get into the car. But, for a reason I cannot fathom, I did not. I tried not to cry in front of them, so they will not get upset. I did cry with my still breastfeeding youngest child aged two. He was alone in the unmarked blueish police vehicle.

Then the police drove away with my children.

Chapter 8

Drowning in Lies

After the police left with my children, I phoned my advocate. The judge based his decision to give full temporary custody to this father on the report from this social service worker. My advocate said she is not allowed to show/give me this report, it is highly confidential. If I want my children back, I have to go to the hospital and be evaluated to proof my sanity.

This meant I was proclaimed mentally unstable on rumours of this father and rumours in the social service worker’s report.

My children ages 5, 3 and 2 had just been driven away from me by police, around a month after I reported their molestation and I suspect a pedophile circle they are talking about. The police took my children, whom I had given birth to and nursed, away, as if I was a thief and my children were possessions that I had stolen.

I felt cross, upset, unhappy and angry, to say the least!

To my “court claimed mentally unstable mind” this sounded like an “ideal” situation in which to be mentally evaluated. Plainly others thought so as well.

I left the protection house for women and went to the hospital and waited there from about 17:30 until about 23:00 and still saw no psychiatrist. On enquiring how long it will be, I received the answer, “You have to wait.” The pastor kept phoning me, saying he will take me where I want to go and repeatedly asked me, “What are your plans?” In the manner the pastor talked it sounded as if I am not allowed to go home. I phoned my advocate and she told me I have to go home. They can say I deserted the house, if I do not. Around 23:00 I told the receptionist of this hospital that I am leaving. She jumped out of her chair, ran out of her office door and said the psychiatrist will arrive in 20 minutes, I have to wait. I ignored this woman and left.

The buses had stopped running and the trains from the city were stopping at midnight. When the pastor phoned again I told him I am going home, because apparently I am allowed to do that. He said, “Yes you are.” He and his wife came to pick me up at the main train station. I arrived home at midnight. As I climbed into bed, this father came to the bedroom and told me that I am not sleeping in the house, but have to go and sleep in the apartment.
He gave me back my keys for the front door of the apartment. My advocate earlier advised me not to fight. Being dead tired with no fight left, I went upstairs.

If I ever doubted that my Father in Heaven is alive and well, then I doubted no more. I would feel like I cannot walk anymore. The thought in my mind was one foot at a time, and I walked again. When I looked around me and saw no compassion or understanding for my pain and anguish, I asked Him to comfort me and He did. When I felt defeated with no more strength, I asked Him for it. He lifted me and gave me strength. When my heart felt like breaking, thinking of my children, when it felt like I could not even take the next breath, because of the pain in my chest, I asked for peace and He gave it. I realised in amazement half an hour later, after I had asked Him, that what I had asked for, was given. He gave me willpower, strength and peace that I never imagined having. I knew my God the Almighty that wins every battle, was with me. I asked Him to be with my children and I knew He was with them as well. I felt His overwhelming love, I put my trust in it and I knew whatever happens, it is going to be ok. He will not leave me. He will not leave my children. We are not alone.

The next day I again went to the hospital to try to be evaluated as my advocate advised. I saw a psychiatrist and explained the situation to him. He phoned this husband. When he came back, he said this husband says I have post natal depression. But this psychiatrist replied he cannot admit me, because he cannot see that I need to be admitted as an emergency. I phoned my advocate, telling her this, and she wanted a letter.

I went back to the hospital the next day, got the letter and asked this psychiatrist to admit me for an evaluation, because of my situation. If I do the evaluation in the hospital it would take a week and a half and an outside evaluation would take 6 half hour sessions over at least a month and a half. Time was an issue to me. This psychiatrist arranged an appointment with the head of admission at the psychiatric division.

On this day, these psychiatrists wanted to know why I had taken a hamster to the police station. My advocate also asked me this. I told them the children wanted to and I had allowed this. They needed this comfort and I gave it, seeing no enormously problematic reason not to. [The country I was raised in do not have a law prohibiting travelling with animals. There is also no law in this country and there is no sign at the police station saying no animals. Or that it is criminal or illegal. Or that you will be proclaimed mentally unstable for breaking this unwritten law and your children will be taken from you.]

They informed me they don't have a vacant bed in the psychiatric department, but they will put me on a waiting list. They estimated that I would wait two weeks for space. This “news” irritated me. In trying to get into the psychiatric hospital for days, nobody mentioned that there is no vacant bed. I then phoned the psychiatrists in the telephone book, but with all those I phoned could only find a first appointment after several weeks. I phoned the psychiatric department secretary at the hospital, who knew me by then, and asked her what the hospital procedure would be if I stood on a bridge, saying I am going to jump, will they make space for me at the hospital? She laughed and said they will send an ambulance and admit me, yes, but it would not be a good beginning. This made a lot of sense. Strangely enough, my advocate advised me the very next day to go to the hospital crying and screaming, so that they will admit me. The results of her proposition would be: not a good beginning.

A few days later my advocate found a psychiatrist to start an immediate evaluation outside the hospital, but this was going to take a month or more. This psychiatrist also did not want to admit me, saying I am not ill. I assumed he also had the social service worker’s report and knew everything there is to know. Every one else asked me questions about things I had not told them, and so, I just answered his questions. My advocate had advised me to stop speaking about what the children had told me and to leave it. In my circumstances I did not want to repeat the children’s words either. It made me
feel terribly helpless and sad.

I only slept in the apartment and was still allowed into the house by this father. I still had a front door key, but my garage remote was missing.

I went back to the neighbour to speak to the woman, who previously enquired about this father’s comrades and his profit from the children. This neighbour was very nosy and would always peek over the wall when the children and I were in the back yard. On such an occasion, while the children were swimming naked in the backyard, she told me to be careful of pedophiles. I had found this comment dramatic at the time. Thinking my children are playing in the privacy of their back yard. Only the immediate neighbours could see them. When I asked this neighbour on her comment that day she replied that she do not want the police at her place. She also asked me why I don't want my husband anymore? Leaning forward with a gleeful smile, she asked, “Or do you like women?” I stood up and said I am leaving. Half way down her stairs she started crying, saying she is not sleeping anymore. I left.

I tried speaking to the social service worker who had visited the house and she abruptly told me she was finished with “this case”.

One afternoon the second child was on his bed for his afternoon nap. He told me I must pray to Jesus. I said I will and told him he must too. He said he will. He turned to his father, who sat at the end of the bed, and told him, “You do not pray to Jesus. Jesus did not make you good. You take me to other people as well.” This father replied with his cheshire cat smile, “Where does daddy take you to other people? Daddy does not take you to other people.” I turned to the child and told him, “I believe you.”

After I told the child this and had left the room, this father chased me out of the house, saying, “Out, out!” in his cold voice. He then told me I can only see my children one hour a day under supervision. I phoned my advocate in tears and her words were, “You have no rights.”

This supervision this husband referred to, it turned out, would be done by him and his eldest brother, who arrived in the country the following day.

Initially, when this husband’s eldest brother arrived, he said to me that if his brother is molesting the children, he would disown him as his brother. I laughed and told him nice try, but I have no wrong impression of what is happening. He told me it was the judge and psychologist's decision to take the children away from me.

I repeated what I overheard this husband say to someone that night, after I had confronted him about what the children had told me of his family, which is, “She knows. The children told her.”

This eldest brother's face went bright red. I said, “I thought it was you that he had phoned that night.”

The reason I thought this was, that it was very late at night. I had seen this husband's eldest brother on Skype many times at that hour and this husband also used to phone him late at night. This eldest brother did not reply. After this he changed his tactics and even said that the judge the psychologists and the neighbours had no problem with it, only me. So maybe the fault lies with me. This husband looked extremely uncomfortable when his brother said this.

This husband changed the locks on the front door of the house. This was the only entry key to the house I had. He told me I am only allowed to knock on the door at night and work on my computer in the house when the children are asleep. This husband refused to allow me to go to the children to kiss them good night, even if they were sleeping. His excuse was that I was smoking. Many women and mothers smoke. This is not a crime. It also did not make their husbands act in this cruel fashion. I agree smoking is a bad habit and bad for your health, but it did not warrant this husband’s behaviour.
There used to be a laptop computer in the apartment that I had worked on before the Apple computer was bought. When I enquired on the whereabouts of this laptop, this husband said, “It is missing…”

Either this husband or his eldest brother was with me the entire hour they allowed me to be with my children.
One has to keep in mind that neither I nor my children had seen this eldest brother for more than two months in our entire lives and now this man acted as if he had total abusing control over us and owned us.
I was not allowed to breastfeed the youngest child anymore. If I tried breastfeeding him when he asked for milk, I lost my visiting the next day.
This father and his brother physically restrained the children several times, while the children were calling and crying for me, while they took them away.

The eldest child would come and ring the doorbell of the apartment, but either this father or his eldest brother took him away every time they found him.

If I said or did something during my “visiting hour” these two men did not agree with, I would be told to get out. Even if my hour with the children was not over. Or I would be told that so much time will be deducted off the following day’s visit or that I lost my “visitation” the next day. I lost my “visitation” several times for trying to breast feed my child. Sometimes I would be told in advance and sometimes when I phoned to find out when I can see my children, I would be told sorry you don’t see your children today. Examples for my punishment is, when the children asked me why I don’t put them to bed and I told them that daddy had changed the locks on the doors and I don’t have a key and cannot get in to put them to bed. It was the truth and what this father had done. But he did not want the children to know the truth of his involvement.

One evening I asked if I may bathe the children. This husband’s eldest brother sat outside the bathroom on the stairs. This husband came in and said my hour is up. I replied, “Ok, I just want to kiss my children goodbye.” He said, “Your time is up. You have to get out.” I was holding the youngest child in my arms and he took him from me. I kissed this child on his head. This husband reacted saying, “Every time you kiss the children I am deducting 15 min off your time for the next day.” I kept on kissing this child and lost my visitation for the next day. He kept on saying, “Out, out!” This happened in front of the children.

One day I heard, from inside the apartment, the second child throwing a tantrum outside. This father and his eldest brother were trying to force this child into the car. This child literally held on to the door, screaming. I called to him from the apartment and then ran down. This father’s eldest brother grabbed the kicking and screaming child and ran with him into the garage. This father stood in front of the garage. The other two children sat in the car. This father’s eldest brother held the screaming child inside the garage, restraining him in his arms. The child started screaming for me when he saw me. I asked this father if I can hold the child as he is calling for me. He replied, “No, if you don’t go to the apartment, I am calling the police.”

Apparently I had no rights. I could not protect my children or console them. I went upstairs and looked out of the window how this husband and his eldest brother physically forced this child into the car. The child was hitting the window and screaming, while they drove away with him.
I sat thinking how ironic that I, a court claimed mentally unstable mother, was pressed to her limit emotionally, having to watch emotionless and non caring people abusing her children.
On a Friday I arrived with the train and on the way home saw the eldest child walking home from school. I walked with him. This father no longer stood ready outside the garage with the trailer hooked onto his bicycle, asking the eldest child if he wants him to take him to school. He also no longer fetched him from school. He did not run with the children on their bicycles to school and back anymore. He had only done it to disturb us. He got what he wanted. That part of his game was finished.
The Sunday I phoned to the house to find out when I can see the children for my hour. This father said he wants to talk to me first. When he says this I know that I had done something “wrong” and was going to be punished. Somebody must have informed him over the weekend, that I had walked with the eldest child on the Friday afternoon. As a result I was not allowed to see the children that day. This father said if I want to walk with in this group of people, I have to arrange with either him or his eldest brother beforehand. They will supervise and this time will be deducted off the hour visitation.

Another day I arranged to eat with the children for my allowed hour’s visitation. The children had been playing downstairs in the garden. I was at the dining room table and heard the second child screaming hysterically, “No, no!” from the garden downstairs. I ran down. This father’s eldest brother, completely ignoring the child’s distress, held the child in the air and was forcefully pulling down his pants. The child fought and cried, “No, no.” When this father’s eldest brother saw me watching him, he put the child down and walked past me into the house without saying a word. The child stopped screaming. His pants were wet. I took the child inside and helped him to put on dry pants.

I wonder what would happen if I forcefully restrain someone and pull pants down, while this person was screaming no. Would I be charged with indecent assault? You might also think: This child’s pants are wet. He did not want to listen and was forced. This child needs to be taught to listen to authority, either by the parent or anyone else in authority or control.

I have a few problems with this father’s eldest brother’s behaviour. He needed not to have forcefully held this child in the air, in such a way that incapacitated this child. Thus making the child feel utterly helpless. It was also not cold, so the child would not get ill — if that was indeed a concern of his. This child is also capable of changing his own pants. This father’s eldest brother had no replacement pants with him in the garden.

Another day the children took the fire extinguishers that I had bought and sprayed the entire spare bedroom that this father had taken over from me and where he now slept. The children did not misuse the fire extinguishers under my supervision. These people seemed to leave these small children to their own devises. They sat with their computers, talking to one person after the other on Skype. Creating their impressions in the minds of those they spoke to.

Apparently all these “instructions”, on how to treat me and my children, were given to this father by the social service worker that had told me she is no longer involved in “this case”. I wondered if these instructions were aimed at driving me insane and to break my children. If it was, then this social service worker is very insightful and clever in torturing methods. I suffered, while listening to my children crying and screaming while they suffered.

I consulted my advocate again and once again she said I was given no rights to my children. I can say and do nothing.

The hamsters the social service worker showed so much concern over, were caged now and placed in the cold, dark garage on the floor. Nobody paid attention to them anymore. On passing through the garage, the children were told not to touch the hamsters, because they will only hurt them. I watched this in amazement.

This father kept on saying to me, “Do you want to see your children in an orphanage? If you carry on they will be put in an orphanage.” Initially the thought shocked me, but then I started thinking it would be better.

The wife of a friend of this father became a friend of mine. They lived in another country not far away. She contacted me a few days after the children had been taken away from me, wanting to know what is going on. She said this husband contacted them to write a testimony for him, but he did not say for what he wanted it. She was shocked when I told her what was going on and asked if they could come over for a visit. I said yes.

In the week that followed this father kept asking me if it was ok if they come to visit and I said yes. I am just going to find out from my advocate if there are no legal ramifications.
They wrote a witness statement for him, but will be staying in the apartment with me. Then I received an sms from her, saying that if I don't want them to come, she understood. I phoned her, upset, telling her I want them to visit. She told me that this father/husband created an impression with her that I did not want this. He did this by saying they had written a testimony for him and created the impression I was unhappy with them. I knew exactly why he did this. This father/husband did not want them to see what he was doing. They arrived the same Saturday 19 May 2012 as my sister-in-law, my eldest brother's wife. This friend was shocked when she saw this father and his eldest brother’s behaviour. How he kept the children away from me and changed the front door locks of the house. What this father was doing did not agree with what he had told her over the years, which was that I am a good mother to the children. She also said he was not like the person she had known over the past years. She then wrote a testimony for me, based on what she saw.

This father showed this friend’s husband his correspondence with the “off the case” social service worker and her emailed instructions on my “visitation” with the children and how to deal with me in judging and punishing me with the 15 minute deduction in time.

On the other hand, my brother’s wife deemed this husband’s actions righteous. I asked her to be in the house and protect my children, to which she said, “Your children are fine. They are too comfortable with their father to be abused.” This also made me think and then do research. There is a common assumption, according to the internet, that a child would avoid someone that was raping or sexually abusing them. Would this be true if the child is a toddler/very small and easily indoctrinated with no knowledge of right and wrong? Would this child, that has a natural instinct of love and dependency on this person to teach them, perceived these actions as playful attention? Or would this small innocent child perceive it as harmful and wrong? I found to my shock that the internet listed molested children starting from the ages of 6/7 years old and up. No toddlers, according to these statistics, are molested.

This father, after giving me names of the people that he said spread the rumour of him “liking little boys”, started denying that any of this had ever been said. I mentioned his rumour to these friends during the weekend. The friend’s husband replied, “Yes, I remember the story.” Then he named the same two people this father mentioned. The friend’s husband said he recalled it was said as a joke in a bar. And, no surprises, this father told him of this rumour. Once again, this father is the bearer of the inglorious information about himself. In this process he also extinguish the rumour with an explanation. The explanations he used: it was overheard in a bar and said as a joke; they are trying to discredit him and want his job; an example of how a lie can ruin his life. These added “excuses” covered a lot of ground from a joke to ruining a life.

But there are no humorous qualities in this rumour. No one got his job and his life was also never ruined. Also strange is that these excuses always depicted him as the victim.

My brother’s wife did not agree that this situation was abnormal or abusive. On the contrary, she admired this husband’s actions and would say to me, “Look at what he has given you. Look at this nice house.”

A reality check would be: I worked very hard to built it, not for me, but for everyone to live happily and comfortably in. That was my only reason. But there was no happily and comfortably, and even this house and its content were used by this husband for oppression and control.

I had never before spent a great amount of time with my brother’s wife and had frowned when I heard she was coming to emotionally support me. She never showed interest in me. During her visit one afternoon she started screaming many things. I told her she can stop, after she screamed, “You will never see your children again.” I looked at this woman and thought what a wonderful way to emotionally support someone — in hell maybe. She sat crying on her bed, saying she is trying to protect herself. She appeared overly tired the entire
time and I put it down to the sun going down late, which might have disturbed her normal eight o'clock bedtime regime, which had now become midnight. She opened three small yogurts one morning and put them on the table. She started insulting me for not wanting yogurt and not eating them. She kept repeating I am spoiled. This I had heard from her several times before in my life. This was the reason I frowned when hearing she was coming to support me emotionally. But it was a comfort to my mother, who kept on saying to me how much better she felt, knowing someone is with me. This resulted in me not telling my mother of my sister-in-law’s behaviour.

Later in my sister-in-law’s stay she told me that she has a form of psychosis and was taking medication. This explained her behaviour. She had to my knowledge never been out of her country before, never mind on her own. It annoyed me that my brother allowed his wife to come over in this stressful situation. No one in the family knew about his wife’s condition. Everyone had thought she is a naturally calm, relaxed, levelheaded person. My mother told me she was so relaxed that she even fell asleep in company.

Now this father/husband and my brother’s wife, both on different occasions, said that if I take medication I will be allowed to see my children more. My sister-in-law added to this saying this husband will take me back if I take medication.

I looked up major depression symptoms on the internet and tried to fake depression in front of the psychiatrist that was now doing a psychiatric evaluation. He looked at me and said he was not going to lie. My brother’s wife accompanied me to one of his sessions. We could see her through the window. I told the psychiatrist that my sister-in-law says I need treatment. He asked me what her profession was. I told him she is a beautician. He replied he is a psychiatrist. He spoke of what had happened as only the first round. This gave me hope, thinking I will be prepare for the next round. The only advice I received from my advocate during this time was that I have to proof that I have no mental illness. She continuously told me not to say anything of the molestation. I now had to leave that alone.

I knew it was only God’s mercy that was keeping me standing, considering the circumstances. I knew I was not capable of doing anything on my own. I also knew that He had a plan.

In this time I met an elderly couple from my country in the city. They were on holiday. They questioned me on what I was doing in this country. I told them I used to be a mother and housewife, but was fired. They asked why and I told them I had gone to the police after my children had told me they were being molested. The lady told me the same happened with her sister’s daughter. Her boy, who was three at the time, spoke to his mother about being molested by the father. She lost custody of her child. She said 5 years had passed and the mother kept on trying to get her son back via the court system, but did not manage. I researched on the internet and found several other women whose children had been taken away from them after saying their small children spoke of being molested by a spouse. The court gave this accused spouse full custody in most cases. A lot of these mothers in the court’s own justification were labelled as having mental illnesses or being violent and abusive by using all means possible to create this impression. I remember reading a report from one mother in Australia that gave advice not to “freak out.” She said stay calm, because you will be labelled with having a mental illness. This had happened to her. She wrote her husband was anally raping her toddler daughter. She wrote one rape was so violent that he had to wash the bedding afterwards. This father got full custody of the child. She was a nurse by profession. The situation at the time had overwhelmed her. She could not function and lost her job.
Another mother's daughter drew a picture of the father, at school, as a penis ejaculating. She took the children for professional assessment and the report said the children were being sexually abused. She filed for a divorce and the father got custody of the children. She was allowed to see her daughters, she wrote, one hour under supervision a month while the father had full reign.

I imagine every one of these husbands also walked around saying the children are doing fine. Exactly as this father/husband was doing.

With my situation: This father’s advocate had no scruples lying freely and exuberantly in court as it pleased her and her client’s cause. For example, while my children and I are being tortured by this father, according to the court transcription she said, "No, this father had not changed the locks of the house. The man could not, his wife had a fit! The wife is in the house the whole time."

My advocate informed me that initially this father’s advocate told the court that this father was now going to stop working and take care of his children. About a week after he got full temporary custody, this same advocate of this father handed a laughable false letter into court that stated this father earns $3500 per month. She also handed in a mortgage document, dating back 6 years, stating an amount needs to be paid on a mortgage.

The court excepted these documents and the conclusion became: This was the reason this father "worriedly" left his children in the care of his "lost touch with reality" wife and why he also now needed to leave these children - to support his family.

Reality is: This father payed off the house a long time ago and he kept the mortgage account open with a low amount for the fixed interest rate on it. He paid the house renovations, that amounted to around half the current value of the house, in cash. He is financially very sound and has thousands invested in physical gold bars, gold coins and the stock market. Reality also is: This father had earned well above average in recent years and did not need to work. The amount stated in the “letter” given to court was what he earned on a bad contract in 4 days.

My advocate asked for another court hearing in attempting to reverse the full temporary custody that was awarded to this father. The hearing was scheduled for 25 May 2012. I had seen the psychiatrist a few times (total of two hours) and he was prepared to write a certificate.

Then I found out this father planned on taking the children out of the country 24 May 2012, the day before the court hearing was scheduled.

This same court gave this father permission to take these children out of the country before the hearing. The court also gave this father permission to keep the children out of school, which is compulsory and punishable by law if not attended.

I went to court with a certificate from this psychiatrist that read that I reacted on my circumstances and did not suffer from a psychiatric illness and that I was capable of taking care of my children.

While this father, the same that told a court he was going to stop working, dropped my children off on his elderly parents' farm in our native country and flew back to the house. The smallest child had last seen these people, in whose care he was now, when he was 18 months old. During our visits to this farm, I had observed these people showing none to no interest in taking care of these small children's needs. They even placed the children in dangerous situations or ignored them completely. These children were now left alone for the first time in there little lives in what was, for them, a nightmare.

On top of this, this elderly couple, in whose care the children were left, could not even pick one of them up. They both had back and various other health problems and even struggled to walk. This father's unmarried youngest brother also farmed on this farm. These children were not going to be his highest priority. All this farm's workers left around nine months before, because they were being unfairly treated and not paid as promised. This youngest brother of this father was doing most of the farm work himself.
None of the above seemed to trouble this father and he showed no concern for three small children's emotional and physical well being or safety.

This father returned to the house for 2 days before flying to do his recurrent flight training as he claims. He stayed away for about 10 days (recurrent training is +-5 days) after which he again returned to the house for another 2 days and then only flew out to our native country. I am assuming to the children he claimed to care for and is concerned over.

While the children were on this farm, I only managed to speak to them a few times over the phone. Around the third time the second child started crying, saying there was a lion by his bed that night and he called me, but I did not come. He said I must come to the farm and help them and that his heart was sore. I told this child that there are no lions on the farm. The eldest child started teasing him and I told him to stop, there are no lions. This child's crying was upsetting me and I asked them, “Where is grandma. Go call grandma.” I wanted someone to comfort my crying child.

This husband's youngest brother, took the phone away from the children and said I upset the children. That I had told them there are lions on the farm and I am not going to speak to them again and he put down the phone. This father was in the house downstairs. I phoned there directly asking him to just make sure the child is ok, because he was upset. He told me that I told the child there is a lion, and I am not going to speak to the children again. I gave them phobias, he said. I tried phoning the next day. This husband's youngest brother picked up and told me that I am not going to speak to the children. That I should speak to the lawyer and psychologist and he put down the phone. I could hear my eldest child in the background saying, "Hullo hullo.”

I knew phoning again will prove to be fruitless. These people had full control over my children and they had no empathy and no mercy, not for the children and not for me. Speaking to me in that manner, in front of my children also served to indoctrinate my children into thinking their mother is in the wrong. Even in saying “food” for a child from a penis is wrong. They were maltreating my children to gain full control and torturing me to get me flat on my back. Wouldn't this then serve as proof of their “mentally unstable” claims? Being human I was flat in fact, until I went crying to God, who picked me up again.

Initially when this father was getting ready to leave the country with my children 24 May 2012, I could not find my passport anywhere. I arranged an appointment at an embassy to apply for a temporary passport and a replacement passport. This embassy was in another country and I had to travel there by train. While standing on the platform the wife of my brother kept on prodding me to say that she has to come with me. She was a free person. So was I. I told her if she wanted to come with then she is welcome. This did not make her happy. She replied, “Where is your crying brother?” Meaning my younger brother, who was upset and had cried, when he heard what was happening. Then she added, “Where is your mother?” This made me cross.

My mother, a pensioner, had at that stage payed for her flight ticket, because my mother felt herself not being able to emotionally handle this situation. She was helping to take care of her grandchildren while my brother’s wife was supposedly here to support me. My mother was doing what she could and now this wife of my brother asked me sarcastically where my mother was?

I told her that I prefer them, crying in another country, above her being with me. She left the train station without saying a word. I suppose she did not really want to go with me after all. She could have said so. The afternoon when I came back from the embassy she had left. Then my eldest brother started saying his wife was scared of me that is why she left. Apparently she was not scared enough to not taunt me.

I received the ruling of the court, which read: It is too early to decide on my mental stability; that I am considered a danger to the emotional and physical welfare of the children; that this father is worthy of the trust of the court.

In this ruling extracts of the social service worker's report was quoted. For example: She locks her children in a room at night; she had lost touch with reality.
When in reality: No liar is worthy of any respectable person's/institution's trust or respect and there are no locks on the bedroom doors in the house.

My advocate kept on saying I need to try to be admitted into a hospital for an evaluation. I went back to the psychiatrist, who is the head of admission at the psychiatric division of the hospital, asking him what happened with the waiting list? He told me that the emergency is over. I told him I still have an emergency. He replied, “No, you have social circumstances”. They had removed my name from the waiting list without informing me. I was not going to be admitted in this hospital, neither for an evaluation, nor for “treatment” of my “court rumoured” mental instability that apparently made me “a physical danger” to other humans.

I went to another police division and other organisations to find help, but was turned away with the words: If there is a court order we can do nothing.

This father and the children had been gone for two weeks already, with the children missing compulsory school. This father had also now done his apparent recurrent training for his profession. The court showed immense concern over this financially well off, grown man’s income. They even created more emotional trauma and abuse for three, small, vulnerable children in this process.

I knew this father could not stay out of the country and had to come back. My eldest brother started phoning me saying that this father booked a flight ticket for me for 11 June 2012 to fly to our native country. This father told him that he would not be able to be refunded for this ticket if I do not use it. He asked my brother to email me this flight ticket. It turned out to be just a reservation number, booked within 24 hours of the flight, fully refundable and changeable. My mother and eldest brother kept on insisting that I fly. I did not have the proper travel documentation. I could go out of the country, but had to apply for a visa to get back in. I had applied for a replacement residence permit, however they needed a copy of the new permanent passport and I was waiting for this. I told my family this and told them to calm down. My eldest brother repeatedly said, “This father is saying he will help you. You are just making excuses.” I thought, in which reality is this eldest brother of mine living? This father is not going to help me with anything. He is trying to destroy me and make my life as difficult as possible. The fact that he had bought me a flight ticket, which impressed this brother of mine and probably his wife as well, did not make this husband an instant nice man. I knew he only did it because it served a purpose for himself.

My eldest brother started to say that I was going to kill my mother and that I was going to be the reason she has a heart attack.

My mother was hysterical. Hysterical about everything, which included the fact that this father would not be able to be refunded for the ticket if I don’t use it. This was ridiculous. I phoned the airline on Skype and had her listen in while they said I can change or cancel this ticket without repercussions of any sort. She was also afraid that this father’s lies might cause trouble for me and then I would be alone. This husband over the phone had told her he was going to send an ambulance to take me away. I myself could not even get into the psychiatric ward of the hospital.

I refused to fly, saying I want to be at home when my children arrived. I knew it was this father’s plan to keep me away from them and so alienate them from me. My mother phoned me, begging the entire morning. I lost my temper, after which I felt bad. I also thought I do not want to be the reason for my mother’s heart attack and then decided to take the flight.

This father flew back into the country with the children the same day I flew out. I can only imagine what my children felt when they realised their mother was not at home anymore. This father/husband did not care in the least what his children needed or I felt.

The last time this father and I spoke, I told him I will never ever stop trying to save my children. He replied, “You will never see your children again,” and he put down the phone. The thought that I could never see my children again nearly broke me. I knew this husband was capable of meticulously working out his game plans, manipulating and making an impression on the people he needed, to get what he wanted.
In the meantime, however, this man had full control of my children. That to me meant he held a knife that reached right into my heart. He knew this, simply because he knew I love my children. He had made me powerless. He had control and he revelled in that. Up to that point he had succeeded in silencing all of us.

This father’s “diary”, that he had handed into court as his proof, was filled with lies, deception, manipulation, leading statements, repetition of accusations and creation of impressions — all against me. In some cases he had taken his own abusive actions and attributed them to me. I had no idea how to combat it and it made me feel so lame and frustrated that I struggled reading it.

Chapter 9

Seeking Help

My brother and his wife, while she was with me, told me that my mother had now “lost it totally”. They said she is in such a condition she cannot drive a car anymore. When I spoke to my mother over the phone she generally sounded upset, but not as if she had “lost it totally”.

The day I arrived in our native country, I could not find my mother in the people at the airport. She said she will pick me up. I eventually saw her sitting on a bench. She looked straight at me, but did not recognise me. I got a fright, thinking maybe they are right. I walked up to her and when I came closer she jumped up, looked surprised and said, “You look wonderful.” She told me that my sister-in-law told her I looked so terrible. That I lost a tremendous amount of weight and had (also) “lost it totally”. My mother last saw me a year and a half ago. My hair was now short and highlighted and I was back to the weight I had always been, before I had my three children.

We drove to her apartment and I felt uneasy with what my brother had told me of her driving. But noticed at once my mother is exactly the same on the road. I wondered why my brother and his wife were saying untrue things behind our backs?

In my native country I approached and contacted every possible place for help, from legal people at the University, several social services for advice and information, several police divisions and advocates. I walked into walls everywhere.

If I wanted to open a case for the abuse on the eldest child in my mother’s apartment in 2009 and for what the children had told me happens on this father’s parents’ farm, the children physically needed to be in the country.

Legally the children lived in another country and that is considered their domicile, even if they did not have citizenship of that country.

I was told by the authorities that the children told me what happens to them in another country. According to this law, this father consequently changes his ways when he crosses a country’s borderline.

I could not see a path to help my children and once again went crying to God.

I tried phoning to my children, but the phone was not picked up. This phone used to go over to fax or the answering machine. It did not do this and I wondered if this father changed the house number.

Eventually this father did pick up and told me that I must get “treatment” before I am allowed to speak to the children. I asked him if I could just tell my children that I love them and he said no. I begged, cried, yelled and pleaded against a stone wall.

I asked him to arrange for the necessary papers from his advocate for instructions on a psychiatric evaluation. This was the requirements in a court evaluation by the psychiatrists in our native country. They needed instructions and not from me, but another party or a court order. This father said no. I should ask my family to help me. I wondered what my eldest brother would say to that, after he made such elaborate promises, saying this father is going
to help me.
I phoned and tried several times and got the same answer, “No, you cannot speak to the children. You need treatment first.” I asked him on whose instructions and he replied, “The social service worker’s.”

About a week later, phoning again, he agreed, with no treatment statement, that I can speak to the children on Skype that evening. I spoke to them! He said I could speak to them the next day as well. But the next day he again said I first need to get “treatment” before I can speak to the children. I asked him again on whose instruction and he again answered the social service worker’s. I carried on phoning and again he agreed I can speak to the children on Skype that evening, but only for 10min. The following day he sent a SMS that I cannot speak to them and after that I could not reach him on his mobile number and the house phone was not picked up again. I send him a SMS, but he did not reply.

What I did find out when speaking to the children, was that they are coming to our native country. The eldest child told me that they said his picture in his passport is out dated, he needed a new passport, and that they had gone to get this. He wanted to know where I was and do I have a bed to sleep in.

When we visited my eldest brother and his family my sister-in-law was screaming and crying as she had done when she was with me. She was again saying she is trying to protect herself. My mother was shocked. She had never seen her daughter-in-law anything other than calm in the many years she had known her.

My brother and his wife had made arrangements for me to be admitted into a hospital for treatment. They made an agreement with this husband that if he paid for my flight ticket then they will make sure I am admitted into a hospital for treatment. They constantly contacted me or my mother, sending SMS messages and phoning, insisting that they are providing help. They continued after their offer of help had been declined by sending very nasty and insulting messages to my mother, phoning people to slander me and my mother and pre-warned this husband of my actions.

I heard every possible version from my eldest brother and his wife: This father will allow you to see your children if you get treatment. This father wants you back, he only wants to help you. This father is going to divorce and destroy you. This father will not take you back, just get treatment. You will never see your children again, if you do not go for treatment. Even if you do go for treatment now you will never see your children again.

I went for advice on this to my house doctor I used to have when I lived in our native country. She was still my mother’s house doctor. Only to discover that my mother had spoken to her about the incident in her apartment in 2009. I told her everything else. She concluded that she saw no reason for me to be admitted to a psychiatric hospital.

I found out via my brother that this father had taken the children to his family farm in a neighbouring country for two weeks, and after that he left the children on his parents’ farm in our native country. This explained to me why I got no answer at home and no reply on the cellular.

But now, the children were in our native country! Once again God helped.

This father again left these three small children, this time for a duration of 5 weeks, in the care of his elderly, weak mother, sickly father and youngest unmarried brother to apparently go and work for his “measly” salary.

This husband’s testimonies in court of caring for these children were just lies, just for show and creation of impressions. His actions spoke of disregard for these three small children’s emotional and physical wellbeing while he continued with his selfish life as always.

I approached an advocate in a prominent position. He referred me to a legal firm. He informed me that my chances of succeeding and even being accepted into our native country’s court were slim, due to domicile and jurisdiction. But they were going to try to keep
the children in our native country long enough to have a professional assessment done to get the proof that the children had been sexualised. Then the children needed to return to their country of residence, but I would be able to use the report in court there. This was enough for me.

This assessment would be in my children’s mother-tongue. This was the only language in which they were fluent. They spoke no English and very little of the languages of the country we were living in. I raised my children in our native country’s culture. The setting was perfect for aiding these children.

I knew this father and his family’s negligent behaviour with the children and feared that the children were, on top of everything, in danger of getting seriously hurt in their care and asked for protection for them in my court application.

My first ex parte (ex parte: with respect to or in the interests of one side) application did not reach court, only the judges’ chamber. I heard the judge speaking. I sat outside his office. He said, “This country is a respected country. They would not make a mistake. There must be something wrong with the woman if they took her children away. Social services must be involved before I am looking at this. There is no proof that these children are in danger on this farm.”

I opened cases with the police in our native country. The children were now in our native country and now the police could be involved.

I did a psychometric evaluation which consisted of 6 hour written tests and a 2 hour consultation. The results again were positive for me. No psychiatric deviations, but was told to be less obsessive in my knowledge of my children’s abuse.

These things are always good to know.

With this information my legal team tried again, ex parte. The reason for approaching ex parte was because I feared this family will take my children across the border into a neighbouring country. With the second application the judge said he will allow it if the other party is allowed to reply. The case made it into our native country’s court!

In the application my legal representation named this father’s family members that were residing on the farm. I had no problem with this. This family had clearly shown their colours. The eldest brother tortured me and my children without any empathy. The youngest brother refused without empathy to let me speak to my children who were trying to say hallo to me. This father, without empathy, tortured, lied and manipulated and kept my children away from me.

This father was notified of our native country’s involvement via email. A few days later I received a request for agreement to a two week extension on this father’s reply date ordered by the court. It was said, due to this father not being able to file opposing papers, as he was away working. On record, two days after the summons was emailed to him, he flew back to the respected country for several days — my guess is to confer with his legal representation — who then contacted the central authorities and started a Hague application against me for “kidnapping” the children. A reality-less accusation, considering I did not kidnap anyone. If I took my children, this could be different. Many people were telling me I had the right to do just this, saying the respected country’s laws that, proverbially speaking, fired me as a mother, apparently did not stretch to the extent of being applicable in our native country. This two week extension request of this father made sense. The children had to attend compulsory school in the respected country and their return tickets were booked two weeks away. In light of this — their request made it plain to me that this father’s plan involved playing for time to this deadline. I declined.

This family then came through with an offer to allow the children to be assessed by the same psychologist that did my psychometric assessment and that I could see the children under their supervision for three hours a week. I had at that point in time not seen my children for three months and had only spoken to them twice. If agreeing to this carrot, everything will be solely in this family’s hands again. This was an offer from people there has been no reason to trust. Nothing will be on record. No one to oversee their actions and no repercussions if they did not keep to this agreement. They could even leave the country with no repercussions. I declined. Our native country’s police, in their standard investigation
procedures, told me they were going to have these children assessed.

The highly confidential report from the social service worker, which was withheld from me in the respected country, was what they had to use. It was going to be given into our native country’s court and I might finally get a glimpse of this damning report. They did not disappoint and I even got more than expected. With the very first court reply, this previously highly confidential report was added to this father’s advocate’s affidavit, as a public attachment for anyone to see. This confidential report was provided courtesy of this father’s respected country’s advocates — who legally is not allowed to be in possession of, or distribute, this report.

The legal process to follow is: It is to be translated from the respected country’s language into an international language. Then sent from the one country’s central authority to the other. Where solely the legal representatives will be allowed to only read this at the central authority offices.

Everyone in court pretended “the Kaiser had clothes on” and proceeded with this process even after this father’s advocate made the confidential report public.

In our native country’s court an advocate was appointed for my children. In the respected country, even though I had several times asked for an advocate for my children, my requests were declined.

This advocate appointed for my children by our native country wrote a report that suggested I should have contact with my children and that the children should be assessed. She was court ordered to arrange and oversee the assessment.

Our native country’s court ruled that the children and this father were not allowed to leave our native country until investigations have been finalised.

Our native country’s court allowed me visitation with my children several hours over weekends. I was awarded unlimited telephone access. This father or his youngest brother could not put the phone down on me again. When phoning the farm landline the first time this father’s youngest brother did put down the phone on me once again. I phoned again. He had apparently not seen the court order and put down the phone again. I phoned this father’s mother’s mobile and told her I want to arrange seeing my children for the visitation I was granted. She replied she wants to take the children to church. I told her I can fetch them at church afterwards, not a problem. She had apparently seen the court order. The youngest brother took the phone from her and told me to phone back later, and put it down again. My lawyer wrote a letter to the legal representatives of this family, telling them they could expect trouble if they continue to choose to disobey the court order.

I phoned to this farm again. Again, this youngest brother answered the phone and now he was dripping honey. He could not ask enough questions. How are you? When would you like to see the children? Which child do you want to speak to first?

My children were the same little boys I had known and that had known me. They were all babbling over the phone and wanted to talk at the same time. I sat listening to all their little voices. It was a privilege I have not had in a long time.

The respected country appointed the Family Advocates Office in our native country to represent them in court. Advocates were flown in from a different part of our native country and they constantly talked of over evaluation of the children. Over evaluation is not good, they claimed. This “over evaluation” statement was said several times in several settings, including meetings and the court room.

Reality is: There had been, up to date, no professional assessment/evaluation done on these children. The children were not fluent in any other language than our native country’s. No assessment could have been done in the respected country. No report of an assessment on the children could be seen in the native country’s court room.

A high ranking family advocate told me to leave our native country at once for the respected country and they promise they will do everything they can to help my children. I told her if she showed me the evaluation report on the children she continually spoke of, I will believe
every word she says. She did not show me any evaluation report on the children, because there was no report. She then ignored me.

This father’s advocate and the family advocates confirmed to our native country’s court that the respected country and this father had now made a Hague application. This was for kidnapping charges against me for trying to keep the children in our native country to be assessed. I had requested that the children be with me during their assessment, after which they needed to return to the respected country. This request of mine initiated this Hague application I presume in preparation to act quickly. I also presume before the children even make it to their assessment.

This father wrote, confirming in his statements to this court the following: *In this regard I record that the Central Authority of the respected country have requested the Central Authority in this native country to take all necessary steps in terms of the provision of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspect of Child Abduction, to secure the return of the three minor children to the respected country.*

This Hague application did create an uproar of fear in everyone involved. The children’s advocate kept on saying she cannot have these children assessed as per the previous court order, because of this Hague application. This father, his legal representation and the respected country got the desired result. Again I quote this father’s statements in our native country’s court room, reiterating this:  
*My attorney, has been advised by the Family Advocate’s Office that “they” cannot in these circumstances proceed with an investigation into the best interests of the children until such time as the proceedings in terms of the Hague Convention have been finalised.*

Not only the Hague convention that was “thrown in” to cause confusion, distraction and blockages. The respected country’s authorities changed the court in our native country into a circus with lies, and I quote directly from emails sent to court from the respected country’s authorities, lying about the children’s language capabilities:  
-As regards hearings of the children by the police, the doctors and the social investigator, the lawyer of this father indicates to me that the parties were able to express themselves in English including the children.
- The children were also able to express themselves in the respected country’s language in particular the elder ones who goes to school and who thus speak without problem this language.
- According to the lawyer he confirms that the children were not confronted with any language barrier and that they expressed themselves freely that allows it their young age.

These respected country’s authorities wrote these emails, knowing that there were language barriers everywhere. It is repeated several times in their social service report that the children are only fluent in our native language. However, this father’s respected country’s legal representation is pointed at in this email as the supplier of this false information.

The cherry on the cake was an email from the general advocate at the prosecutors’ office of this respected country. I quote this man from his email given into court:  
*After reading all the documents I want to add 2 important points:  
The mother seems to have real mental health problems: she seems to believe and/or practice some witches and Satan worship.*

Wow!
In connection with mental health rumours:  
There was no medical certificate from a professional in front of this man that proved I have “mental health” problems.
I am trying to stop the torture and humiliation of my three small children. This cannot be said as me having mental health problems, for example, if I was a sociopath I would not care about the torture of children. Since I do care, and that is obvious, it can safely be said I am not a sociopath.
If I had major depression I don’t think I would be still standing through all the torture I have
had to endure and all the torture I had to witness my children endure. If I had “lost touch with reality” or had “delusional psychosis” why then is this father, and everyone else involved with him, creating false impressions and telling lies? There would be no need to lie, create false impressions and manipulate if I was mentally ill.

In reality I had every right, with everything that had happened, to feel shocked, unhappy, angry and worried for the safety of my children. It is the normal human response.

On the topic of witches and Satan worshipper: I have being ridiculed as belonging to a Christian sect in this respected country for writing an email to a Christian author. Who, according to the social service worker’s dramatic quote in her report, “has exceptional powers in combating Satan”. Combatting Satan and worshipping Satan are two totally different concepts. One is opposing and one is agreeing. Reporting child molestation, a crime, to the police depicts an opposing action.

This general advocate of the prosecutor’s office had no evidence of the statements he made. Was this general advocate’s intention slandering me in our native country’s court to improve this father’s position in the court?

Another extract from his email points out why this respected country decided not to follow up on my witness statement, and I quote: The Prosecutor’s office in this respected country decided to dismiss the complaint against this father for sexual abuse, because there was no evidence against him.

There are undeniable rumours of this father preferring little boys, being spread by others and this father himself. There is a witness that had noticed inappropriate, physical behaviour of this father with his child and she confided in her house doctor at the time. I, as these children’s legal guardian and their spokes person, heard them speak of their abuse. This is said by a general prosecutor to be no evidence. I am 100% sure the law says that a witness is evidence. The law does not specify what weight will be put onto the witness according to colour, age or gender of this witness. But, does a person’s psychiatric position influence the witness statement? How about discrediting and slandering the witness in a court? But why do that, if there is nothing to hide, as is claimed by the people involved? Reality is: If there is something to hide, then the key interest would be destroy the witness, oppress and silence the victims. This is what is being done to me and my children.

This email of the general advocate of the prosecutor’s office of the respected country was read out loud in court by the judge in our native country’s court.

Well appreciated from our native country’s court in these proceedings was that they ordered an evaluation on the children’s language skills. The family counsellors interviewed both this father and me, separately, as well. The conclusion of this interview was:

1.1.1 The minor children are only able to communicate in their native language and are not able to fluently understand the respected country’s language and understand no English.

1.1.2 The eldest two children’s communication and understanding in their native language is the best and the smallest child could not be assessed as he is still too young.

1.1.3 Allegations of sexual abuse by this father toward the eldest two children by the wife is cause for concern and thorough assessment and investigation need to be completed. Thus Protection Measures need to be established for the minor children pending the completion of these assessments and investigations.
1.1.4 Allegations regarding the stability of the wife’s mental health by this father need to be clarified by medical professionals.

1.1.5 International Social Services need to be approached and included in order for the children’s best interest to be ensured.

In the respected country’s courts, this father, the social service worker and his advocate, repeatedly in their papers, claimed that I have “lost all touch with reality”. But, in our native country’s court “vexatious and malicious” replaced “lost touch with reality”. I quote this father and his advocate’s sayings as example:

- I deny that service of the application would have defeated the purpose thereof. I do, however, state that the wife’s purpose with her application is malicious and vexatious.
- I submit and shall demonstrate that the wife is vexatious in her approaching the above Honourable Court in view of at least the following facts and circumstances:

Requesting that three small children are professionally assessed by order, protection and control of a court, in their native language and culture, is deemed vexatious and malicious in their eyes. These three small children had not been assessed before, contrary to normal procedures, and the request itself falls in the category of protection.

Another aspect was thrown into the mix to create confusion in our native country’s court. After avenues in our native country boiled down to jurisdiction and domicile, I requested my respected country’s advocate beginning July 2012 to make an application for an appeal against the court decision in the respected country. I received no reply. This was before I had known my children were coming to our native country.

When my case was accepted into our native country’s court around 27 August 2012, a notification was sent on 4 September 2012 that this appeal in the respected country was now scheduled for 18 September 2012. The result in our native country’s court was: This father and his advocate claimed I had two cases in two different countries, forum shopping in legal terms. I quote this father’s statement in court:

- In the result she is forum shopping, which constitute nothing more than vexatious and malicious litigation.

But, at the time of me approaching our native country’s court there had been no reply from my advocate or a court in the respected country on my appeal request.

As far as “forum shopping” is concerned, the bases of the case/complaint in court needs to be the same.

Our native country’s court was approached with the information of my children being molested by their father and suspicions of exposure to a pedophile circle. There was no such case or hearing in the respected country.

Although the false impression given to our native country’s court by this father and his advocate, was that the respected country’s authorities showed interest and courts had hearings and verdicts with a children’s molestation case. I quote this father and his advocate from their court statements:

-Further, a court of competent jurisdiction has pronounced, not once, but twice on the allegations of molestation made by this mother against this father.
-On 7 May 2012 the Youth Court considered the allegations against me as well as the best interests of the children. Neither the wife not I were required to be in attendance.

A court “considering” child molestation charges without the accused present? Especially confusing when adding the following statement of this father and I quote:

The fact remain no criminal prosecution has been instituted following the wife laying (molestation) charges against me.

The police case for child molestation was stopped at prosecution level on 19 April 2012. In fact, this father’s child abuse case never at any point reached any court in the respected country. And the claims made were horrendous lies.
Then I received an email from my advocate in the respected country informing me that the appeal there was postponed. The respected country's authorities now wanted to have both this father and me present in an appeal court. I quote the respected country's authorities: 

*During this audience the judge of the Appeal Court explained to the lawyers that as far as the parties were not present at the hearing (including the appealing party) the affair would not be taken and was postponed sine die. The affair will be taken as of the return of the parties.*

The respected country's court initially took very quick, radical decisions with neither this father nor me being present in the first court hearing. Now they wanted us in the appeal court?

The irony was that this appeal court did not involve this father's molestation charges. In reality it involved the rumours of my "mental instability". What I am saying my children told me, is only utilised as their evidence/proof of my "mental instability" — without a psychiatric evaluation stating so.

On 15 September 2012 12:06 PM I received a SMS from this father, saying:

*The second child fell off the wagon and broke his right tibia. At the clinic, orthopaedic surgeon said he is ok and put on plaster of Paris. He must not step on the foot for 4 weeks. Can see the child on farm this afternoon between 3 and 6? Just let my parents know pls.*

It was a 6 hour drive away and time being 12:06 PM, I was not going to make it between 3 and 6. This father knew this very well. But it sounded "nice" from him, did it not?

In this message this father creates the impression that this second child had just “fallen off” the wagon the same day as the SMS was sent, and that they are physically still at the clinic.

I swallowed this false impression, until:

The child told me, telephonically that night, that the wheel went over him.

During our following visitation the child told me his arm is sore. When I pulled up his sleeve, half his arm had a contusion and the whole arm was swollen.

On requesting the X-rays from the hospital, the information showed that this arm had also been X-rayed. The X-rays were taken 20:15 the day before this father sent me his message.

I contacted the children's advocate and gave her the information that my child was driven over. “Yes,” she said, “accidents do happen,” and did not bother further. I suppose I was upset because it was my child that got hurt and nearly killed in negligence.

In this court of our native country the presiding judge delayed his ruling until the next day, saying he will first and foremost take the children into consideration. This was heavenly news to me. The next day he started the court procedure with jurisdiction, domicile, Lis pendens, forum shopping and the Hague convention, etc. Laws safely written on a piece of paper outranked lives, welfare and protection of children.

Our native country's court authorities passed the buck. The buck the judge had passed, was the future of three helpless boys. The ruling was that this father was allowed to leave the country, with the children, and our native country’s court’s documentation should be communicated to the respected country's authorities, for consideration. Investigations, if so required, should be done in languages we understand and there should not be unnecessary interference with my right to see the children.

This judge either sighted Lis pendens (Latin for law suit pending — meaning the appeal that realised the moment I approached our native country’s court and was then postponed by the respected country’s court for a reason that did not prohibit this court to start with). Or he sighted the Hague Convention as his reason for this. It is not obvious from his order which is applicable. However, the judge states and I quote:

*It cannot be accepted that two parallel procedures be undertaken between the same parties about the same dispute in two different countries.*

Was this guy deciding on my mental stability in a custody hearing? Or on a professional investigation and evaluation for children in a molestation case?

It was simply stated in our native country's court that the protection of the children was
looked at in the court hearing of the respected country. What I asked falls into “that category” and that I am approaching our native country’s court with conjecture and this court could not see why I asked it to intervene when the respected country could take care of the children in their jurisdiction.

For me it was obvious. The respected country could not take care of these children in their jurisdiction: They did not have a professional that speaks the children’s language. No investigation assessment/evaluation for molestation can be done in the respected country.

The respected country did not follow child molestation investigative procedures and had not ensured the safety of children. The respected country’s actions protected and ensured this husband’s financial safety, his comfort and his desires.

I now wanted to appeal against the ruling that allowed this father to leave with these children without completing an investigation.

The police cases in our native country were still open. Allowing this father to leave also meant hampering these investigations. I obtained new representation since my previous legal representatives were against appealing.

No one had the actual ruling of the judge when I approached the court with the appeal application about a week later.

This father was aware of my preparations to appeal. He and his advocate were pre-warned of this.

My youngest brother phoned me and said that our eldest brother phoned him with stories. Saying I had trapped this husband into marrying me by saying I was pregnant at the time of our marriage. This was the only reason why this husband now claimed he married me.

I was pregnant, but had a miscarriage five months before we got married. He saw the pregnancy test. He also knew of the miscarriage. I had emails throughout of this “husband” proclaiming his love. He planned the renovations of the house and sent me the plans, asking me to comment. Another email, sent a month after the miscarriage and four months before our wedding, contained wedding invitation choices from which this father did choose. I tried printing these, but they looked unreadable. I went to an office shop. After the invitations were printed, I asked the shop assistant if she can read it easily. She said yes. She asked why I wanted it. I talked about my coming divorce, about my three children and that it is now being said that I trapped this man into marriage. This lady looked shocked and asked me if I had a picture of this husband. I showed her a picture on my computer and she said yes. She asked why I wanted it. I talked about my coming divorce, about my three children and that it is now being said that I trapped this man into marriage. This lady looked shocked and asked me if I had a picture of this husband. I showed her a picture on my computer and she said that this husband with another man had, several months before, been in another branch of these office shops.

She happened to be at this shop at that time. She recalled there were several people in the shop and this father spoke loudly of his “mentally unstable” wife that is incapable of caring for their three little boys. This father loudly said that his wife is accusing him of raping his very own children. The shop assistant asked this father not to be so loud, as there were other customers. She witnessed this father cut, paste and change existing emails. She said that it appeared he was making it look as if two people were fighting in the emails. This father had pictures of the children that he wanted copied. In one picture a little boy was naked and had a big blue bruise on his thigh. This is child pornography by our native country’s laws and the assistant refused to make copies of these fraudulent emails and naked pictures.

The time that this father went to this office shop the children had been in his sole custody for more than a month. This meant the children received these bruises during his care. What amazed me were the chances of finding information such as this, in such a way that could be compared to finding a needle in a haystack. I knew it was God.

The lady did not want her identity exposed, but wrote a letter. I gave this and her contact details to the police in our native country that investigated the molestation charges. I also dropped a hint of this in my appeal paper to see if this father will continue with his planned
lies, in his mission to slander me. Obviously trying to keep me away from his small victims, who I am declaring, had confided in me.

My new advocate in the appeal went in strong on the fact that the children need protection. I could not listen while my advocate pleaded for the lives of these three children that are being molested. The reality that she was talking about my three children was too much. I left the court room and went out into the hallway. About half an hour later the family advocate that represented the respected country’s authorities ran out the court room, phoned someone urgently and in distress, saying, “They are concentrating on the criminal aspect.” She saw me and walked away, talking softly over the phone. I did not know her and she did not know me. Should me trying to protect my three children be upsetting to her? It was distorted into something else for distraction and preventing help for three small boys. She must have been pleased with this distortion.

The children’s advocate was in court. I had informed the children’s advocate on how my children were abused, physically and emotionally, on this farm. Also that the second child was driven over in negligence. She said she will follow up on this, but it was just hollow words. With the previous ruling she was appointed to oversee and arrange assessment for the children, however, when someone asked her on this, she spoke about the “Hague application” in reply as if this excused her from doing what a court had ordered. These people were supposed to have the children’s best interests at heart. It was written on posters on the family advocates’ offices walls. I, out of love for my children, was fighting these people who had these posters on their walls. While they either agreed, but did nothing in their power, or coldly debated on laws, or tried to find excuses to do nothing.

After my advocate had pleaded for my children, this father’s advocate simply stood up and said this father and the children are no longer in the jurisdiction of our native country’s court. They crossed into a neighbouring country.

This presiding judge in the appeal court said that he did not have the ruling of the previous judge, since this had not been typed yet. He postponed the court for two weeks.

The children’s school had started in the respected country and by law the oldest two children had to attend. This father now had permission to leave our native country for the respected country. But he did not. These were this father’s words in court, when he and the children were kept back:

*It is in fact to the detriment of all three children to remain in this country indefinitely as they ought to return to their habitual residence.*

This father did not take the children to their habitual residence. Why did he not fly back to the respected country?

The second child’s leg was still in plaster, but, on my enquiring, the airline said he is allowed to fly. It could be that this father did not want questions as to how the child’s leg got broken and was waiting for the plaster of Paris to be removed. If someone asked this child about his leg he replied the wheel went over him. This is not a nice temporary custody picture. Especially since this father did his utmost to convince everyone he is this wonderful, conscientious, caring father.

But why go to the neighbouring country, why not wait in our native country?

I also had court appointed visitation with the children and unlimited telephone access. This father was trying to prevent me from having any contact with my children. He wanted to alienate them from me.

So far, going to the neighbouring country solved two problems for this father.

I struggled to reach the children in the neighbouring country. The landline was not answered and the cellular I kept getting a “not available” message.

I sent a SMS to this father’s mother’s cellular, asking where the children are and got this reply by **SMS 4 October 2012 1:53PM**: *As mentioned earlier the children is with this father in the neighbouring country with his brother.*
On saying I cannot reach them and when they will be coming back I got this reply from this father’s mother; **SMS 4 October 2012 3:23PM:**

*We also cannot reach them and have not been informed when they will be coming back.*

Comparing these replies with this father’s court statement in the appeal court, it gives another picture. I quote him: **3 October 2012:** *As at the date of deposing to this affidavit and since the afternoon of 28 September 2012 the children and I, together with my Mother, and my Father, have been in “the neighbouring country,” visiting my brother on his farm.*

His mother gives the impression in her messages she is not, that she does not have contact and knows nothing.

This father then gives elaborate information in his reply to the appeal court on why he had not left for the respected country. I am giving a summary of this father’s statement:

*The eldest child’s “residence” permit had expired on 19 September 2012 it was necessary to attend the Consulate in our native country for purposes of obtaining a new permit. This father explains in his statement how he instructs his advocate to make the necessary appointments and arrangements for this with the Consulate. The boys, his mother, father and he departed 27 September 2012. They stopped in the city and he met up with his advocate at the Consulate. At the meeting was explained which documents were required and what arrangements had to be made. The Consulate representative advised that he only needed to provide a copy of the eldest child’s passport with the completed documentation. He and his advocate spent the greater part of the afternoon completing the application form. (This application form requires a few ticked boxes and he has to rewrite his address, name and the child’s name a few times.)

The consulate refused to accept the completed forms late afternoon 27 September 2012. (This consulate’s rule is only accepting visa applications in the morning.) His advocate undertook to attend the consulate on Friday morning 28 September 2012 to deliver the application form.

This allowed him to leave for the neighbouring country with the children the following morning.

(The date, 28 September 2012, the date I appealed in the High Court on the decision that this father may leave with the children, without completing an investigation.)

This father proceeds to tell how the Consulate representative the following morning had “changed her tune” and did not want to accept only a copy of this passport. How he was already near the border and could not turn back, to give her this passport. He crossed the border and his eldest brother drove to fetch this passport from the neighbouring country the following day. (An approximate whopping 12 hour drive for this eldest brother)

*The eldest brother, having brought this child’s passport back into our native country, handed the passport to this father’s advocate and she gave in the passport the Monday morning (1 October 2012) at the Consulate. Saying this application at the Consulate is going to take 5-10 days to consider. (This meant the child was in a foreign country without his passport.)*

Their aim and punch-line: I quote directly from this father’s court statement: *The boys and I cannot depart “this neighbouring country” until I receive the eldest child’s original passport from the Consulate.

The children were not within the area of jurisdiction of the above Honourable Court at the time the appeal application was launched on 28 September 2012. And I submit that in light of the above, the Honourable Court does not have the requisite jurisdiction to entertain the present application.*

Here is the reason for this father’s hurry to cross the border: The children would not be within the area of jurisdiction of our native country’s court at the time the appeal application was launched.

This father repeats in this statement of his that the application at this consulate was for a “residence permit” for this child. But, this consulate is not authorised to handle residence permits. They are only authorised to handle tourist visas. This father knows the differences between these very well from his profession.
This father’s advocate phoned the police, enquiring about their investigation. Also stating, *mindful that the wife did not bother to hand over to the police the most pertinent exculpatory documents already in existence.*

This father is probably referring to the “highly confidential” social service report, that I was not allowed to see, and the respected country’s prosecutor’s emails and report, also “highly confidential”. In this father’s statements his advocate, who is again not legally allowed to be in possession of these documents, sent these “highly confidential”, now translated, documents to the police.

These apparent “exculpatory” documents did have three things in common, which are: None are exculpatory documents. These documents do not state this father is innocent of child molestation.

The general advocate of the prosecutor’s office says, “No evidence.” This does not in reality mean “not guilty”.

The social service worker in her report makes out that I am “mentally unstable” and have “lost touch with reality”. With this she had abused my witness statement and gave a twisted reason for my protective actions and cries for help for my children. None of these documents say all investigations necessary have been conducted - all evidence obtained and examined, small children have been provided with protection, ensuring their safety and security and assessments done in their mother tongue. This is what one would expect from such a respected country, detailed, professional work, however, this is not done.

Another thing these documents have in common is that both documents make slanderous and false statements about me.

Another problem was solved for this father, with him and the children being in the neighbouring country, and I quote this father in his court statement: *On the police telephoning my advocate to enquire about the boys, more specifically the second child, being subjected to an evaluation by a social worker my advocate told him that the children were in the neighbouring country.*

After having unlawfully handed in these “exculpatory” documents of his, the police still wanted to assess the children. These children were not only out of the court’s jurisdiction, they were also out of the jurisdiction of the police.

Then this father, from the neighbouring country, writes in his statement and I quote him: *I have no doubt that the police will not find any evidence, much less sufficient evidence to warrant the institution of criminal prosecution against me and/or my father and/or my brother on charges of sexual molestation and/or rape of the children.*

The implications for this eldest child who was, according to this father’s statement, without a passport in a foreign country was huge. When found, he could be placed in an orphanage until extradition papers were organised. The child’s emotional trauma would be tremendous. My advocate advised me to have the eldest child removed, based on this father’s statements saying the child is illegally in this neighbouring country. I did not want my child to be taken to an orphanage in this neighbouring country, also not to be alone, not on top of everything else. My advocate then tried to arrange that this father and this child be escorted back into our native country and for the child to be placed in foster care in our native country. This would be emotionally less severe for the child. Before these arrangements could be finalised the child’s passport was, according to this father’s advocate at the court room, being rushed to the neighbouring country by this father’s parents.

All lies: This is a wonderful example of this father & co.’s capabilities in creating impressions, disruptions and luring people into actions that they can twist and use in turn as a weapon. Recent evidence revealed that this father’s complete “the child don’t have a passport, I am
stuck in the neighbouring country” tale was a smoke screen.

This father is omitting to divulge that a new passport for this child was picked up middle August 2012. This child’s old passport only expired in December 2012. Meaning the child had two passports at the time. This father could cross the border at any time with the children and was not “stuck”. He made it sound to the police and the court that he had no control over being out of their jurisdiction and no control over not being able to return to their jurisdiction. He basically created an alibi for avoiding judicial authorities with his false statements.

Another law this father was breaking, was one of the respected country’s laws. I quote this father:

- The children’s schools are set to reopen in September 2012.
- Both the eldest two children are compelled in terms of “the respectable country’s” law, to attend school from the age of 4.

As a self-contradictory answer to his own statements, he kept the children in the neighbouring country, out of school, for another month.

Nothing happened with the appeal. Not even after this apparently “innocent” father so openly and arrogantly stated that he is out of everyone’s jurisdiction and lied about having driven over his child.

The judge stated in court that the matter had already been decided on (res judicata) and the children are out of the court’s jurisdiction.

This father hid away with the children in this neighbouring country until about middle October 2012. He then took the children and flew back to the respected country.

Did this father’s child endangering, child neglecting, lying, fraudulent, hampering a criminal investigation and law breaking actions in any way upset any authorities in the country’s involved?

After this, I prepared a statement on this father’s financial dealings and my involvement in this. I went to the police and tax office and gave them the information. No one said yes, this is a crime. Apparently only I thought so. Maybe this husband was right. One could do as one pleased. Cross borders to avoid investigations: lie in courts: open bank accounts in anybody’s and any company’s name.

Chapter 10

Visiting my children

Our native country’s court gave me unlimited phone access to the children. Visitation rights were given, which allowed me to see the children 5 hours a day for 3 days over the weekends. In my excitement, I had read this order wrongly and thought I was only allowed to see them two days consecutively. Most important to me was seeing my beautiful children. This father erred when saying, “You will never see your children again.”

When I phoned, the children all talked together. The eldest child wanted to know where I was, what I was doing? They wanted to know when I will be coming back. I told them I am working and when I am done, I will be back. I could not tell them that this father threw me out of the house. I reasoned if I said anything pointing at this father it will be used against me. Saying I am trying to destroy his “relationship” with his boys. This while he, with carte blanche from a court, openly destroy me and my relationship with my children. Openly emotionally abusing children while telling everyone he is doing it for the good of the children because their mother is mentally unstable/ill, but in reality he is only serving his own purpose.
I loved being able to speak to my children without someone abusing me and them. The smallest child kept on repeating, “Mamma Mamma,” and did his best to speak, even though I did not understand him properly. At two and a half he did not speak well. This child stayed on the phone the longest and cried when someone tried to take the phone away.

Our native county’s court order said I am only to deal with this father’s mother and father. But this father’s family utilised the eldest and youngest brothers for this, never this father’s mother and father. These people made their own rules. I left them to it. To my own detriment, I found out later.

This father and his family started playing different games when I phoned to speak to the children. I made a regular time of phoning at seven in the evening. The children’s regular schedule had this time free. Initially the children were free to speak. The following evening the children were screaming and running around, saying there are jackal and hyenas outside the house. The second child kept telling me he is scared. There are no hyenas on this farm and the jackal would not come that close to the house, because of the dogs. I told this to the children. But, the children kept saying they can hear them outside the house. This father’s father had told me on an earlier occasion he made animal noises outside the window to scare his children. So this was another game of this family. This father’s family members went outside the house and made hyenas and jackal noises. This distraction is obvious and abusive. They must have also realised this and did not do it again.

The following nights after this episode the children were in the bath when I phoned. Elected speaker of this father’s family, the youngest brother, repeated, “Phone back in 5 minutes.” After I phoned again in 5 minutes, “No, not yet out. Phone back in 5 minutes.” I carried on phoning in 5 minutes. After this they started showing DVD’s after the children had bathed. They did not normally allow the children to watch DVD’s the last time we were visiting that farm. Now they played a DVD when I phone. I asked the eldest child and he said they only watched DVD’s at that time. They repeatedly showed the children the movie “The Lion King”. Showing this movie filled with lions and hyenas before bedtime, with the second child’s nightmares, did not seem a wise choice in entertainment. But, if you want to cover up the incident of a child having nightmares of a lion by his bed at night, then this was the DVD to show that child before bedtime. I had never shown my children this movie. I had found it too violent for their ages.

The farm gate was the exchange place in the court order. The first day I picked up the children the eldest two children came running to the car and this father’s youngest and eldest brothers were standing with the smallest child by the farmhouse garage, approximately 60 metres from the gate. They showed I must come to them. The eldest brother held my smallest child as his bait. I did not enter their property and stayed at the farm gate. After a while they came walking towards the gate with the smallest child. Voicing their reason for wanting me to drive into their property, which, they said, is that the dirt road is dangerous for the children.

This father’s family had property on the other side of this road and had crossed it regularly with the children during our previous holiday visits. None of them made a fuss then. The children now climbed into the car at the gate side not the road side. I parked well away from the road as well and not in any way obstructing traffic on this deserted dirt road.

It felt strange seeing my children for the first time after nearly three months. I had feelings of fear. More aptly put, I was scared they did not want me anymore or did not remember me or was cross with me and told me to go away. They did not.

My mother accompanied me and we were staying at a guest house in the nearby town. I took the children there. The children wanted to know if this was where I was staying now. It struck me that these children knew so very little and were confused.

I noticed the eldest child being more quiet and he had a far off look in his eyes that was not there before. The second child was quiet as well and had an absent attitude. The smallest child changed the most. He used to be my cuddly boy. When they took him from me he was still breastfeeding and he was with me most of the time. Now, he had an independent
attitude, which was strange for a 2 and half year old. He tried to help himself with things, instead of asking for help like he used to do. He kept himself distant, emotionally and physically.

This family did not send the children’s bottles with them, even though I sent a message asking them to sent these. They did not reply on the message. There were three tin mugs in the plastic bag sent with the children. I asked the children where their bottles are and they said they are not allowed their drinking bottles anymore, not even the smallest child. This two and a half year old child’s behaviour was to press his mouth to the garden hose and to a water drainage pipe outside the guest house. The following day I took the children to the shop and asked them to choose bottles for themselves. The eldest child wanted a sports bottle. The two other children chose teat bottles. They drank two and a half litre of water and juice and ate constantly. The smallest child held on to everything he was given. He loaded his arms with toys, food and the bottle, and refused to put anything down. He never used to do this.

The smallest child kept on rubbing his ears — something he never did before. I asked him why and he kept saying, “Cold.” It was winter in this country, but only cold during the night. I asked him if he felt cold and he shook his head no. He kept on rubbing his ears and I asked him if they were sore. He shook his head no and said, “Cold.” I asked the eldest child if his smaller brother had been cold. He said at night he picks up his smallest brother when he is crying. There is no one that helps them. He said sometimes he wakes up at night and his smallest brother would be lying on his bed.

I tried not to force myself on the children, but allowed them to decide when they want attention from me. Over the two days they gradually started reacting like they used to and even came and sat on my lap. The eldest child started talking to me as he had before, the youngest child started asking for help and relaxed about “his possessions”. The second child started talking more and held onto me when I walked anywhere.

I had a difficult time emotionally leaving the children with this father and his family after every visit. I knew what they are doing to my children. They had freedom to excessively punish and torture physically, to mentally corrupt and to emotionally paralyse the children. These grand parent’s methods of raising their children were plain in whom they had raised. Outwardly, apparently normal, successful business people with, I can’t decide, either cold, rock hard cores or empty vessels. I could not show my emotions to my children. It was not going to change their situation.

The following day, on picking up the children, this father’s youngest brother came to the gate, but did not want to let the smallest child go and held him in his arms. He said he wanted to speak to me first. I tried taking the child, but he held him too tight.

This man tried to force me to listen to him speaking by refusing to hand over my child. I told him I was going to phone the police and he let the child go. He again started saying I was in the way with the car. I told him I will take a picture for the court, so they can see I am not in anybody’s way. He walked away and never voiced his “concern” again.

The last day of the first weekend’s visit, the children did not want to go into the farm property after our visit and this youngest brother of this father was saying I am making things difficult. The smallest child did not want them to pick him up and the second child stood next to me outside the gate, refusing to move when they called him. The eldest child walked past them into the property without speaking to them.

I gave the children’s bottles that I had bought for them, and I told this father’s youngest brother that the bottles are the children’s. I had given the eldest child two plastic cool-drink bottles with drinking tops and told him to fill this with water as well for them during the day. When I phoned the following evening he told me that this father’s mother had taken away all the bottles, even the plastic cool-drink ones. They all, even the smallest child of two and a half years, were only allowed to drink water out of the tap with their hands. I told the children
I will get them new bottles on my next visit. This explained why my smallest child (two and a half years old) put his mouth to everything that he could see contained water. He was thirsty and no one helped him.

With the following weekend’s visit the children were more relaxed and talkative. The eldest child told me his father had left him alone in the field and went home. I was shocked. This eldest child also said that he was in the harvester with this father’s youngest brother. It was late, he said, and he started feeling cold. He said he told this father’s youngest brother he was very, very cold and wants to stop, but this father’s youngest brother did not stop.

The children said that now they were getting glasses to drink from and did not have to use their hands any longer. The second child said he had built himself his own house with stones. This is something he had done in the respected country. He would build himself his own house in which to live.

During one of the visits at the guest house we were outside playing in the sand, digging holes and filling them with water. All of a sudden the eldest child said, “We are not allowed to play in the dirt.”

When we had visited the farm before the children had a spot where we played in the sand, dug holes, played with tractors and other toys, making roads and ponds. They had dug a hole the size of a car wheel on that spot. Not one of this father’s family made comments when we did this. Even this father’s father told me over the phone that when they look at this hole they miss the children. I asked the child about this place they had played before, saying, “Surely you are allowed to play there?” The eldest child said no. I asked if they are allowed to play somewhere else in the dirt with their toys. He said no, they are not allowed. He looked sad. My boy loves playing in the dirt. I asked the child where he was allowed to play. He said only on the grass or else they get dirty. This father’s mother had three housekeepers and she herself did not put a hand to washing or ironing. The housekeepers who washed were allowed two basins of water from a borehole and the soap was rationed. So now these people’s reasoning entailed no small child will play in the dirt on a farm, because they get dirty and a little more water and soap were going to be needed.

Apart from what my eldest child had told me, our weekend’s visit went well. The children played in the dirt, blew bubbles or watched TV, etc. On the way to dropping the children off at the farm the eldest child asked me to drive around the small town. I thought they regularly visited this close by town and I asked him why he wanted me to drive around. He said they were not taken anywhere and stayed on the farm all the time. This translated into isolation.

The following week Saturday 15 September 2012 around 12:00, I received a message from this father that the second child had “fallen off the wagon” and had broken his leg.

I phoned at once, but the child did not want to speak to me. I could hear him crying and he sounded angry through his tears. I had already arranged to pick the children up for a visit the following day. I phoned again later and spoke to the child. I asked him about his leg and figuratively speaking fell on my back. This child was saying the wheel went over him. This information was not in this father’s message to me.

On the Sunday I picked up the children for our visit. This father’s youngest brother drove the children to the farm gate in a vehicle. He opened the back door of their car and stood away from the vehicle. I picked up this child whose whole leg was in plaster and put him into our car.

During the visit this second child was extremely mobile for a child who had broken his leg the day before. He manoeuvred himself. I commented on this and the eldest child said his brother is managing well. The second child said it was his fault the wheel went over him. He kept on saying that if the plaster of Paris comes off, his leg is going to fall off. If he does not take his medicine, they
were going to leave him at the hospital. Such caring, comforting comments from very “nice, compassionate” people to a four year old child in pain and discomfort, who got hurt through their negligence.

This child needed to go to the toilet and struggled with the leg in plaster. I knew that both this father’s parents were incapable of lifting any of the children. Never mind a child in this condition. I asked the child who helped him on the farm. He said no one.

The children started saying they are not allowed to drink. I asked why, and they said they will wet their beds if they drink. I told them they are allowed to drink during the day and they said no, they will wet their beds. My second child took this very seriously and refused anything to drink. My children used to drink minimum 1 litre of fluid each during a day, with no limits to the amount allowed. It sounded like these children now either wanted to impress, be praised, or was scared of punishment. The aim of this father’s family was no more diapers and no more wet beds. This father wanted me to potty train our first child at three months old. In the world of these “caring, compassionate, trustworthy” people a small child needs to be the least amount of trouble and money, even if the child could be hurt, suffer or feel uncared-for. This was the case with the second child, who told me when he urinates, it burns. But he still refused to drink fluids.

This second child complained of his elbow being sore and his arm hurting him. His right arm was swollen from below the shoulder to the wrist and had a bruise that stretched over half the arm. One could clearly see his arm was bent while the wheel went over it. It was not in a sling or bandaged.

After the weekend I asked this father’s mother via a message for the doctor’s information and contacted the Orthopaedic surgeon to find out about the child’s medical condition. The doctor knew this father had driven over the child. He showed no concern and said the child is fine. I asked the doctor to send me a report. He agreed, but never sent a report, after which I contacted the hospital and got the X rays. The X rays were taken 20:15 the night of 14 September 2012. This father’s message on 15 September 2012 was misleading. The other question was why the X rays were only taken at 20:15 at night? At what time did this father drive over his child? It is already dark outside at 18:30. The other option is that this child did not receive immediate medical care.

The Court made their final ruling on 21 September 2012, saying this father was allowed to leave this country for the respected country and that my visiting rights should not unnecessarily be disrupted. Because of this ruling, this family deemed themselves in control once again. I was fully aware of this and it made me uncomfortable as there was once again no supervision over them. Amazingly I arranged my visitation and encountered no problems with them in this.

The following weekend 23 September 2012 I parked the car next to the road as usual to fetch the children. This father’s youngest and eldest brothers brought the children in the car to the gate. Both these brothers climbed out of their car. This father’s youngest brother opened the back door of the vehicle and moved away as usual. The eldest and youngest children climbed into our car. This father’s youngest brother said the second child did not want to come with us and their advocate said the child did not have to. While he spoke the other brother moved to the front side of our car. I went to this child and asked him if he wanted to go and he shook his head no. I told him I want to visit with him and would like him to come. He made no reply. I picked him up and carried him to the car without him resisting, crying or screaming. My mother was by the back door of our car. I put the child in the car, closed the door and turned. This father’s two brothers was standing on top of us. The youngest brother pressed himself in between my mother and me, asking the second child if he wanted to go with us. The child shook his head no. This youngest brother pushed my mother away and pulled on the door handle, but the back door was locked. The eldest brother started pulling me. I turned my back and tried to switch my camera on, without success. Later I discovered the battery was flat. But I held the camera in front of me anyway, telling this eldest brother to stand back, which he then did. I looked to my right and saw this father’s youngest brother throwing my mother to the ground and holding her down. As if in a dream I looked to my left and this father’s eldest brother was pulling the keys out of the
vehicle ignition through the open window. I remember starting to scream and then realised we were in the middle of nowhere. The next thing I knew I was holding the keys. I climbed into the driver’s seat and like an idiot put the keys back in the ignition. I tried to look for my mother and then called to her. The eldest brother, through the open window again tried pulling the keys out of the ignition. I kicking at his arm and he pulled back. I turned to look where my mother was. She laid on the ground towards the farm gate. When I started to get out to go to her, this eldest brother grabbed my head and tried to bump it on the door frame, but did not succeed. He let me go and I went to my mother. She was getting up. The two brothers opened the back door of our car and this eldest brother grabbed the second child out of the car and ran with him down the driveway into farm property. My mother, her body with injuries and bruises told this youngest brother she was going to report him for assaulting her. He replied she had fallen on her own.

We drove to the police station in the little town. I told the police that the two brothers removed the second child forcefully out of our vehicle and had assaulted us. The police replied they want to see the court order giving me visiting rights. It was at the guesthouse where we were staying. At the guest house the owners said they will call the police to come there. The police arrived at the guesthouse, stayed in their car and did not want to take a statement and left again. I decided to visit with my children first, since I only have them for a certain period. An hour later another police officer arrived to arrest me on charges of assault on this husband’s youngest brother.

In this youngest brother’s statement he claims: I had forcefully removed this second child out of his vehicle and both my mother and I attacked him. He omits that his eldest brother was present.

I told this police officer who arrived to arrest me, that the eldest brother of this family had attacked me and the youngest brother attacked my mother. They also forcefully removed the second child out of our vehicle.

My eldest child sat next to me and told the police officer the same. I asked the police officer if a 5 year old child can make a statement or be a witness? He said no he cannot take the child’s statement, because he is too young.

Countries do not have the same standards when it comes to law and order. In the respected country a five year old child, in a strange environment (the police station), with strangers who questioned him alone on something which he now knew was not right, is accepted as a statement, without question. It is even used against me.

I told the police officer I will make a statement after having visited with my children. The police officer left.

I phoned the farm and asked to speak to my second child. I asked him if he wanted to visit. He said yes. I asked the police to go with me and I fetched the second child as well. This youngest brother again brought him to the gate in the car and I took the child, without a problem. The children and I spent some time together before I had to take them back.

On this occasion, being tired of the lies, manipulation and false impressions, I decided to record the children speaking and started asking them how the second child’s leg was broken. The following is a transcription of this.

**Mother talking to children about accident — (changes from the original transcription: child 1 is changed to child 2 and child 2 is changed to child 1 for the purposes of this writing)**

**MOTHER:** How many wheels drove over you?

**CHILD 2:** Just one.

**MOTHER:** Was it the wagon wheel or was it the car wheel?
CHILD 2: It was the wagon.

CHILD 1: The car wheel.

CHILD 2: The wagon drove over me.

CHILD 1: And “this father’s youngest brother” called to daddy.

MOTHER: Did daddy stop or did he carry on driving?

CHILD 2: He carried on driving. He reversed and then he drove over me.

MOTHER: And where was “this father’s youngest brother”?

CHILD 2: “This father’s youngest brother”, he had his bicycle (inaudible)

MOTHER: Did “this father’s youngest brother” see?

CHILD 2: Yes

CHILD 1: He saw “the second child” had fallen down.

MOTHER: Who stopped daddy then?

CHILD 2: No, daddy just……

MOTHER: Who stopped daddy?

CHILD 2: “This father’s youngest brother”.

MOTHER: Aah.

CHILD 1: And then I climbed out the wagon and then I said to “this father’s youngest brother” I must not … it was not me. I was on the wagon. It was “the second child”. I was on the wagon. I was with the maize. The maize hurt me and “the second child” is under and the wagon drove over him.

MOTHER: Who is with you?

CHILD 1: No one, just daddy. He was driving the car and then he…… then the wagon drove over “the second child”. Then I said no, no, because my dad will not stop. I yelled, but he did not hear the noise and when I stopped, then “this father’s youngest brother” came and then he saw “the second child” is lying on the path and then he told my dad to stop. Then he stopped and looked back and then he saw “the second child” lying there.

MOTHER: And then, what did he do then?

CHILD 1: And then they took him to the hospital.

WOMAN: Did the second child …...[ end of recording ]

In the court order of 25 May 2012 the respected country’s judge ruled that this father is worthy of the trust of their court. Now this person worthy of their trust, whom they had entrusted with the care of three small children, apart from this man walking around saying he is called a pedophile, put small children unaccompanied on a wagon, filled with maize. A moving load. This man worthy of their trust did not watch the children while he was driving. One fell off and he reversed over him. Listening to the children, it also sounds as if this man
did not even notice that he had driven over his own child and continued driving.

In my appeal paper to our native country’s court I attached this transcription, as well as pictures of the child’s swollen and bruised arm, and copies of X rays.

As a reply to this, this father still lied about having driven over the second child. I quote this father in court:

On or about Friday 14 September 2012 the second child broke his leg when he attempted to jump unto a moving trailer on the farm, he fell and broke his leg. He received immediate medical care and his leg was set in a cast.

In this father’s message to me on Saturday 15 September 2012 he said the child fell off the wagon and broke his leg. Now this father’s story changed to saying the child tried to jump onto a moving trailer.

That evening my mother and I drove to the hospital to see a doctor in a nearby town and they completed a police report of her numerous bruises and wounds. She was 72 years old. This youngest brother is about 43. She said he threw her to the ground as if he was fighting with a man.

The next day I asked the police to accompany me to the farm. Again this second child did not want to come with us. This father’s youngest brother was there.

I did get annoyed with the second child and told him I am not going to play these games. If he wants to come with, he must come. He said he did not want to. I left and visited with my other children.

What I found strange was that every time I spoke to this second child on the phone he would say he wishes he was a butterfly, then he would fly to me. I did not understand his confusing behaviour.

When arranging the next weekend’s visit I found out that this father was not leaving for the respected country, but was taking the children to a neighbouring country. This father’s advocate apparently told my advocate that I can arrange visitation again for the following week. The children’s school had started and the eldest two children were compelled to go to school. It is deemed a criminal offence in the respected country if the child is kept out of school for no valid reason. Laws did not apply to this father.

I could not get through on the farm telephone in the neighbouring country. I tried cellular numbers and nobody was available. Eventually this father’s local cellular was answered.

One of the first things the eldest child said to me was, “The lady says we are hiding, but we are not hiding.” My poor child did not know that his father was in hiding and, to prevent their voices from being heard, he took them with him into hiding.

The children kept on asking me when they are going home. I could not answer this. They wanted to know why I am not with them? I told them very bad people are keeping me away from them. This was the truth. More than that they would not understand.

The eldest child told me they are allowed to play in the dirt on this farm in the neighbouring country. That at least was good for them and gave them something to do. A few days later the eldest child got hurt. He told me a wire cut his foot open on the sole. He sounded so sad and I could not physically comfort him.

Once again the second child said he wishes he was a butterfly then he could fly to me. He had no idea how much I wished I could be with them. They did not know how this normal want of mine and my emotions were used against me, to lure me and to torture me with. The youngest child again held onto the phone when he got it.

Some people were criticising. They said I should have questioned and recorded the children about their molestation. I have spoken to a number of psychologists to find advice on how to deal with the children and was told professionals should handle these matters with the children. The only information the boys had gotten from me so far was that it is wrong. This, I was told, would result in the child starting to feel ashamed, decreasing the chances of them
speaking out. This manipulative father, that walked around saying he is called a pedophile, was telling my children that I am “sick”. My children had told me this and I replied that they should look for themselves if I had changed at all. My advocate at the time advised me not to speak to the children about their abuse. He assured me the children will be assessed. This did not happen.

I kept on trying to keep contact with the children in the neighbouring country. After a while I again could get no reply. I could get no reply in the respected country either. The reality of what I and my children were enduring felt so surreal, but for my children’s sake I could not avoid it. I had a responsibility to these children’s lives, who I had brought into this world. Spiritually I clung to God, with hope, faith and trust in Him.

I found it ironic how this father lied and elaborated in his court papers how I had physically kept his children away from him, “their father”. He claimed I made his communication difficult while he was away at work and had to change the truth and actual happenings to create this image. He claimed he feared that his children will be intimidated against him, “their father”. These were all lies just to protect himself. But, now, this father was making my communication with the children impossible, not even just difficult. This father was doing his best to alienate my children from me and to intimidate them, telling them I am “sick”.

His own behaviour is ill. He obtains his goal to silence me and my children with lies and schemes, abusing and manipulating a place where truth and law are supposedly upheld.

Chapter 11

No Help Found

My mother laid charges of assault against this father’s youngest brother and I against his eldest brother. Since this family agreed to the court’s visitation schedule that weekend and they were not allowed to interfere and/or withhold a child. The assault charges went to court in the little town near to this family’s farm. This husband’s youngest brother’s assault charge on me was also still there, but he wanted to settle out of court. I refused. The prosecutor said it was just a family brawl and wanted the cases dismissed. My mother and I both wanted to continue. The prosecutor postponed the court hearing.

I wanted to return to the respected country where my children were. This postponement held me up. The next court date, my police case, that I opened in a different area, had still not been received by this area’s police and court yet. They received a faxed copy, but said they cannot work with a copy. Hence the case was split.

This father’s eldest brother was not in court, but his youngest brother kept on saying he will drop the charges against me. I decided it will take up time and I needed to concentrate on getting my children back. While deciding this, this youngest brother’s lawyer came to me saying we don’t have proof that this youngest brother was in fact responsible for my mother’s injuries, or that the eldest brother was present. The eldest brother’s court case in this small town court was scheduled for beginning February 2013. I did not plan on staying for another three months in our native country with an appeal for custody in the respected country. I decided to agree that the assault charges can be dropped.

At the time there was an uproar about a serial rapist that, over years, pried on young girls. He burned his last victim alive. He got caught. This psychopath led an apparently normal life. He was married and had a child. According to the article, neighbours loved this funny, helpful and very social man. His colleagues at work needed therapy to deal with the reality of him
being a serial rapist and killer. They could not believe how they had been manipulated and lied to for years by this social, polite, amicable person that ended up being someone with serious mental problems.

The last victim’s mother criticised the wife of this man. She said this woman had known her husband was not well and did not speak up.

I knew that screaming at the top of your lungs does not mean people are going to help you or listen to what you are saying or protect you.

The police case for the molestation incident in my mother’s apartment was still open. The police investigator said he is going to continue with his investigation, even without the children being in our native country. The other police case I opened for this father’s parents farm was stopped at prosecutor level. Later the first case was also stopped by the public prosecutor. Again no assessments/evaluations were done. This father/husband successfully schemed and fought to avoid that, while claiming to be innocent.

Chapter 12

“Now to get rid of her”

The respected country’s court, in their order issued end May 2012, said that I am allowed to establish myself in the apartment. This is after this husband threw me out of the house midnight 8 May 2012. I was told by this father to go or he will phone the police, his reason being I am not allowed to sleep there. But, when having to answer for his action, this father in his court documents in our native country said his actions were taken on his advocate’s and the social service worker’s “advice”. This meant they were accomplices. Laws in this respected country forbade what this father, his advocate or the social service worker did and advised.

I arrived back in the respected country on 13 November 2012. Feeling tremendously disappointed at not having succeeded in protecting and helping my children through our native country’s court. There they spoke the children’s language and for the children this would have been ideal. Now I was back in this respected country where people experienced difficulty understanding you speaking an international language in the supermarket. Let alone the unabashed lies of some people in this respected country, of having assessed and spoken to my children when neither the children nor they spoke each other’s languages.

I opened the apartment after being away for six months. Clothes of mine that had been in the house, hanged in the entrance hall. I went upstairs. The printer, copier, scanner and fax machine were gone. The telephone and all the telephone wires were removed and the wireless modem was gone. The phone line was cut off. Even my mop, my handheld vacuum cleaner and coffee press were gone. The main bedroom door, which I left locked, stood open and a stack of mail was lying on the bed. These were mostly invoices from the hospital, acquired throughout my attempts to be assessed and admitted. Some had notifications of legal action. The place had been ransacked. Things that were not lying in plain view, for example, pictures, documents, my old house key with my post box key attached to it were gone. I was still registered at the house address, and had no access to my mail. This husband took out all his personal possessions, e.g. clothes and shoes that he kept in the apartment. My clothes and cosmetics from the house were randomly stuffed into drawers and cupboards. This husband’s study was emptied of the papers that used to be in piles on the floor along the wall. The papers he did not want laid strewn around and two huge boxes filled with rubbish was left. The least this husband could have done was to throw away his own rubbish. I got the impression someone had lived in the apartment. Some of my personal
care products had been used and the heating, I left turned off, was turned on full. Trying to use the wireless internet, I realised this husband changed the pass code. I myself witness this husband’s advocate standing in court and saying this husband is doing his best to support his “mentally unstable” wife and how upsetting it is to him that his wife is “mentally unstable”. Evidence of this husband’s “support”: He harred and threatened me after telling me he is called a pedophile. He invaded my privacy. He continued to harass me and my children after I had gone to the police. He lied in police reports and to the social service worker. He physically abused me. His lies got him full control over these small children. He threw me out of my house, proceeding in torturing me and my children with our emotional need for each other. After succeeding in getting me into our native country, he wrote a letter to the bank withdrawing himself financially from me, which resulted in the bank blocking my credit card. He wrote a letter to the airlines withdrawing his credit card on my flight ticket he booked. He continues to try to alienate my children from me, disregarding their young ages and need for me and the psychological impact this has on them. He continues lying, manipulating and intimidating in courts. He is trying to remove me, with plans and schemes, from our medical aid policy, this to avoid taking responsibility for doing it openly. He and whom ever else again invaded my privacy and moved my belongings out of the house I was still registered at. He raided the apartment he threw me in and took the key to the mailbox where I receive my mail.

Let’s not forget his “emergency” divorce that he filed for reasons of his wife that, as with others, he says is calling him a pedophile and is mentally unstable, according to him. Apart from me still having a roof over my head by court order, I could not see how this father’s advocate could voice the word support. Unless she puts a different meaning to the word than what it means to me.

This father still had both the front door key and the interior door key of the apartment. This made me uncomfortable, but it was hopeless to say this.

The visiting rights given to me in our native country were not applicable in this respected country. I went to see my advocate and asked her to organise visitation. She replied she did not know how to. She will have to make a study.

I went again to the youth police for protection of children where I again tried to be heard and was dismissed. Next was the police business division, informing them of this father’s business activities and my contribution involving his money. One document showed that this father had a trust account in a fraudulent name. He took his second name and used it as his surname. A bank email depicted this man’s salary, showing this husband is lying in court. They made copies of the documents. Some accounts were in the name of my business in my native country, which I had closed at this point. I was asked to approach the bank. I found that this husband, to bring the money into the respected country, used an investment bank’s bank account at another bank. From there the money went to the investment bank, all still in fraudulent names. I approached this husband’s banker at this investment bank. He looked more than upset. Immediately he said he does not know me and they had no such account at their “bank” and I need to leave. I was enjoying the feeling of rocking, even a little, their boat for a change. I approached an ordinary police station and talked of the ransacking of the apartment. No statement was taken. The police officer said they will discuss it with the social service worker. I also told my advocate about the ransacking of the apartment and was met with disinterest. I was upset, because it felt as if this man just did what he wanted. What upset me the most was what he was doing to my children. I decided to concentrate on saving them and to ignore all other distractions.

There was a nanny, this father and this father’s mother in the house with the children. I greeted the eldest two children through the window, when they went to school. Who ever
was with the children photographed me standing at my bedroom window. This was the first time since I have been married to this man that I saw his mother in the respected country. She had not, with any of the births of the children paid any attention to them or me. She declined all invitations with excuses of being very busy.

When I met this father’s mother, she seemed helpful and giving to the people in the town near to where they lived. She regularly gave away the fruit on the farm, etc. I noticed the fruit she gave was mostly rotting and had been eaten by insects and birds. She went for long walks with the dogs every afternoon. Once, during a visit to them, the eldest child wanted to go with her. I stood with this child when he told her he wanted to walk with her. I asked her to wait because he needs shoes and his hat. I ran to get this. When I returned she had started walking and was about 20 metres down the road. I called to her. The eldest child, having his hat and shoes, started running after her, calling out. She walked away faster, without looking back. She had her first opportunity to go on a walk with her grandson, whom she sees only once a year and showed no interest. Her everyday behaviour involved avoiding the company of her small grandchildren. Her uninvolved behaviour when we visited disturbed me for the sake of the children and I discussed it with this father, who said he will speak to his mother. After that I saw her picking up one of her grandchildren once and I took a picture of this. She also started speaking to them more. This was in contrast to what this grandparent was like when speaking over the phone. When this father phoned to his parents on the farm, his mother would tell the children over the phone how much she misses them on the farm. She and their grandfather spoke of the animals and always told them the strawberries are ripe (even out of season), the birds had babies and this they need to see. But when we visited she showed no interest in spending time with them, did not give them strawberries and told them to stay away from her birds because they will scare them.

During our visits I heard her saying to people over the phone how very busy the children were keeping her. But she never paid attention to the needs of the children. She ignored them, unless they were doing something wrong. This father’s father added to this and kept on telling people how rich he is with his three grandchildren on the farm. This husband, when at home, had the same habits and said the same things on the telephone when speaking to people. They would phone several people consecutively, giving the same information and creating the same impressions. This occupied them for quite a while every day, while I was taking care of my children that were, in their telephonic impressional information sharing ventures, “keeping them very busy”.

This father’s mother had manipulative, lying and controlling mannerisms. During a visit she tried to convince me, for no apparent reason, that spring union was garlic. She gave me a clove of garlic two days before this incident when I had asked her for it. She now denied she did. She continued trying to convince me, telling me that spring onion is her garlic from her garden. I told her it looks like spring onion, it smells like spring onion, it is spring onion. She turned around without a word and fetched the real garlic.

She told me when her children were small she had a piece of paper on the wall in the kitchen and her children could write on it every day what kind of porridge they wanted for breakfast. I have only seen her make one kind of cooked porridge for breakfast and once when someone visited she made two kinds. This porridge she made had to cook for at least half an hour. Her stove, around 50 years old, did not have the place or the capacity for her fantasy story. This father’s father was present when she said this, but he made no comment. I later asked this father about what his mother had said and he very abruptly told me his mother is telling a lie and walked away. Why lie about something like that?

Many similar incidents occurred that made me frown. She has strange behaviour with food. This father had told me his mother stored food until it was rotting. There was an odd incidents when meat was taken off bones and the bones were given to me for lunch. With another meal meat was taken out of a stew as well and these pieces were placed to one side at the table by this father’s father. We were 5 grown-ups and 3 children at this table. This father’s father then said if we eat our vegetables then we can share the meat! These two pieces were cut into small pieces and divided between us afterwards. These people
were wealthy, but created the impression, in many instances, as if they scratched our a meagre existence.

This grandmother insisted on having a birthday party for one of the children when he turned three, while we were on this farm. A neighbour of hers made plates of treats for each child and she put a cake on the dining room table for the grownups. But while the children ate outside she did not invite the adults to the cake inside. I waited for her, but then decided to invite them to get some cake, thinking maybe this grandmother forgot about it. I was wrong. After this party she approached me and asked me why I had done this. She said if I did not tell them about the cake, or had allowed them to take some, there would have been more left over.

After five and a half years of marriage to this father, and us having three children, this father’s mother asked me what our home telephone number is. She said she has not phoned us, because she did not have our home number. Her son had been living in that house in the respected country for 15 years. Stunned speechless, I did not give the number. After this husband told me he is called a pedophile, his parents did phone me, saying they don’t know when his son of theirs became so hard and cold. That it might have been in the army.

This father’s father seemed jovial when I met him at first. He flirted a lot with other women, even openly in front of his wife. He told a visiting lady her lips were so nice and red he can get stuck to them. She told him if he kissed her, she will vomit. He laughed at this proverbial slap in his face.

When we visited the farm with the children, this grandfather interfered a lot with how I raised the children. He was controlling and domineering. He wanted to decide if I could spend time with my children and continually ordered me to leave them alone. He also continually spoke of respect and discipline. When the children got hurt and cried, he would tell them repeatedly they are not hurting and to stop crying? And told me not to comfort them. I did not like this man’s behaviour. I refused to let my small children ride alone on the back of their pickup trucks and he told me I am raising them softly. If I acknowledged my children’s hurt by comforting them, he also said this.

When we arrived on the farm he would invite the children to come with him when he worked on the farm. After a few days it was like he had forgotten about them and just left the house, ignoring them when they asked to ride a tractor, etc.

This father told me when he was a child he got thirsty and hungry when he went with his father to the farmlands. His father ignored this until he finished his work. Because of this, when they initially invited the children with them on these farm trips that lasted for hours, I gave the children their own drinking bottles and something to eat with. It got very hot during summer in this country and small children dehydrated easily. This was met with obvious disdain from this grandfather. I ignored him. This father’s father became more controlling, manipulative and insulting towards me and this was also his behaviour in the house when not obeyed. But when he was in the company of other people he would be jovial and exuberantly giving.

This whole family’s behaviour was awkward. The youngest brother I was told belonged to a sect. This was according to his third eldest brother who had calls from people asking why this youngest brother was behaving so strangely. This brother told me he got someone to investigate this sect. Their sister was so soft spoken one could hardly hear her talk, but she had an explosive temper. She would erupt loudly over the smallest of details and even threatened my brother on the second occasion she saw him that she was going to slap him for taking a picture of her. My brother and his family left their farm immediately. This father made excuses to me about his sister being shy of pictures. I had seen her on pictures, smiling. Later this father said his sister had a fright because of an experience she had had in her work situation. This also did not make sense. She was in her parents’ house at the time and there were other people present.

Later, I also experienced her abrupt, explosive temper — setting the table wrongly according to her.

This father’s eldest brother came across calm, soft and gentle. I met a different person when he came over immediately after this father got custody of the children. This eldest brother
was indeed another manipulative, cold and controlling person.

Before I married this father, my mother said she found it strange that most of these siblings, in their prime, were unmarried. I did not think much about it at the time.

Whilst staying in the small town near their farm for my visitation with the children, the people in the area spoke of how stingy and sparingly this family lived. The people joked around that this family never slaughtered their live stock for meat, but waited until some died of a disease or for a car to hit one of their stray animals on the road that passed next to their farm. They joked that with this situation now, to create a good impression with the people in town, this family will be donating animals for functions. They wondered if my children were fed properly. I worried about this as well.

They took away these children's bottles. Leaving them to try to help themselves, when they are thirsty, to drink out of a tap with their hands. Even at the age of two as the youngest child was. Meaning they did not want to give their time to these children's needs. It did not matter to them that a two year old child had to adjust from being breast fed to helping himself out of a tap in a matter of three months — if not quicker. They pushed the children not to drink anything so they did not wear diapers, meaning they don't have to spent money on that. Even risking these children's physical health for this aim.

I was told that this same family, that did their best to alienate my children from me and that treated my traumatised children in this horrendously hardhearted manner, spread rumours in this town of how "bad" a mother I was. This father's mother told people that I am such a bad mother that I don't even want my children.

I wondered if my children heard these lies that this family were telling. It broke my heart that my three small children, because of these people, might think I do not want them and did not love them. I presumed their actions were linked to this father's words that night after I had confronted him with what the children had said about his family: "She knows. The children told her."

Three days after having arriving back in this respected country, I came home from an appointment to find this father, his mother, a nanny and the second child outside the house. As I came closer I saw my eldest child ringing my apartment door bell. He came down the stairs when he saw me, gave me a hug and gave me something he had made in school. I got a hug from the second child as well. I told them I loved them very much. The words sounded so empty and hollow. I used to be able to show them that I love them and now I was being prevented. These children did not understand this and just saw no action from me, proving that I loved them. They saw a mother that was not with them, while their whole world was made unstable, their needs ignored and people were hurting them.

My smallest child was inside the house. I had not held him in two months. I knew without asking that this father would never in a million years fetch him so I can say hello to him. The eldest two children left for school and I walked up the stairs to the front door of the apartment. This father called my name. I ignored him and carried on walking, but he kept on calling. I stopped and looked at this man. The woman that had raised him stood right next to him. This father said, "If you are outside the house again when the children are outside I am going to call the police." This father's mother had no expression on her face. I told him I want the keys he had for the apartment. He said, "No." I told him if he ever comes into the apartment again, I will call the police, because I am allowed to live there now. He asked me who said so. I told him it is in the court order and I went into the apartment.

My mother arrived to come and support me. She kept a low profile, she said, because she did not want to make trouble for me with this father. This irritated me. He made himself less in my eyes with his actions. I considered him a weak human that needed to oppress, lie, manipulate, intimidate and use small children to feel strong, in control and even loved. Not two weeks later I received a summons to be in court. In this they stated that: This father is scared. How unreasonable it was for this father to live with this fear. That his wife had now
totally lost touch with reality and that he does not recognise her anymore, that I follow the children to school.
The summons requested an immediate eviction from the apartment, an immediate follow through on the emergency divorce (still called an emergency divorce seven months later) and a restraining order prohibiting me from being within 100 meters from this father and “his little family” as one of this father’s “friends” wrote in his witness statement to court.

Again an example of this “nice, compassionate” husband “supporting” his apparent “mentally unstable” wife. In reality: His attempts to get rid of me. As for this friend of this father, who wrote this witness statement, I had not seen him in a year. On which occasion he and his wife came to visit me or/and three small boys for whatever reason, because this husband, their friend, was not at home. At the time of me finding out about this father’s “food” for my children, this same friend of this father phoned the house several times and said, “Don’t do it,” and then had put down the phone — without saying anything else. Another person who wrote a witness statement for this father was the man my eldest son identified as also having molested him.

Once again religion was brought into these statements. The claims were I belonged to a Christian sect and that I put a Bible in front of the door to ward off evil. Funnily enough in this husband’s initial diary/document to the court he sites an example of me having no respect for the Bible, saying he found baby wipes and salve on the Bible. These are two completely contradictory views and statements.

I have never placed a Bible in front of a door to ward off evil. The wipes might have been on a Bible without any bad intent. Further than that it is called a book and for this purpose I read it for the information inside. Also in this summons it was said that I had physically attacked this father and his family on their farm in our native country and it was against this father’s constitutional rights to live in fear. This, when my mother and I were attacked by his youngest and eldest brothers and this father was only visible in a court room. He was nowhere to be seen at the times I picked up and brought the children back on our visits. It was also said that, in our native country’s court, I had attacked this father with a court application and a “Hague application” had to be made to stop me.

These statements in court were such dramatic, ridiculously elaborate lies, aimed solely at stirring pity for this father — because of his claimed “reality-less wife” — it astonished me that people could not see it for what it was. This same husband served in a gorilla war for nearly a decade. He had special training in combat, torture and interrogation. He served in the special police force for about half a decade and knew these institutions systems and operations. There was a striking difference between this father’s documents two months before in our native country’s court and this summons now in the respected country. These documents described and depicted me as two completely different people.

During all these procedures the social service report was reverted to and revered in every court case. I still had problems reading this report as well as this father’s diary without getting upset, angry, unhappy, frustrated and loosing objectivity.

My advocate gave me very bad advice throughout all this father’s abusive and illegal actions with me and the children. She made me feel helpless with her words, “You have no rights.” But, not wanting to think everyone lost a few brain cells, I tried to rationalise her behaviour with the reason that I might not have known what her game plan was. But she did not discuss this with me. She had also said things on my behalf in court to which I had not agreed.

With this eviction, interdict and immediate divorce procedures this father had started, the court was postponed from the Thursday to the Monday. The Friday my Advocate phoned me
saying she cannot handle my case. She is struggling with the international language and did not have the adequate time to spend on what my case needed. She referred me to another legal firm.

Another advocate had approached me in a coffee shop and offered to take my case. I showed him the documentation involved. He even worked out a game plan for me to give to my advocate, regarding the coming court hearing involving eviction, a restraining order, an immediate divorce, etc. He would be using this himself now.

The court hearing was postponed due to this new advocate having to prepare. This new advocate wanted to postpone the appeal, on this father getting full temporary custody of the children, as well. I had no choice and had to understand he wanted time to prepare. He did "sound" promising. His passionate speech was that of being my voice in court and standing up for the truth.

He requested visitation for me with my children (he did not need to study first). I asked that he request telephonic rights. Everyone in whose care my children was left, put the phone down when I ask to speak to my children. My new advocate said no, this is not necessary. I automatically have telephonic rights. I did not according to this father or the people he employed.

My other problem: Every-time they put the telephone down it felt as if my heart was breaking and I had to handle the feelings of disappointment, sadness and anger. This meant that if I wanted to keep my sanity I had to back down. This new advocate did not ask for telephonic rights and hence this father and his employees kept on playing games with me and my children. This new advocate’s main aim was to proof to the court that I am normal. This is what he wanted to concentrate on in the eviction court hearing - CV, job applications, etc. This court hearing had nothing to do with the children and so this approach made sense.

On the day of the court hearing, I sat in court for about four hours. So did many other advocates, waiting for their individual cases to be called by the judge sitting in the front of this room.

Only advocates were allowed to speak in court. This was, unless you don’t have legal representation, then you can speak. I had found it astonishing that there were so many lies told in court. Taking my case as an example, this father’s advocate said what she wanted in court and it was excepted as truth. There is no investigation into the truth and no repercussions for lies.

I might be naive, but was the concept of a court room not to work based on truth? Without implementing punishment for speaking untruth, this justice system is nothing but an illusion. What use is going through the procedure if the end result is a show with no truth, and as a result, no justice and even abuse? To me it compared to taking away road limits.

The result of the visitation request was: I could see my children under supervision at a place for four hours a week. Nine months after this court had given full temporary custody to this father and had ignored me in their process. When I phoned to make an appointment at this visitation place, I was told that this is a process. They first interview me, then this father, and then the children. This, I was told by them, could take months and only after they had had all meetings, can they then allow me to see my children. The management decided themselves not to execute the court order of four hours a week. They said they can start with one hour every two weeks and then they will decide from there. “The court order did not matter.”

Since returning, I had again visited the same psychiatrist, who had previously seen me, to continue with the evaluation. I discussed this eviction notice with him and he again agreed to write a medical certificate for the court. In this he again stated that he did not find me to have any psychiatric problems.

Regardless of a professional opinion and no ruling for a court evaluation the judge in the eviction, interdict and immediate divorce hearing determined that I am not "mentally stable". For this judge, this was the reason why I cannot be evicted. Some things apparently did
have benefits. But, in front of this judge was the psychometric analysis I did in my native country and this new medical certificate by the psychiatrist, saying something completely different.

An interesting statement in the ruling of this judge is, and I quote: *Finally, despite all the respect due to freedom of thought and freedom of conscience, the public authorities cannot tolerate that parents endanger the mental and moral health of their children by instilling fear of existence of Satan, the reality of divine messages or well-founded theories of creationists, denying the achievements of science in terms of evolution of species.*

The above mentioned personal opinion of this judge does not belong in a court order. Approved teachings methods are for example:

My 4-year old’s teaching material in a compulsory school of this respected country consisted of him mostly having to colour in black witches holding magic sticks, dragons blowing flames and having to draw lines from witch to witch.

Witches are satanic. But teachers do not instil fear for these. No, they just repeatedly have the small child colour them in in everyday “teaching”. A logical question when I saw this, was: Why? According to this judge their everyday teachings are not allowed to instil fear of the existence of Satan. Do they want to instil comfort and affection towards satanism?

Here is another example: Write a letter to Santa. Look to the sky for him. Be nice for him. Your mother has taught you to do this for your Christian God, but we say this God is a fantasy.

If you punish me and my children for me being Christians, do not in the same sentence mention the words “respect and freedom” as this judge did, while claiming I have lost touch with reality. This treatment of me and my children is not respect, freedom or democracy.

If you hold a coin and you see and experience the good side (God: not killing, not lying, not stealing, etc.), do you then idealistically assume the evil side (Satan: killing, lying, stealing, fornication, pedophilia etc.) does not exist? I do suppose it is easier for the devil to get his work done in secret, while people ignore his existence or are taught to be comfortable with it. There is a lot less interference, resistance and obstacles for him.

Granted, I did wake up late, but I tried teaching my children to recognise Satan’s weapons: Lies, false realities, false impressions and people abusing their feelings. I taught my children God’s moral values and that there are consequences for their actions. How acknowledging your actions, and taking responsibility for it and asking forgiveness, meant the difference of going to heaven or hell. I taught them that they have a Father in Heaven that loves them. They should try to do good for Him. I told them that they are God’s children, because all children belonged to God. To Him they are beautiful and they can call on Him.

Living in an untruthful, unreal, fake fun, fear-filled, false love and creation of fake impressions world makes it difficult to accept teachings and confirmation of safety, compassion, acceptance and true love.

Chapter 13

*Evolution versus Creation*

As for the judges ruling of me: *denying the achievements of science in terms of evolution of species.* The following information is from [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline_of_human_evolution](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline_of_human_evolution):
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxonomic rank</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Millions of years ago</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>domain</td>
<td>Eukaryota</td>
<td>Cells with a nucleus</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kingdom</td>
<td>Animalia</td>
<td>Animals</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phylum</td>
<td>Chordata</td>
<td>Vertebrates and closely related invertebrates</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subphylum</td>
<td>Vertebrata</td>
<td>Vertebrates</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>superclass</td>
<td>Tetrapoda</td>
<td>Tetrapods</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>superclass</td>
<td>Amniota</td>
<td>Amniotes, tetrapods that are fully terrestrialally-adapted</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class</td>
<td>Mammalia</td>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subclass</td>
<td>Theriiformes</td>
<td>Supraprimates, bats, whales, most hoofed mammals, and most carnivorous mammals</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infraclass</td>
<td>Eutheria</td>
<td>Placental mammals (i.e. non-marsupials)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>magnorder</td>
<td>Boreoeutheria</td>
<td>Mammals that birth live young (i.e. non-egg-laying)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>superorder</td>
<td>Euarchontoglires</td>
<td>Supraprimates (primates, rodents, rabbits, tree shrews, and colugos)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grandorder</td>
<td>Euarchonta</td>
<td>Primates, colugos and tree shrews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mirorder</td>
<td>Primatomorpha</td>
<td>Primates and colugos</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>order</td>
<td>Primates</td>
<td>Primates</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suborder</td>
<td>Haplorrhini</td>
<td>&quot;Dry-nosed&quot; (literally, &quot;simple-nosed&quot;) primates (apes, monkeys, and tarsiers)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infraorder</td>
<td>Simiiformes</td>
<td>&quot;Higher&quot; primates (or Simians) (apes, old-world monkeys, and new-world monkeys)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parvorder</td>
<td>Catarrhini</td>
<td>&quot;Downward-nosed&quot; primates (apes and old-world monkeys)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>superfamily</td>
<td>Hominoidea</td>
<td>Apes</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family</td>
<td>Hominidae</td>
<td>Great apes (Humans, chimpanzees, bonobos, gorillas, and orangutans)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subfamily</td>
<td>†Kenaypithecinae/Ponginae</td>
<td>Kenyapithecus and ramapithecus</td>
<td>14-12. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subfamily</td>
<td>Homininae</td>
<td>Humans, chimpanzees, bonobos, and gorillas</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tribe</td>
<td>Hominini</td>
<td>Homo and Australopithecina</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subtribe</td>
<td>Hominina</td>
<td>Members of the genus Homo[	extit{this appears to be identical with the genus}]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genus</td>
<td>Homo</td>
<td>Humans, neanderthals, homo erectus, and their direct ancestors</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>species</td>
<td>(archaic) Homo sapiens</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sub-species</td>
<td>Homo sapiens sapiens</td>
<td>Modern humans</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sub-species</td>
<td>Neurologically modern humans</td>
<td>Fully neurologically developed humans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This information has since been changed from fully neurologically developed to fully anatomically and behaviourally developed humans.

A theory that humans are “nothing”. That life is nothing. No consequences of actions and that everything will end in nothing. Void, meaningless, empty, hollow and insignificant. But at least humans are “fully neurologically developed”!

The big bang explosion theory, of everything being by chance, is baseless. If you cause an explosion on a plot you will not get a furnished, well stocked, pristinely organised house. Not even if you place the cement next to the bomb - compared to carbon for the earth.

A clever friend of mine studied evolution theories for years. He had to come to the conclusion that there are too many assumptions and vagueness in these evolution theories. He shared his conclusions:

Gene mutations simulated by heat, chemicals and radiation have usually been found to be harmful mutation.

The double helix structure of DNA discovered in 1953 gave hope to evolutionists that it would show the evolution of molecules. What it has shown is that each gene is a section of a long DNA molecule and is stable for thousands of years. Because complexity of the DNA helix and the micro-biochemical machines within each cell which are programmed with different functions to replicate an exact copy of the DNA molecule, many Biochemists recognise that this is too complicated to just have happened by spontaneous evolution.

The protein’s amino acid sequences is impossible to arrange in any sort of evolutionary series.

Biochemistry attempts made to use molecular clocks to calculate how long ago species branched off their common ancestor have been found to be questionable as their molecular clocks run at different rates.

Mathematics: Some of the laws of genetics and chemistry can be shown as equations. Using these formulae mathematicians calculate that 100 billion years is not enough time for a single cell to develop on earth.

Geology: In radiometric dating assumptions has to be made. The rate of decay has to remain constant through time which is generally excepted to be the case, but cannot be proven.

The sample being tested had to have remained in a closed system. The earth is not a closed system. For example, two rock samples were taken from Hawaiian Volcano lava in 1800 &1801 known to be 200 years old, but were dated 140 million to 2.96 billion years.

Perfection in creation baffles, intrigues and astounds even the most learned of scientist. Every aspect of God’s creation, so well thought out, planned and interwoven to the finest detail that advanced technology is based on these features, characteristics and substances of God’s creation. Every snow laden picturesque mountain; flamencos’ taking off over a lake in Africa; a school of dolphins jumping in the ocean; the peaceful silence of a desert; the immenseness of the ocean. These are scenes of a Mastermind Creator no one can recreate, but can only emulate or use as inspiration for inventions.

I believe the Bible, written by 40 scribes from different walks of life, over a period of 1500 years. It starts with however God had initially created in six days and then rested on the seventh (Genesis 1:1-31)

Genesis 2:4 These are the generations of the heavens and of the earth when they were created, in the day that the Lord God made the earth and the heavens,

Genesis 2:5 And every plant of the field before it was in the earth, and every herb of the field before it grew: for the Lord God had not caused it to rain upon the earth, …

No rain means drought. Plants, animals and people died. Concentrated dinosaur fossils suggests mass deaths occurred due to a drought.


Genesis 2:5 ...and there was not a man to till the ground.

Nobody that had been created was given the order to till the ground.
Genesis 2:6  But there went up a mist from the earth that watered the whole face of the ground.

Genesis 2:7  And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.

God created another man and breathed His own breath into this man, who, as a result, now had a living soul.

Genesis 2:8  And the LORD God planted a garden eastward in Eden and there he put the man whom He had formed.

Genesis 2:15  ...and put him into the garden of Eden to dress it and to keep it.

Genesis 2:18  And the LORD God said, It is not good that the man should be alone; I will make him an help meet for him.

During this creation, God made man first, then beasts and then a woman.

Genesis 2:19  And out of the ground the LORD God formed every beast of the field, and every fowl of the air; and brought them unto Adam...Genesis 2:20  ...but for Adam there was not found an help meet for him.

Genesis 2:22  And the rib, which the LORD God had taken from man, made he a woman, and brought her unto the man.

Genesis 2:23  And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called woman...Genesis 2:25  And they were both naked, the man and his wife, and were not ashamed.

Eve confessed eating of the forbidden tree. Being enticed, lured and deceived, by the “talking snake”. Adam also ate, confessing this. They lost their perfection and purity.

Having eaten of the tree of knowledge of good and evil, they could not eat from the tree of life in the garden anymore. They had to leave the garden located inside Eden. They still lived in Eden, and still saw God. Eve bore Cain and Abel. Cain killed his brother, but, unlike Adam and Eve, he did not confess having done wrong and lied. God punished Cain. Cain said:

Genesis 4:14  Behold, thou hast driven me out this day from the face of the earth; and from thy face shall I be hid; and I shall be a fugitive and a vagabond in the earth; and it shall come to pass that every one that findeth me shall slay me.

Genesis 4:15  ...And the LORD set a mark upon Cain, lest any finding him should kill him.

Cain could still see the LORD. He was complaining that he will not see him anymore. Some people, who survived the first draught, still lived and Cain feared them.

Genesis 4:16-17  And Cain went out from the presence of the LORD, and dwelt in the land Nod, on the east of Eden. And Cain knew his wife; and she conceived,...

Cain's wife was from the land Nod.

Genesis 4:25  And Adam knew his wife again; and she bare a son, and called his name Seth: For God, said she, hath appointed me another seed instead of Abel, whom Cain slew.

Genesis 4:26  ...then began men to call upon the name of the LORD.

Genesis 5:1-2  This is the book of the generations of Adam. In the day that God created man, in the likeness of God made he him; Male and female created he them; and blessed them, and called their name Adam, in the day when they were created.

In Genesis 5, God gives the generations of Adam to Noah and Noah’s sons.

Genesis 6:1  And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them,

Genesis 6:2  That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose.

Genesis 6:4  There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughter of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown.

Remains of giant people had been found all over the world. There are depictions of giants in Egyptian hieroglyphics. [http://muhammadabdo.wordpress.com/2012/12/21/mystery-of-ancient-egypt-the-giant-humans-forbidden-history/](http://muhammadabdo.wordpress.com/2012/12/21/mystery-of-ancient-egypt-the-giant-humans-forbidden-history/) In the book of Enoch explanations are given to the origin of the giants.
An online version of the book of Enoch can be found here: http://www.hiddenbible.com/enoch/online.html

Genesis 6:5 And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually.

Genesis 6:8-9 But Noah found grace in the eyes of the LORD. These are the generations of Noah: Noah was a just man and perfect in his generations, and Noah walked with God.

Noah, perfect in his generations, was a direct descendent from Adam.

Genesis 7:12 And the rain was upon the earth forty days and forty nights. Genesis 7:23 And every living substance was destroyed which was upon the face of the ground, both man, and cattle and creeping things, and the fowl of the heaven; and they were destroyed from the earth; and Noah only remained alive, and they that were with him in the ark.

The tribes of Israel were God’s children by their bloodline. Constant referrals are made to bloodlines in the Bible. These are given to prove “perfect” blood lineage.

Through Jesus Christ, of “perfect” blood lineage, change was made for salvation from blood lineage to salvation for those believing in Jesus Christ. Hence from then on, blood lineage became unimportant.

Revelation 1: 5 ... Jesus Christ, who is the faithful witness, and the first begotten of the dead, and the prince of the kings of the earth. ...

John 1:12-13 But to many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on His name: Which were born, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God.

Romans 8:14 For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, these are sons of God.

Chapter 14

Round two in the Youth Court

With the appeal of the custody for the children my new advocate changed his mind on how he wanted to handle my situation. He handled the appeal on child custody court with the exact same documents he handed in for the eviction court hearing, which is my CV, qualifications, etc.

This same advocate vehemently said to me he will be “my voice in court”. Now he did not want to place pictures in front of the court of a 4 year old boy’s swollen and bruised arm and x-rays of his broken leg where a wagon went over him in the negligent care of this father. Also not the transcription of the conversation I had with the children about this incident. He was not going to put evidence into court as proof of the existence of this father’s rumour of liking little boys, or the testimony from my mother which states the incident she witnessed in 2009, as well as a testimony from my mother’s doctor stating my mother had discussed the incident with her at the time, because she felt upset. Not even evidence showing this father lied in several courts.

This father’s advocate, on the other hand, gave the court pictures of a knife on a cutting board and pictures of a stove turned on. Everyone can turn on a stove or put a knife on a cutting board and take pictures. These pictures did not proof in any way that in my care the children’s lives were put in direct, imminent danger. Unlike X-rays proving a child’s injuries after a near death incident, caused by negligence and inattentiveness.

But my advocate’s reasoning encompassed saying this in court is attacking this father. He now said this social service worker’s report is objective, unbiased observations and cannot be countered. Simply the proof of bedroom doors having no locks, while in this report it is claimed I locked my children in a room - proof he also did not want to put to court - made his statement false.
His reasoning further entailed: This report claims I have lost touch with reality. If I stand up for myself and the children, I am going to look crazy/mentally unstable.

My children were indeed taken away from me, because of me being proclaimed “mentally unstable” by this respected country’s court — without me having spoken one word in this court and without them having a medical certificate stating this. My “silence” was used against me by this father’s advocate when I approached our native country’s court. He made the accusation, “She did not make herself heard in this court,” and now she approaches her native country’s court. I did speak to the police, the social service workers and my advocate. They all knew what my children had told me, as well as the rest of the information. Their decision was to ignore me; a horror situation for children (without investigating or protection); and chose to discredit me with whatever they could grab at to prevent my testimony from being heard.

My reasoning entailed: If silence prevails and nothing is on record, who will benefit? Silence, secrecy and confidentiality are keywords and actions where abuse is taking place. This is simply a weapon of abusers, aimed solely for their benefit to avoid having to take responsibility. It serves only to protect the truly wrong and shamed — abusers.

So the decision this advocate presented me with boiled down to: being proclaimed “mentally unstable” by the court in silence, as has been done, or being proclaimed “mentally unstable” twice for giving evidence to the court.

On the day of the appeal court sitting, my advocate said he preferred me not to be in court. I obliged. He was not truly representing me, but only gave them my credentials, saying, “Yes, she can read. Yes, she can write. Yes, she seems to be capable of learning. Yes, these people in these testimonies say she is nice.”

My children, who spent most of their time outside in the field and garden when in my care, was now confined inside the house most of the time. Invisible boundaries had been put up. The clear target: Increasing the comfort, ease of living, minimal effort and work for the three little children’s caretakers. Of course the added benefit in these people’s hearts was the children’s mother could not see them and the children could not see their mother.

Their father carried on with his self absorbed life as he knows it. He simply left these children alone in the total care of whomever. Nannies came and went as if tourists.

My children’s voices and movements woke me up in the morning and my heart felt as if in a compressor. I heard them crying and screaming. I heard people mocking and yelling at my children. It made me feel like climbing the walls, wondering if my children got hurt or needed something. It compared to continual emotional torture. To prevent myself from loosing my mind I started using earplugs to minimised the majority of my children’s noise.

The children again walked to school with the “foot bus” in the mornings as they used to. This father was not at all visible on his bicycle, nor “exercising” or running with the children to school in the manner he did in the school period after I had gone to the police.

Around 19 February 2013 13h32 I heard my eldest child (5 years old) screaming through my earplugs. This was abnormal for him. Outside a nanny was pulling him by his arm onto the sidewalk and told him to walk. Through his crying he said she should walk with him. She replied no and said, “Walk.” Still crying he started to walk away alone down the sidewalk. This father stood outside the garage during all this. He did and said nothing, allowing the nanny to treat the child like this. When the child had started walking he went and stood next to the nanny on the sidewalk. The child stopped walking, and just stood crying on the sidewalk. This father then walked to the child and they walked further from there.

That evening around 19H00 my second child cried outside the house. I looked out of the bathroom window and saw the child wearing a pair of shorts, holding a trolley suitcase. It was dark outside and −3 degrees. I watched as my child started walking away from the
house, in the middle of the street, pulling the suitcase behind him. This father stood watching him and made no effort to fetch the child or prevent him from going into the street. The child walked about 100 meters. I waited for this “nice” father to care and to go and fetch his child, but he just stood with his arms crossed, watching him. I told this father from the window to go and fetch my child. He took out his phone, held it towards me in a position for pictures and video making. His four year old child, that he apparently in a court room cared for, who now walked in a pair of shorts in −3 degrees in the middle of a dark street, was of less importance than having a picture or video of me. This, while this respected country’s court prevented me from action in protecting and caring for my child. I fumed with anger. I started yelling at this husband, “You can take all the pictures you want. Go and fetch my child!” He continued taking pictures. I then told him I am going to phone the police, if he does not fetch my child. This father hates witnesses and too many questions in dubious situations, but witnesses while he was being a “nice” father or pretended to be a victim were perfect for his image. He stopped taking pictures. He turned and walked towards the child, who was busy walking back. They walked back into the house.

I phoned my advocate and told him this had to be on paper in court. In court this father’s advocate elaborately talked of how well the children are doing. How well they have adapted without their “lost touch with reality” mother. How well the children are progressing in school. The children are fine, she said. This did not look or sound “fine” to me and the court needed to hear of this.

My advocate responded in writing a letter to this father’s advocate with the detail of the incidents. This meant his reasoning involved this father’s advocate was going to place these incidents before the court. Neither he nor this husband’s advocate gave this to the court. My advocate proceeded in telling me that his letter is highly confidential and I am not allowed to use it.

His advice, of him not wanting me in this appeal court, was inaccurate as well. This judge wanted to see if I am a “mentally unstable” person. She ordered another social service report to be done. From my experience, this report did not include a professional evaluation to hear small helpless children in a stressful situation. Did it even include having a conversation with them? No, it consisted of: This adult said this. This adult said that. These adults said this. And writing whatever is said down as 100% truth, but with no proof attached. This highly confidential, highly regarded gossip column is then used by a court judge to base life impacting decisions of people on.

Also included in this order, finally, after a year of being claimed mentally unstable on rumours, a judge ordered a psychiatric evaluation of me. Commenting on the evaluations I already did as, “Several sessions of evaluation are not enough.” My voluntary psychiatric evaluations with certificates totalled five hours stretching over a period of nine months with six hours psychometric evaluation tests. Off the record consultations were around seven hours with psychiatrists and psychologists.

Another court sitting was scheduled for about two months later while waiting for this new psychiatric report.

The court order gave no information on the arrangements of my mental evaluation, save to say by whom this evaluation would be done and that I need to pay half of this evaluation. My advocate did not have any further information either. I contacted this psychiatrist’s office and made an appointment with a lady who spoke English well. She did not know of the court order. The court order stated that several sessions of evaluation were not enough, which to me meant, I will have to do more sessions than had been done with the earlier evaluations. One needed at least two months for this, so I made an appointment for as soon as possible.

I phoned again to this psychiatrist’s office two days before the appointment and, speaking to a different secretary, asked the bank account details to pay the court ordered amount for this evaluation. I stated in this conversation who I am and the date of my appointment.
On the day of the appointment this psychiatrist started asking me questions. The manner in which he spoke made me realise he is unaware that I am supposed to be evaluated on a court order. I told him. He replied that I do not have an appointment for that day. He phoned his secretary to ask her and she said she replied that she had never spoken to me before. Every time phoning his office I confirmed his name with the person. But, according to him, his secretary is convinced she had never spoken to me. While giving him the transfer slip, I told him in that case his money was payed into someone else’s bank account. He took this slip and again phoned his secretary. The account on the deposit slip was his. She then remembered having spoken to me and also that she had, the day before, mailed a letter to me with an appointment date for the evaluation. This was when I found out this evaluation will only be two hours on one day. Not at all the impression in the court order of “several sessions are not enough”.

I went to his office for this evaluation appointment made for 13h00. The same secretary that said she had never before spoken to me, now told me through the intercom that they are closed for the afternoon. I told her I have an appointment. She replied, “We are closed. There are no appointments.” I repeated I have an appointment and she again repeated there are no appointments. I told her I have a letter stating an appointment at this office, at this time and date with this doctor. This resulted in her opening the door. What would have happened if said in court I did not keep to my evaluation appointment?

This psychiatrist started this evaluation session by asking me why the court had decided to take my children away. I was depicted in every court document as the worst possible mother on the face of this planet. I recited these accusations adding that a hamster was at the police station and that the claim is it ran around the whole station with my permission. I told him what my children had told me of their molestation and he replied, “We will have to see if you have obsessive compulsive disorder.” I asked him what is obsessive compulsive disorder. I have heard of it about people repeatedly cleaning. Did it apply to not liking what your children are telling you? That they are being abused not only by the father, but apparently also by other people in a “zoo”? And seeking help one needed to speak up.

The psychiatrist further said he will probably need to do more tests for obsessive compulsive disorder and will contact me for that. So on that note, making sure to give him my contact number, I left.

The psychologist who did the psychometric tests in my native country advice me not be obsessive about my children’s abuse.

A young man, aged mid to late twenties, informing me he had no official qualifications in psychology, was appointed to do the newly ordered social service report. Considering the intricacy of this situation, I found this ridiculous, to say the least.

During the meeting, he told me this husband had lost his job with the earlier rumours being spread of him preferring little boys. When I stopped laughing out of frustration, I asked him who had told him that. He avoided giving me an answer.

I met this husband around 18 years ago. This husband’s statement in court read his “rumour” was spread 18 years ago. Not knowing of the “rumour” at that time, but having knowledge of this husband’s work history, I can say he had his own company and got an international flying contract. That is how he left our native country and established himself in the respected country. I estimated this social service worker was around 8 years old at the time. He did not know this husband. He had no hint of proof of what he was saying. Meaning he drew conclusions based on hearsay.

Yes, once again this social service report was to be a gossip column filled with rumours, no proof attached and no in-depth investigation - this included no one speaking to my children.

This same male social service worker asked me why is it not possible for me to walk past my children without greeting them. This gave me the impression he thinks a mother acting as if
she does not know her child, is normal behaviour.

On leaving, this social service worker informed me that, after he handed his report into court, he would be off the case and would have no more dealings with it. This was interesting information to me. It meant the previous social service worker involved herself above and beyond duty. According to this husband’s testimony in our native country, she involved herself in abusive actions aimed at me and my children, after having written her report.

I sent this new social service worker an email with the information of the incident where my second child was driven over by this father. As well as a testimony of someone that spoke to this father where he said he did not interview or speak to a nanny (nanny number two I assume) before employing her. He only spoke to her mother. This social service worker was, after all, supposed to be looking into the interests and welfare of the children, not this father’s financial security.

These were documents my advocate refused to hand into court, saying that it will appear as if I am attacking this father. This father in his “nice, caring and responsible” fashion nearly killed my child and then lied about it. Nobody questioned this father on his behaviour, but I am being questioned on not wanting to ignore my own children and was found out of line for not wanting to obey abusive orders. Care was exhibited to the extent of being incapable of understanding why I did not except these orders that boiled down to me not having children any longer.

My advocate looked at this new social service report at the court chambers and said it is not good. He said I have two options. I could go along with them in saying that I am “mentally unstable” or I could fight. I had been clear from the word go with him, however, again told him I want to fight. He agreed once again, and then, after a few days, again changed his mind.

My eldest child’s birthday was coming up. He would turn 6. The smallest child’s birthday was a week after. Then he would be 3. I still had no word from this place where I was supposed to have visitation with my children and around two months had passed. I decided no one else paid attention to court orders, so why should I.

The nanny set-up was: Nanny-three (from our native country) worked on a holiday visa. Which meant she worked three months and then left three months to return again for three months. She complained about working full time and this father employed another nanny, nanny-four, so they could work shifts. But, nanny-three left for her holiday visa reasons and nanny-four wanted an increase in salary. She signed up on the basis of working shifts. This father refused and nanny four left within a month.

There were now two new nannies (nannies number five and six), with an approximately seven year old girl, the daughter of one nanny, who did not attend school at all. This father’s mother was also there.

I knew these nannies will not give the children their presents or allow me to give them their presents. They obeyed this husband. Getting the presents was the easiest. Imagine giving a present to your child as being a difficult thing to do.

When the eldest two children left for school I stuck my head out of the second story window and called to my children. The oddity of the whole situation was that I felt afraid of my children. If they rejected me it would emotionally be difficult to handle.

They did not react at all different to before. They spoke to me in the exact same fashion as always. The eldest child told me it is his birthday. I told him I know. He said he has a drawing for me. The second child also said he has a drawing for me. I told them to put it at my front door. I will get it. I noticed they used the front door when arriving back from school.

I told them I got them presents, To which the second child replied he is not allowed a present. His birthday is only later. I told him he can get a present from me. The nannies
started prodding them to walk to the bus stop and they left. Later that afternoon I placed the children’s presents on the garden bench in the drive way. The car was gone, but I did not think of this. Until the two nannies, this father’s mother, with the one nanny’s daughter and the smallest child returned.

The one nanny started taking pictures of the presents. I presume in her type of mind this had to be a lovely incriminating picture of a mother giving her children presents for their birthdays.

My smallest child stood in front of the bench, looking at the presents. He did not move. This father’s mother told him to leave them and go inside, but the child did not listen. He took the eldest child’s present. I told him from the window whose present was whose. He did not look up or reacted in a way that he recognised my voice. He last heard me six months ago. He put the present back and then reached for his, but did not pick it up. All the while this father’s mother instructed him to leave the presents and go inside. He ignored her. He took the eldest child’s present and placed it in the garage. He walked back and forth, carrying all the presents one by one into the garage.

When the eldest children returned from school, I told them their small brother had taken their presents into the house and whose present was whose. Again both nannies five and six were outside and once again filming me by my window. Both children said they had made something for me in school and I told them to put this by the front door. The eldest child did. The second child said he wanted to give me a picture. I am going to open that door, even if I ended up in jail for it. At the door stood the same child I had known. He gave me his drawing. I told him he is beautiful, that his picture is lovely and that I love him and gave him a kiss on his forehead.

Every day before this hell had started, my eldest child had brought me a picture from school. I used to put all his pictures up on the notice board. He once brought me a picture he had drawn of me, smiling, and Jesus with wings, saying Jesus makes me happy. I found this to be a very amusing statement then, but also the truth. Still today.

I had bought the children bath sponges months ago. I did not know how to give these to them. Now I had a plan. I drew comic pictures of them each in the bath with these sponges and put their initials on it. They knew their initials. I put this at the front door with a packet of strawberries.

I watched them coming back from school and as they walked up the front stairs to their front door they saw the strawberries at mine. The eldest child looked up to the window. I told him it is theirs and to take it. He took the sponges and the strawberries and the second child told him he will carry the sponges.

My children still remembered me, although I had my doubts if the smallest child remembered me. He had not seen or heard my voice since he was 2 and a half years old. I got a bit greedy and took chances of talking to the children whenever I saw them. I could take out my earplugs now. Their voices did not hurt me that much anymore if I could speak to them.

Another day, I put grapes by the front door for them and told the eldest child this when I saw them. He fetched it and the smallest child tried to take it, but the eldest child put it in a bag. I told the eldest child to remember his smallest brother, he also want. The moment I said this, my smallest child stopped, looked up and said, “Mamma?” He recognised me! I felt happy, but this made the nannies and this father’s mother jump into prodding the children to walk. I said goodbye. My baby remembered me!

I left out nectarines and prunes for them next. This was when either the nannies or this father’s mother began taking away the fruit I put out. I drew pictures for the children. This was also taken away.
One day my second child had a chocolate easter rabbit and he wanted to give this to me. I told him to eat it himself and he said no, he wants to give it to me. He put it at my front door.

Then the new defence and order of this father’s mother and his hired help (nannies) became, “Daddy does not want you on the stairs to the front door.” This was the truth, but his reason had nothing to do with their safety. There were no railings and this husband had never been bothered with providing this safety for us, irregardless of anyone’s discomfort.

This husband’s only reason now was he did not want them to pass my front door. The children came home and walked up those stairs every day, but now that their mother was giving them fruit and they were giving me their drawings, etc., he could not allow this. This father had worked very hard (lied, manipulated, indoctrinated and swindled) to get me, their mother, out of the way and attempted to manipulate them to forget about me. He misjudged the power of an emotion he did not own, had no knowledge of, could not feel and did not understand. I drew new pictures of each child and put this outside with strawberries.

The children came back from school and they were physically pushed towards the house. The second child made a little toy chicken in school. He showed me this while being pushed into the garage by the neighbour who previously curiously asked me on this husband’s comrades and if he profits from the children. The child kept on looking up and smiling at me. I told the child I love him and his chicken is beautiful.

Shortly after this a police car pulled up in front of the house. I expected this and waited for them to knock on my door. I was going to be interrogated for presents, fruit, pictures with hearts on them, chalk hearts on the sidewalk, as well as trying not to let my three boys forget that I love them. A huge “crime” in the eyes of this father, his employees and apparently authorities. This while physically and emotionally small, weak humans were being pushed around.

I got visitation rights 24 January 2013. It was now 22 March 2013 and there had been no word yet. The police officers told me I have to wait for my visitation and give the strawberries then. That they will have to write a report on my actions. That my advocate has to find out what was happening with my visitation. My advocate simply repeated this visitation organisation is a process and I have to be patient.

After the police left me they went to the neighbour who talked of pedophiles, this father’s comrades and profits from his children. When I had confronted her she told me she did not want the police at her house. Now the police were at her house and it was not I that had referred them. I wondered what she was saying to them — if she babbled about her having never said anything to me about the father’s comrades and profit. But she, or what she had said, had never been mentioned by me to the police. To me it sounded plum loony that a pensioner would know anything of a pedophile circle. I also had no proof, except for her husband, that she had said it. But she was now actively involved in making sure my children has no contact with me.

I had become too excited in speaking to my children and was risking too much for too little. Whatever I did, was exaggerated on, or my reasons were twisted and customised with their added false information and used against me.

This husband arrived home a few days after his employees (nannies) phoned the police. I heard him yell extremely loudly at someone for about 5 minutes. After his yelling both nannies five and six with the seven year old girl, who had been around for about a month and a half, were gone. My deduction from this was that these nannies might not have confirmed their police calling actions with this father. For him to be so angry there must have been some “mistake made”.

I still had great difficulty countering this husband’s false accusations and insinuations in the court documents. They emotionally influenced me. These lies, exaggerations and false impressions had literally ripped my children from my breast at a time when they are most
vulnerable and needed me the most.

God helped once again. He guided me on how to handle these reports. I read them repeatedly, until I had no feelings of fear, disgust and anger and then categorised every sentence. Things that I never thought I will be able to counter, for example, of this husband and social service worker’s lies of me keeping the children away from “their father”. I now could prove these as lies. The irony in this: This husband’s own words countered himself.

This writing had caused a problem. It occupied my time so much that things slipped. This included not taking out the mail. I received a call on 2 April 2013 around 14:23 asking me where I am. It was the place where I was to see the children. Telling the lady I am at home, she asked, “Are you not coming to see the children?” They had sent a letter a week ago, notifying me of the visitation, and I had not opened the postbox. The visitation was only for an hour. Half an hour had passed and it would take me more than half an hour to get there. The next appointed visitation was scheduled for two weeks from then. The court order that stated 4 hours per week visitation was simply changed.

I had missed the first possible chance in months to hold my children and was livid with myself. I asked them to please explain to the children that I had not received the letter, so the children would not think that I did not want to see them. There was nothing I could do about it now. Except be cross with myself for a few days and putting up reminders of when I had to take out the mail.

I saw my children on the next visit. I was petrified and excited. My eldest child laid on his stomach, playing. My second child sat next to him with his back to the door and my smallest child sat facing the door. I went and sat next to them and just said hello. The eldest two children did not respond and my heart sank. My smallest child walked up to me and stood in front of me. He started smiling and grabbed me around the neck. I held him without speaking for a while. After which he started pulling me around to show me things. My other two children were not greeting me? They had seen me in this time? I asked them if they were cross with me. They said no, and then also started talking to me and pulling me around to show me things. It took about five minutes, but it felt as if I was still with them, with not enough arms, eyes and ears to give each child who wanted attention.

On a nice, hot spring day these children were sweating from being over dressed in double layers for a winters day. I asked them if they are hot and then took off some layers. My children used to speak up or helped themselves when they felt uncomfortable. Now they were silent. They simply obeyed, as if brainless, and did what they are told to do.

While I listened, played and helped my children, my happiness in seeing them gradually changed into sadness. When I had to say goodbye, the eldest child said they want to go with me. I told him I know and it is not that I did not want them to go with me, I also want that, but this is the way things were right now and I am working to change it. My sadness changed into tremendous anger, as I walked away from them.

The next appeal court hearing on custody of the children was scheduled for about the beginning of April 2013. I went with my advocate this time. The hearing was held in a conference room with the judge, prosecutor, scribe, translator, this father, his advocate, me and my advocate all around a table.

The judge opened this hearing by asking me why I was not at the previous hearing. I looked at my advocate and thought, “Do you want to say it or should I?” This judge then angrily told me not to look at my advocate. Interesting what control does to a person. Since my advocate was not admitting his involvement in me not being in court, I did. This judge replied by threatening me with the repercussions and fines for my “actions”.

After this she asked me why I had missed my first visitation with my children. I told her I forgot to take out the mail.
She then asked me why I speak my first language with my children. I told her it is my mother language. She asked me why I don’t speak an international language with my children. I said because I speak my mother language with my children.

She then proceeded in asking me why I had taken a hamster to the police station. The transportation of hamsters appeared to be a huge obstacle for sophisticated, highly intelligent people. I replied I took the hamster with us, because my children had asked. I allowed this to help calm and comfort my children and I was only thinking of my children’s needs.

She then asked me three times consecutively how I obtained a copy of the first social service report. I told her every time it was an attachment to this father’s advocate’s reply affidavit in our native country’s court. This father’s advocate interrupted and said she is more equipped to answer this question. She proceeded in one of this respected country’s languages to paint a picture that looked different from the truth, implying my advocate in our native country stepped over the line and gave me this confidential report. When this husband’s own legal representatives, illegally in possession of this report, simply attached this highly confidential report as a normal public attachment to a court affidavit.

The judge, however, was satisfied with this husband’s advocate’s false impression and continued asking me questions on the content of this social service report. I kept on thinking why, if this report is not in question, as my advocate is advising me, is this judge asking me questions? Only my credentials are in front of this judge — is her job description to provide me with a job?

The judge questioned this father as well and he answered her by saying he first wanted to say that he had looked for help everywhere and was not helped. When the judge asked him how many nannies he had had, he only named two of the seven (at that time seven) and then started blushing and stammering, repeating one name. The judge helped him out and asked, “Are you looking for the right nanny?” “Yes,” he replied, “I am looking for the perfect nanny.” That was the end of that. No repeated questions from her.

The psychiatrist, who had done my court ordered evaluation, did not give his report to court as ordered. Nobody managed to make contact with this psychiatrist and he did not contact me for further testing either.

When this father and I touched on the subject of child molestation, this judge interrupted and changed the subject. These hearings purely concerned my mental stability. They did not involve, or touched on anything of what the children had told me. These proceedings bore no resemblance to the impressions created in our native country’s court by this father, his legal representative or the respected country’s legal representatives.

My advocate’s speech afterwards consisted of how he will take full responsibility for me not having been in court. Nothing on my three small children that needs protection and help. After this court hearing, I arranged a meeting with this advocate. He informed me the judge asked him to write a request, on my behalf. Requesting visitation with the children at home under supervision of this father and/or a nanny for two hours a week. This advocate added that I should feel happy.

This judge is capable of making orders without my involvement. No request from me is required. I also did not only want to see my children for the short time they were suggesting I make my request for. I am the children’s mother. I wanted my children whom I each had carried in my body for 9 months, with whom I had laboured in pain for hours to give life to, whom I each had nursed for years. No one else can claim this.

I again showed my advocate the documents I wanted to submit to the court. They contained proven lies of this father, his advocate and the social service worker. He replied in threatening me, saying if I continue to want to take this action he cannot be my advocate anymore and that it is not possible to counter every small lie. I asked him why he did not
want to put anything into court and he replied, “You are not supposed to have this social
service report, so I cannot comment on it.”

This advocate knew from the word go I was legally in possession of this report. His
response, when I had employed him, was that he will be my voice in court. Now he
responded in trying to silence me or else I lose his service. He proceeded by saying we both
believe in Jesus. He lost me there. Christianity did not entail keeping silent, being inactive
and attempting self preservation in the knowledge, or even suspicion, of children being
abused. Not speaking up or passivity is an action of agreement and resulted in making
yourself an accomplice to the sin/crime. I am not inclined to add certain things to my list of
sins and I am responsible for these children. This meeting took place in a restaurant, where
this advocate ate his dinner. He finished his dinner alone.

My advocates appeared to try to discourage me from speaking up, saying it is in my and my
children’s best interest to keep silent. I started getting a strong impression it was a case of
being silenced before I reach the respected country’s court room.

I gave selected documents into court. Since this judge had interrupted both me and this
father when we had touched on the subject of molestation, I left out the witness statements
on this. These judges clearly did not want to deal with that. The documents handed in
provided proof of this father, the social service worker and this father’s legal representatives’
deliberate acts of deviating from the truth.

The judge ordered another hearing date. Not based on these documents, but the
psychiatrist’s report had finally been given to court. Since I did not have legal representation,
I was allowed to read this again highly confidential document myself at the court chambers.
It was in a language I did not understand, but I declined a translator, preferring to use a
translation program.

The evaluation report stated that this psychiatrist diagnosed me with delirium psychosis. Not
obsessive compulsive disorder, which he had said, would require further testing. According
to him I had no basis to say my children are being molested and hence I have delusional
psychosis. His conclusion was based on the police report that said “no evidence” and the
social services worker’s report that claims “I have lost touch with reality”. This diagnosis he
made, he said, does not affect the rest of my functioning. The respected country’s authorities
now had their medical certificate.

Since this psychiatrist opened this “forbidden” topic in court, more documents could now be
given. The next set of documents I provided to court contained confirmation of this
husband’s “rumours” of him preferring little boys. The witness statements on the molestation
my mother witnessed, with her doctors testimony, as well as more of this father’s false
impressions and false statements he made in courts. These clearly showed this husband/
father’s brilliant talent for telling lies, manipulation, indoctrination, also his unhealthy desire
for his comfort and control at his children’s cost. Some of these statements in the documents
also pointed at unorthodox behaviour by the respected country’s authorities.

I saw a psychologist for support, the same psychologist this husband and I saw for marriage
counselling. She felt upset when hearing the diagnosis of delirium psychosis. She said the
diagnosis of delirium psychosis was not normally made without tests, as well as several
sessions. This psychiatrist that did this court evaluation was also not fluent in English. She
did tests for delusional psychosis and told me the results was within the boundaries of
normality. After seeing the testimony of my mother’s doctor, she referred me to another
psychiatrist for another evaluation. This psychiatrist responded by saying he cannot write a
report countering the court psychiatrist. He can only write a report lifting out points and
witness statements that should have been taken into consideration before making the
diagnosis.

His report accompanied me into the next court sitting. It was the same setup around the
conference table. Once again this judge started with me, wanting to know why I had declined
a translator for translation of the court psychiatrist report. I told her that I used a translation program on the computer. She turned to the scribe and told her to make sure my declination of a translator is noted. Then she wanted my opinion on the court psychiatrist’s report of me. I replied I am not an expert and cannot comment. She seemed to get agitated and repeated her question several times, with me giving the same answer, until I got cross and asked her what exactly it is that she wanted me to say. She backed off. This father’s advocate spoke for about 10 minutes. Included in her speech was how “upset and unhappy” this husband is about this psychiatrist’s diagnosis of delirium.

I am a witness to what my children had told me, which was that this father is making “food” from his penis for them, etc. This diagnosis now eliminates my witness statement.

How would this father’s actions show his unhappiness for his wife’s condition? His advocate stated in a previous hearing that this father was doing his best to support me — his “mentally unstable” wife. Then this “mentally unstable” claim was said without a medical certificate.

Would he in his supporting actions, for example, lie in a court to discredit me? Or order me out of the house at midnight? Or torture me and my children with our longing for each other? Would he withdraw himself financially from me? Would he try to evict me from the apartment he himself ordered me to go to? The worst part of his “caring and support” was of course cutting all ties between me and my children, brushing aside our feelings and needs.

As I had no advocate I was now allowed to speak — not only answer questions. I pleaded my children’s case, saying they are small and vulnerable and needed help. That there are institutions that can evaluate and monitor these children. That nothing is done on this for anyone to validly claim (as this father’s advocate and this father were doing) that these children are psychologically or physically fine. I again requested an advocate for my children and this was declined on the spot. The judge replied: My children are too small for an advocate.

The judge told me she did not want more documents from me and that she did have the email I sent to the social service worker. This would be the information of a child driven over and a witness statement that read he employed a nanny without even having spoken to her. However, this judge never questioned this husband in court on these matters or the other documents. She commented on the documents I presented as unreadable. I asked her in earnest if the print is too small (I tried combining the documents) and she did not reply.

On leaving this court, the judge asked me when I am getting a new advocate. “I am looking for the perfect advocate,” I replied. Hinting on this father’s many nannies and the claim of searching for the right nanny without interviewing, I said, “I think I will interview them first.” The judge was not amused.

Something else said during court — this father made a statement that the teachers say the children enjoyed being on his parents’ farm and how they talked about the animals, etc. This sounded as if he pleaded a completely different situation? The judge ignored him.

The holiday was almost starting. I got a suspicion that he was being prevented from taking the children to our native country, where I made the court case to have the children evaluated. If so, I doubt if it involved fear that he might kill one of the children in his negligence. Simply because the children were still in his care.

I employed a new advocate for the, so far, one year running emergency divorce procedures. This new advocate asked me if the country’s law under which I got married, had emergency divorce procedures. Nobody had any knowledge of an emergency divorce procedure under this country’s law. The difficulty is the law this father and his advocate quote in the divorce papers given to me, cannot be found in legal libraries in our native country under whose law we were married. My previous advocate sent a letter to court, asking for the correct law and months later I am still waiting for an answer.
In the meantime I saw my children from the window. Every time I greeted them a nanny pulled out a cellular phone, holding it to take photos/video of me. It was always the same cellular, irrespective of the change in nanny. This father was mostly away.

When nannies started to take away the fruit I put out for the children, I started throwing fruit and sweets out of the window for them. The eldest child drew a picture depicting this and placed it in my postbox. One nanny started running to grab what I throw down before the children could pick it up. They also instructed the children to hand it over to them or took it out of their hands. I still drew chalk hearts for them on the sidewalk. My children knew this because we used to draw with chalk on the pavement. The neighbour who asked me about this father’s comrades and if he profits from the children, washed these hearts off the sidewalk. Some of these nannies were very careless of the children’s safety. A nanny placed the smallest child (three years old) without any protection on an adult bicycle seat, telling him to hold on, while she cycled standing down the road, with him on the seat, “holding on”. She also gave this small child the foot long garden scissors to play with, while she sat staring in another direction. When this nanny ran to grab the chocolate I throw down I asked her why she allows the neighbours to give these children sweets, but took away what I gave them. She replied that the neighbours are not me. She also, in front of my children, told me that she is spending my time with my children. This same nanny took doormats and covered the hearts I drew for the children on the sidewalk. One has to wonder what drove her to this behaviour. I knew what drove me to drawing hearts on a sidewalk and giving things to my children. This nanny also took her harassment orders extremely seriously and would pull out the cellular whenever she sees me. She followed me right to my front door after I had taken out my mail. The children were not outside the house. On these occasions she held her phone in a recording position in front of her face without speaking. On my way to a neighbour I met up with them on the sidewalk and she again acted the same. In a controlled manner I pushed this recording phone down and when I turned away, she gave me a push on my back. She then went to the police, accusing me of slapping her. Also claimed at the police was that I am walking and living too loudly in the apartment.

There was no sound insolation put into the floor at the time of renovation. These people, not knowing how the house was renovated, did not seem to realise reality. If they can hear me, then I can hear them. This included them telling my children, when the children said they want to go to me, that they cannot, because their mommy is “ill”. It included this husband’s Skype conversations, on a very high volume setting. Sometimes instructing his nannies on how to deal with me and keeping the police involved, and trying to intimidate my children by asking them to choose between me and him.

This nanny’s accusation of me assaulting her, when I pushed down her recording device, gave me the opportunity at the police station to officially report this husband’s assaults on me. I gave them the medical certificate. These assaults took place at the time I approached the police to report what the children had told me. I did tell the officer at the youth protection division who took down my statement on the children’s molestation, of these assaults. He dismissed this and did not take down any information.

While I am figuratively speaking dissected for every move I made, this father and one nanny locked the smallest child alone in the house and walked with the eldest two children away from the house. In the house, this small child was screaming hysterically - I heard him through the floor and my earplugs. I ran outside and called them back, saying they have to open up for this child. This husband replied that the child wants him to lie down with him. I ignored him. Nanny seven immediately started recording me. I told her she just locked a three year old child alone inside a house and walked away. If she continues to choose to rather record me, rather than to open up this child, I am phoning the police for their abuse. She stopped recording me and went into the house.

In the meantime I had physical contact, still no telephonic contact, with my children every two weeks at this place of supervised visitation. The one hour was increased to two hours in this time. The children asked questions about the situation, but I tried staying clear of directly pointing at this father, which made answering their questions difficult. It was clear from what
they were saying that this father was still telling them I am ill and they cannot be with me.

Behaviour of the children had changed. They did not take care not to hurt someone or each other. They used to be attentive to this, but now literally walked over each other while kicking the other child on the head without apologising. They also kicked each other in a vindictive manner. The eldest child, while kicking ball with another child, all of a sudden picked up the ball, held it over his head and in an attacking manner and while grunting, stormed towards this child he was playing with as if to hit him with the ball. I told him to stop which he did. He also walked his smallest brother into the wall and carried on walking as if there had been no one in his way.

We played with water, outside the place where I visited with them, and this spilled onto the paving. The second child told me not to mess, because the man is going to be cross. I asked him which man and he pointed to a man at this visitation centre. I told this child no-one is going be cross and it is just water. This father’s obsession with messing was getting to them. That afternoon when the children were at home this child started playing with water on the paving at the back of the house. The children had the freedom in my care to do this. But this father exploded when the children now, saying he is messing.

During another visit I told my children to keep on praying to Jesus He will help. My second child told me he is not going to pray to Jesus anymore, because it does not work for him. His disappointment broke my heart and it was the first time I was mad at God for what was happening to us. I, a grown-up, knew something about life and had not led a sinless life. But my children being let down, was something different. This, to me, was not fair.

Reality is my innocent children were not the only victims of this “verbally caring, loving” world I lived in. In the worst conditions innocent, vulnerable children fed themselves whilst living on garbage dumps, or sold into terrible slavery and exposed to horrible living conditions all over the world. The people that had power to change these children’s living conditions, while sitting in their private airplanes eating gourmet food on their way to another six star hotel or their private mansions, were not exactly jumping in and changing these children’s situations. More aptly put they created these circumstances in feeding their greed. Others only did enough not to be said to do nothing, but never touched on sorting out real reasons. They preferred shaking hands with the guilty in their creation of “nice” impressions, while lining their own pockets behind the curtain.

I knew I was being unfair directing my anger towards God. I knew it was not He that was making us suffer and causing our pain. He allowed it, for what I deduct as a test of faith and commitment to Him. He, however, is not the one feeding the root of any of these situations in which people suffered.

During a visitation the children told me they are going to the sea for the holiday. So, this father was not going to drop off the children on his parents farm in our native country.

This judge of the appeal court made a ruling. No changes were made in this current setup. No aid for my children. No support. No investigation. No protection. Nothing. The psychiatric report claiming I have delusional psychosis was accepted. My documents that I handed into court showing lies, deception and distortion of reality of this husband and Co. were given to another department for investigation.

Not that I cared if they investigated. Taking into account equality, I wondered if this father’s diary or the social service worker’s report, was independently investigated? Looking at the obvious lies that were believed without question, I would have to say the answer to that, is no.

This father drove away with the children the evening, on the same day, the children’s school closed for holidays. Five days later I heard someone in the house downstairs. It turned out to be this father. He was on his own. He once again, true to his form, dropped the children off
for their holidays.

He was at home for about a week and then left. It was not to be with his children. He left them at nanny seven’s house for six weeks.

My second child’s birthday was during this time. Taking a chance, I sent this husband an email, saying I would like to speak to my child on his birthday. This husband replied he does not have telephonic contact with his children, only contact via Skype at certain times, since the nanny damaged her phone in the washing machine. I said I understand. My phone is also out of order, for different reasons, and contact via Skype will suit me fine. He said he will arrange it. The next day he emailed, changing his story, saying he now solely wants to use telephonic contact and I should let him know when my phone is back in order for it. Also saying the children’s caretaker does not want to divulge her private information. He earlier said her phone was in the washing machine. I told him I have no problem with a conference call on Skype and since we had agreed on contact via Skype there should not be a problem now. He replied he hopes that I will show appreciation for him allowing us to speak.

The eldest two children spoke, telling me they are sitting at a table, drawing. The smallest child did not want to speak initially and the nanny, repeatedly, ordered him to speak to me. At home her behaviour involved telling the children not to greet me and, if I happened to arrive home while they are outside, trying to push them physically in the direction of the garage away from me.

I told this nanny to leave the child alone and to stop forcing him now. Her behaviour was confusing. When this child did speak, he told me he wanted a remote control car. From this I deduced that his brother must have gotten one for his birthday and he was not allowed to play with it and was cross.

The call lasted 49 minutes and was terminated by this husband. The Skype users were all this husband’s names, not a nanny’s. I suspected these Skype users are normally the manner in which he has contact with his children. I am not in the least bit interested in any of this husbands employees except for their integrity, the way in which they treat my children and their qualifications in childcare - I could not be bothered if they used any Skype user they could think of. As long as I can speak to my children.

I sent another email to this husband, saying I would appreciate more contact and of course expressed my appreciation for his permission that I was allowed to speak to my children. This husband never replied to the email. This husband enjoys power games and changes his mind and his rules concerning my contact with the children as it gratifies him and him alone.

Of course a court gave this controlling husband full abusive power. This husband also openly exploited this image of a court siding with him, implying/hinting that justice had been served and because of that he is in the right. This court did expressly use the words that this husband/father is “worthy of this court’s trust.”

Chapter 15

Another Child Welfare Organisation

Late afternoon end September 2013 the doorbell rang. It was a young lady from an independent organisation investigating welfare of children. She explained that this husband approached them saying he is concerned for the children’s emotional and physical welfare and that my living in the upstairs apartment is detrimental to the children. This husband, after his first eviction court case did not succeed, made a new case and approached this independent organisation to get support for his cause.
She explained that in their investigation into the children’s welfare she saw them every week to interact with them. She wanted to arrange a meeting with a psychologist and herself to come and see me. I welcomed this, but found it strange that this husband would involve them to such an extent with the children, taking a risk so to speak, in his aim to get me evicted.

She further explained that she struggled to reach me - that is why she came to the apartment. She also said that “nanny seven” discouraged her to do this, by saying I am not going to open the door to her. She explained that if she could not reach me she would have approached the court with only this husband’s information. This husband’s actions in approaching this organisation started to make sense in that his aim was only to get his side in court. It became more clear when I asked her the contact number this husband gave her for me. She gave a number for an old cellular contract. I had a new number for nearly a year already. This husband knew this because I had phoned the house from the new number several times and the house phone had caller ID. I contacted the cellular company to enquire about this old number and discovered that this husband cancelled the contract seven months before her visit. This meant this husband purposely gave her a cellular number he knew would result in making it difficult for her to reach me.

In the meeting with her and the psychologist I gave an overview of the situation and this husband’s behaviour. In another meeting I gave her some of the documentation that I had given to the appeal court.

This organisation started an investigation into actions that were taken and continued sessions with the children. She told me that the children are not giving any information.

This organisation did not have the same authority as the social service office, but they requested the social service to do another enquiry. The social service did have another enquiry scheduled for a year later. They pushed for this to be done earlier.

This was successful and a new social service worker, also new to their office, with more life experience visited me. She also spoke to the children, who apparently said they would like to see me more. She spent more time on the case than the previous social service workers.

Then I received a letter from a judge requesting a meeting with this husband, me and our legal representation. The social service report stated that the children’s behaviour in school had become troublesome in the past months. The eldest two children showed signs of aggression, disruptive behaviour and a lack in concentration.

The judge remarked that the previous social service report, done end April 2013, stated the children are fine, well mannered and doing well in school.

This husband replied that me living in the apartment is disrupting the children. There was a problem with his conclusion in that I had been in the apartment for a year already, not just the past few months. I told him this.

The social service worker and the organisation commented on this husband’s work program where he stayed away for weeks at a time, literally leaving the children several times for months and even a month and a half at a time in other’s care. The judge commented on this as well.

This husband and his advocate then claimed his pilot recurrent training is for a month — giving this as the reason he stayed away for six weeks.

In the real world recurrent training for a pilot is 3-5 days.

He also stated he works more during the children’s school period so he can spend time with them during holidays.

When every holiday period he had left the children, for at least a month, alone in someone
else’s care.

The judge asked him if he had control over his work schedule and he replied yes.

She also commented on the fact that there had been nine nannies to date. This husband, taking the words of the previous judge said in the appeal court, replied he was only looking for the prefect nannies and he is happy with the current nannies - nanny seven, her young son and nanny seven’s young daughter in law. These people are his “perfect” nannies. He repeatedly said to the judge there will be no new nannies and these nannies are his choice.

I told the judge that the children had been thrown around from person to person and country to country. That they are insecure and confused in an environment directly created for them by this father.

The cherry on the cake to me and for any thinking person is: The children’s behaviour indicated trauma in the time period/care of this father’s chosen “perfect” nannies. This father, stating he is not going to employ any more nannies, meant he was content and happy with this situation — to the extent that he left his children in their care for months.

Obviously this situation, where his children are struggling, suited him perfectly.

The judge said she had phoned, but there was no space in the children homes. She also said she is going to order another psychiatric evaluation of me with the same psychiatrist that did the previous court ordered psychiatric report.

This father with the children and his chosen “perfect” nannies left again for nanny seven’s home for the holidays. I doubt if this father is going to spend the holidays with them - irregardless his nice words in court.

Chapter 16

Indifference: The endangerment of children

The following year started with the arrival of numerous court documents. These were in the respected country’s language, which I did not understand, and the court did not care. But the respected country did sign an international agreement, stating they will provide such human rights services to foreigners. I assumed these documents were related to the next court hearing. Which was this husband’s emergency eviction hearing, made mid 2013, that was now being heard in court.

This father had spent half of the children’s holiday with them and then went to “work”. My children returned from holiday with nannies seven, eight and nine. I continued to greet my children from the window of the apartment.

One Sunday in February 2014 nannies eight and nine returned from church with my children and I dropped down stuffed animals for the children from the window. Nanny eight recorded me and nanny nine pushed my children into the garage. The children started crying. I had only managed to drop two stuffed toys and still had a big giraffe. While the garage was closing, I told the children I will put it by the rear door. Reaching this glass door, nanny nine opened it and stormed at me. I got a fright and threw the 80cm stuffed toy at him. He stopped and I took the toy back, asking him, “What are you doing?” He replied that I had attacked him. He walked up to me and put his hand close to my face as if to slap me, waving it saying, “You had done this.” I told him he is lying and asked him why, but he did not answer me. I placed the toy at the door and loudly told my children that I’ve put the giraffe outside their door. I turned and walked away, while nanny nine, repeatedly in a sneering manner, said, “Goodbye Ms. … Goodbye Ms. ….”
The door bell rang shortly after I had returned to the apartment. The police told me nanny nine phoned them, saying he is scared of me and that I had attacked him. I denied his claim of attack and said he is not scared of me at all. The police officer replied that I have to come to the police station during the following week.

At the station the officer said nanny nine never came to them to lay charges, so there was no complaint against me. He asked if I still want to make a statement and I said yes. I explained what had happened. Nanny nine’s behaviour, of leaving the “sanctuary” of the house and “meeting me” outside, was not the behaviour of a person that is scared of someone. The officer asked if nanny nine, obviously homosexual, is this father’s partner? If these nannies were legally registered to be on the property? I suspected they were not. But I was legally registered to be on that property.

I received the order of the eviction hearing. It stated that I have to leave the apartment. The judge included a restraining order that I am not allowed to establish myself in the surrounding area of this father’s residence and I am not allowed within a 100m from my children. The order read, “Irregardless of my financial position.” The judge that issued this order was the same judge that had, in a previous order, dramatically slandered me, while stating that this “I am called a pedophile, that prefers little boys” father/husband is “worthy of the trust of the respected country’s court”.

Financial difficulty did mean I was unable to move, unless I left the respected country for my native country. In which case I will never see or hear of my children again. It appear that some people are cold heartedly and desperately trying to ensure this.

My advocate said she was appealing the court decision and I must stay in the apartment. I followed her advice, while continuing to keep contact with my children as best as I could. When I had toys/treats, I dropped this from the window for them. The times I put it outside on the bench, the nannies took it away or told the children not to take it - that it could be poisoned. I saw her taking cookies away that was sealed in their packet. While their father’s education included telling the children that the symbol, ®, on packets, meant the food has poison in. ® Is the registered trademark symbol.

Nannies seven, eight and nine rotated on what appeared to be monthly shifts, working two on a shift at a time. That first month that the young nannies eight and nine were together on their “nanny roster”, I heard my children crying twice as much as they had been crying previously. Sometimes I could hear a child crying straight throughout what was supposed to be the child’s lunch time.

They boldly continued their horrendous behaviour, as if they had legal immunity. Recording me with their mobiles, pushing my children or blocking them with their bodies. While the children and I tried to speak, they would repeatedly and loudly say to the children “Come! Come! Come!”. Or they whispered to the children, pushing them inside. The father, who returned periodically, did not involve himself with the recording and pushing of the children. The children told me he said they will have to move to another house if they keep on talking to me. Court claimed “scared nannies” performed their abuse and harassment with either triumphant smiles or furious glares at me, depending on the children’s responses to my greetings.

Some days my children stood and spoke to me. The following day they behaved in a manner of not looking up and ignoring me as if they do not hear me greeting them. These nannies triumphantly smiled from behind their mobile phones. This lastly mentioned behaviour of the children hurt me deeply.

In April 2014 I received notice that the eviction appeal court judge requests this father’s and my presence in the appeal court. As I left the apartment that morning, I noticed the garage door is open. The neighbour, (who asked me if I “preferred women” and wanted to know if the father profits from the children), was standing by the garage. I walked onto the sidewalk and saw my smallest child with nanny nine at the entrance of the garage. My smallest child
came to me on the sidewalk and I gave him a hug. While my child and I were still greeting each other, the neighbour came to us and aggressively spoke to me in the respective country’s language. I did not understand what she was saying, but I could see my child understood her and it made him nervous and confused. Nanny nine also came to us on the sidewalk. He was behind his mobile phone, I presumed, recording me. Nanny nine repeatedly said to my smallest child, “Come, come you have to go to school.” In order to take the train I had to leave, so I said goodbye, but my child was confused and started walking with me. I told him he cannot walk with me and that he will have to go back. I hated having to do it. In my child’s mind it supported this father’s impressions - that I did not want them.

The children’s father did not honour the court with his presence as ordered. During this appeal hearing I showed the judge samples of the small toys I drop for the children from the window. I explained that, according to this father and his employees, these toys and my greeting my children were a grave danger to the children. The judge replied that I was only allowed to have contact with my children at the visitation place. My advocate explained that I live on the same property and that my children are left in the care of nannies that behave aggressively towards my children and me.

This father’s advocate replied that I had attacked this father in our native country. She now refers to when I had requested that my children be assessed for molestation in their native language. Theoretically, assessment of children for sexualisation is not a danger/or attack on an innocent man. A man guilty of molestation however, will regard this as an attack, because he would perceive it as a danger to him. Which is why I suspect this father acted in crossing into another country with our then very small, three boys - to avoid this. The judge asked if I went to our native country with the intent to go to court. I replied no. Only when the opportunity presented itself, did I approach that court to ask for professional assessment of the children.

(An interesting observation is that my children have since then not been taken to our native country.)

This father’s advocate replied that a Hague application had to be made to stop me in our native country. I could not help but laugh out loud at her. I told her she filled out a paper - meaning the Hague application -, but I never kidnapped my children. This father’s advocate then loudly and aggressively replied that I had withheld this father from his children. I said I did not do what is claimed, but that he and his nannies are not even permitting me any telephonic contact with my children. That they are physically preventing my small children from speaking to me.

This father’s advocate told the judge that - “they” - had decided no telephonic contact, because I speak to the children of sexual abuse! I asked the court if telling your small children sexual behaviour is wrong for them at their age, is not considered setting a boundary for children? No one replied. (The respected country’s social services did ironically accuse me repeatedly of not setting boundaries for my children and then connected it to mundane situations: a pet hamster that I had allowed my children to take to the police station, claiming it ran around their whole station; I allowed my children to play on a climbing frame at their school, writing it in reports as, she allows her children to play on school furniture; I allow my children to climb trees. They left out that I stand guard at the foot of the tree, with a child that could only reach the second branch.) With their false claims and dramatisation they created false impressions of me. Then added their punchline: she sets no boundaries for her children.

This father’s advocate said that, if I don’t stop greeting my children, this father will be moving the children to another house in the area. The judge asked why this husband does not rent an apartment for me. The father’s advocate replied this father’s minimal salary is preventing him! After that she repeated “minimal salary” like a mantra in her speech. They started talking of another application against me in a regional court of the respected country. The prosecutor added that the law that they had used in their eviction case was not supposed to
be used in the manner they had used it. I knew nothing of this new court case against me. But the judge and prosecutor seemed to have knowledge of this and neither appeared satisfied with this “new” case in the regional court. The father’s advocate exhibited the discomfort of a wet cat.

I checked my house mailbox when I arrived home. The new court case document was in the mailbox. My advocate explained this father could not enforce the eviction order of January 2014 because of the wording of the document. This is why he had to approach a regional court to enforce it. They had done this two months after the lodging of an appeal against the order they were trying to enforce. And about a week before the appeal hearing was scheduled in the respected county’s higher court. A friend translated this “new” law suit document for me. She was shocked by the coldness and the disrespectful word use in the document, which, she said, resembled the chasing of a dog, in her language. This husband also wanted about three times the normal rent for the apartment from me from January 2014, and if I do leave, he would like an order that I am not allowed to take anything.

The appeal court judge dismissed the restraining order and ruled that I am allowed to stay in the apartment until the divorce is finalised. He criticised the manner in which I was being treated. He also made a comment on my “mental instability”, saying I do not appear to be mentally unstable. The new court case this husband had made in the respected country’s regional court evaporated.

In the meantime this father approached a newspaper in our native country. In the article he bemoans himself as a victim. The article read: “Wife wants to take his children. That his hell started when his wife laid charges of child molestation against him; that she was in the native country for psychiatric observation; and that she should have been charged with kidnapping, - applying the Hague Convention - as he insisted, but his advocate did not agree with him.” (The oddity was: His advocate did repeatedly insist in court, that I had kidnapped my children, saying I am vindictive, while I sat in the same court without any “kidnapped” children.) This article also claimed he refused to pay his native country’s legal team, complaining to the Human Rights Commission on grounds of being misinformed by them. He also bemoans that, because of “great” lack of knowledge on the Hague Convention, he cannot bring his children to visit his parents. He does not trust the authorities of the native country in their ignorance at all, and this is unfair towards him.

This father shared neither his numerous pedophile rumours, some that he has spread of himself for 20 years, nor his own behaviour, with this newspaper reporter. This reporter made no attempt to contact me. A more truthful article would have included that there was no psychiatric court evaluation ordered, nor any psychiatric observation of me. That the reason I was not charged with kidnapping is simply because I have not kidnapped anyone. But she chose to speak only to a rumour-spreading, self-centred, cold-hearted man, who eerily claims sole right to three small boys’ lives as his, in a crazed jealous madness that included endangering their lives and nearly killing one of them. Entirely as a result of his non-comprehension of caring and lack of discretion that any reasonable person would exercise. My mother emails this father regularly, asking to speak to her grandchildren. This father ignores her. She has not seen or spoken to her grandchildren for three years. She is financially supporting me, the “kind, generous” (according to his witnesses) husband’s “mentally ill” wife. So that I can be near my children and at least see them regularly, mostly from a window. None of my legal advisors in our native country could grasp what this father’s intentions were, for approaching the media in this haphazard manner. I decided not to respond to his rubbish.

A few weeks later I got a notice in my mailbox from a police station. Dutifully a translator was arranged, a procedure omitted during several previous statements taken.

This police officer explained this father came to them three months ago to lay charges against me: for greeting my children and giving them toys at the house against a restraining order to stay 100m away from them. A week later this father’s male nanny, nanny nine, also went to the police station, laying charges: my greeting of my smallest child against a court
order. He made the claim that I had spoken to the child for 15 minutes. This, he said, resulted in the child missing a school trip. This was the morning I had to leave the house for the appeal court and had spoken to my smallest child on the sidewalk. It was definitely not even 5 minutes or I would have missed the train. Did nanny nine miss the school trip, if there was one, by his own lateness or forgetfulness, and then utilised the opportunity to blame me? He certainly did not appear in a hurry, while standing in the garage, and it also appeared he was talking to the “do you prefer women” neighbour.

Obviously neither this father, nor male nanny nine, told the police that the court order given to them was under appeal or that it had already been annulled. I showed this to the police. I added that this husband will only be happy when I am not breathing any longer. The police officers laughed as if this is a joke.

After the appeal hearing verdict, nannies seven, eight and nine stopped recording me. Their “treatment of the mentally ill” did not hold up as a valid excuse for harassment and was placing their fake “good” person images in danger. I now decided to put “things” for my children at the bottom of the building’s communal front stairs. Ironically my smallest child requested I still drop toys attached to balloons from the apartment window. Which I did on occasion to amuse him. My children still exhibited radical behavioural changes in greeting me from one day to the next.

Almost a month later on 17 June 2014 the doorbell was rung by the police, asking me if I had taken out my mail. They said a notification was sent to say I should leave the respected country. This registered letter read that I had 30 days from receiving the letter to leave the respected country or I will be deported. The respected country’s Immigration department find me unsuitable for a family residence permit.

I can almost hear their arguments now: We say she suffers from a delusional illness. She thought she was in a marriage and gave birth to three boys in our country. She is less than nothing to these children in our country. We do not consider her family. Sexual abuse, physical abuse, mental abuse, emotional abuse and even judicial abuse? No! There is no evidence of any abuse/injustice/criminality. Sexual abuse of a child can be compared to seeing a UFO. How ridiculous that a mother of children should fear for her children’s true welfare. We tell people it is an illness. Why can’t we get her to ignore her children who live on the same property as she does? Anyway, is sexual abuse dangerous for small children? And why do we need to spend our time on a proper investigation? But of course we are good people, can’t you see we work in the justice system. We do our best to safeguard children against “pet hamsters, tree climbing and a Christian upbringing!” How dare they teach their small children not to lie and steal and that sex/homosexual sex is wrong for children!

This husband’s “emergency divorce” case was still pending in the respected country’s court and the court did rule I can stay in the apartment during this procedure. I wondered how I was going to represent myself in this procedure after their deportation actions. “Complicate it for this mother”, appears to be the motto. Once again my advocate said she will appeal.

Did the police knock on my door? Yes, two cars on a Friday night around 19:00. Do you think I would have managed to reach my advocate at that time? I was unable to open the door for them and they left. They never returned.

It was now the children’s summer holiday and they again left for the nannies’ home. I was not surprised when I noticed this father alone and back in the house about a week later. Over the next two months he left twice for about a week, but returned to the house.

Previously, over the easter holiday, this father had also sent the children away with the nannies and he stayed at home alone for the whole holiday. When the nannies and the children returned to the respected country, this father was ready to take the children to school the first day. It was time for his “good, concerned father” impression.
During the summer holiday I was missing the little contact I had with my children. Bracing myself, I sent this father an email, saying I want to communicate with my children. It took him two days to agree, and then his next round of controlling and frustrating behaviour started. He said he will arrange a Skype conference call for us twice a week for 20 minutes, whilst my children are in the nannies’ home country for their holidays. He started by giving the first date for this conference call. After the children and I had spoken, I heard nothing more and had to email him again. He would give the next date for his 20min “graciously” given time my children and I can speak to each other. During this day-to-day, unplanned and no routine setup, he sent me an email at 8:00 one morning, saying I can speak to my children at 10:00. I, not connected to the internet, did not get the email. When I did go on the internet I found an email, saying he and the children waited, but did not see me online on Skype. I fumed! This man, that advertised his fake goodness, physically sat one floor underneath me in the building, but cannot walk 10 metres to my front door, right next to his, and use the intercom. Or send a message to my phone or try to phone me, saying that I should go online. He simply created bad impressions of me to my children: “Your mother is not available”. Another day I spoke for more than his 20min allowed time. He was terribly upset. He claims that every time he allowed me and my children to speak, it is 20 minutes before he has an appointment. I made him miss his appointment, having no respect for his timing.

Then this man sent the following message, and I quote him directly: I am travelling today and will see should it be possible tomorrow (Sunday) late afternoon. During the coming week I have a busy schedule and I am not sure if I will have internet coverage. Should it be possible, I will inform you.

“Should it be possible” was seven days before I and my children spoke to each other again. This holiday I could only speak to my children during a conference call under this father’s supervision. No video was allowed. The possibility of having the nanny, whom has internet and is with my children, make a Skype call, or give me a regular time with a contact number, is a simple dynamic that is inconceivable to their intellect.

The nannies seven and eight with the children returned from holiday. This father, again ready with his “good father” routine, smilingly took the children to school. He had just left his children for about two months of the holiday in the care of someone else.

I hoped to continue speaking to my children on Skype. I reasoned that the eviction appeal court hearing stopped some of their behaviour: From all of these nannies waiting on the sidewalk for the children returning from school, while one recorded me and the other prodded the children in the direction of the garage; to a nanny now waiting, out of sight, in the garage, without recording me. There was now one nanny at a time on a shift. Just maybe they realised that they need to allow me to speak to my children.

I quote this father’s email reply:

I will arrange that you can talk with the children every Monday afternoon around 12h50 till 13h10 (Twenty minutes) on a Skype conference with the following conditions: 1. You stop your daily interference from your bedroom window, upsetting the routine when the children depart for school and when they arrive back from school. 2. Stop asking the children what they want to eat and drink and what presents they want in the morning and then going out to purchase what they have requested and handing this to them when they return from school! 3. Respect the time allowed to talk with the children. After receiving your confirmation on the above I will arrange the proposed Skype weekly conference calls.

I quote my reply to him:
Your behaviour ranges from denying me and my children contact, to you recently allowing periodic telephonic contact under your control. You do not follow through and make ad hoc rules, e.g. shortening the times, ignoring arranged contact times, being vague with planning, etc.
In my experience your extreme work schedule compromises your proposal. Will you be able
to arrange time off for this conference call? Will my children and I be allowed to use video during the Skype conference call?  

The reply to your points in your email is as follows:  
1. **You stop your daily interference from your bedroom window, upsetting the routine when the children depart for school and when they arrive back from school.** 
I greet and tell my children I love them from a window. This is all I can do. For about two years this has become routine for us. Your claim of "interference and upsetting their routine" is false. The children smile, wave and sometimes try to speak **whilst** they are walking on their way to school. 
Your past false claims have involved e.g., that I follow them to school.  
I see I am used as a convenient excuse for employees when they themselves are late or forgot the children's routine. 
2. **Stop asking the children what they want to eat and drink and what presents they want in the morning and then going out to purchase what they have requested and handing this to them when they return from school!**  
I have heard some say, "Do not listen to children. Do not give children what they ask for." I however do try to listen and I try to give my children what they ask for within reasonable boundaries and my limited capabilities.  
I am incapable of doing it every day as you claim.  
3. **Respect the time allowed to talk with the children.**  
Please do not ill-use the word respect. 
The children are under the impression that their mother don't want them.  
The children are under the impression that it is "naughty" (the nannies speak English) of their mother to greet them.  
The children are told if they speak or go to their mother the police will be called.  
The children are threatened if they speak to their mother they will need to leave their house (this is the only stability they have at the moment).  
The children, when they see their mother and try to go to, or speak to her, are pushed away or are physically blocked by employed nannies, etc.  
These children saw your employees recording their mother every time she just greets them.  
A nanny took door carpets to a public sidewalk to cover/hide chalk hearts, saying heart shapes are wrong, "manipulative and disruptive".  
There is a long list of harassing, aggressive and irrational behaviour directed towards me and my children. There are clear attempts to alienate the children (that I gave birth to) from me.  
You do not indicate any interest in my opinion/preferences in your email. 
As you know, it is emotionally damaging for a child if his mother ignores his existence. Such behaviour is not an indication of love or in the interest of the psychological well-being of the child.  
This is why I ask you kindly to make another proposal in the interest of the children's welfare. 

***

I received no reply to this email and tried to phone anyway. This father said I cannot speak to my children, because I have not replied to his email. In reality I did reply and it was he that did not reply to my email. Anyway, in this husband's reality a reply without full submission to him, is no reply. Never mind trying to get his reply when sitting his ugly truth - then he ignores you. Re-sending my very long reply bore no fruit. I tried phoning again and the nanny, as usual, denied me contact.  
My advocate replied she receives no communication from the youth court on any of her requests. Not for my telephonic contact or physical contact with my children or her requests to have the children assessed professionally for sexualization.  

One Friday afternoon in December 2014, as the children were coming back from school, the eldest child's behaviour puzzled me. He crouched in behind a wall and did not want to come to the house. I saw the children the next day for our supervised visitation and asked him about his behaviour. He replied that the nannies punish them when they speak to me. The
other children reiterated this. I felt shocked and very angry. I informed the supervising
person about this conduct. She, with a big smile on her face, walked to the children and told
them, “It is between your mommy and your daddy.” I told her I want the abuse written in her
report. Only then did the smile leave her face and she spoke to the children in the respected
country’s language.
Small children receiving punishment and threats from their father and his employees for their
natural instinct to have contact with their mother can not be explained to a child as, “It is
between your mommy and your daddy”? Of course this respected country sets the scene for
this emotional abuse. As long as children don’t climb a tree - they are ecstatic.
This abuse explained why my children would speak to me one day and then the next day
walked by as if I was not at the window, greeting them. I would take a day off, not greeting
them, and then tried again. They would greet me. Only to ignore me again the next time I
greet them.

The staff at the supervisory institution continued their normal routine, not seeming to be
bothered with children being emotionally abused. I decided to get the children’s voices on
record. The next visit, by Godsend, was with only one other parent and child present, which
minimised the noise in the room. The supervisory staff of that day also did not exit their
office.

***** Transcription*****
MOTHER: Why do you not want to greet mamma?
FIRST CHILD: We can only say hello and goodbye.
MOTHER: And when are you punished?
FIRST CHILD: When we speak to you.
MOTHER: And who punishes you?
FIRST CHILD: [Inaudible] and nanny eight.
SECOND CHILD: Mamma mamma look how mine flies.
MOTHER: How do they punish you?
FIRST CHILD: They give us spankings.
MOTHER: Give what?
FIRST CHILD: They give spankings.
MOTHER: Spankings? Where? As in…
FIRST CHILD: On our bums.
MOTHER: Do they give you physical spankings? With what?
FIRST CHILD: The wooden spoon.
MOTHER: Do they give you spankings with the wooden spoon?
FIRST CHILD: [Inaudible]
THIRD CHILD: Yes and mamma I'll tell you.
MOTHER: Also [Third child]?
SECOND CHILD: Yes
THIRD CHILD: Yes mamma I'll tell you.
SECOND CHILD: And also me and also [First child].
MOTHER: When you speak to me?
SECOND CHILD: Yes and when we get toys.
MOTHER: Who gives you spankings?
FIRST CHILD: [Inaudible]
SECOND CHILD: Yes
MOTHER: Who?
FIRST CHILD: [nanny seven and nanny eight]
SECOND CHILD: Yes
MOTHER: Both of them?
FIRST CHILD: Yes
SECOND CHILD: Yes
THIRD CHILD: Yes because they want to spank us when we have spoken to you. When we
have spoken to our mamma then [nanny seven] said [inaudible] then [First child] did not get
one sweet and [Second child] also not and I also do not get sweets..
MOTHER: You don't get what?
SECOND CHILD: Sweets
MOTHER: You get a spanking and you don't get sweets.
SECOND CHILD: Yes
MOTHER: You get punished twice... when you speak to me.
SECOND CHILD: Yes
FIRST CHILD: No 3 times.
MOTHER: How 3 times?
SECOND CHILD: Mamma can I look?
FIRST CHILD: First we get a spanking and we get no sweets and we have to sit 5 minutes on the chair.
MOTHER: So you are punished 3 times. When else do you get punishment? For what else are you punished?
FIRST CHILD: When we are naughty.
MOTHER: How much punishment do you get?
FIRST CHILD: Spanking with the wooden spoon, a few days on the chair and a few days without sweets.
SECOND CHILD: And we also get the belt.
MOTHER: Who's belt?
SECOND CHILD: My daddy's belt.
MOTHER: And who uses the belt?
SECOND CHILD: Daddy.
MOTHER: So what do they tell you? That you are not allowed to speak to me or what?
FIRST CHILD: That we are not allowed to speak to you.
SECOND CHILD: Yes
THIRD CHILD: No...yes
SECOND CHILD: We are just allowed to say hello to you.
FIRST CHILD: And that is all
SECOND CHILD: Yes... that is all
SECOND CHILD: Otherwise we will live in another house.
THIRD CHILD: Yes, then you will not see us anymore. With [inaudible], with [nanny seven]. Then daddy goes to the other house. Then you are going to think, where are we?
THIRD CHILD: Then you think so...he is at the other house...go.

I had known of this father’s “moving house” threats, there are also constant threats with the “police”, to our small children. “Daddy says if we do this the police will take us away.” “Daddy says if we don’t want to visit with you the police will take us away.” “Daddy says if we touch the fireworks the police will take us away.” I knew that this father, with reason, falsely imprinted on these children that the police was a threat to them and to be feared. However, the fact that my children were physically beaten for speaking to me, their mother, was news to me.

The supervisory visitation institution had a child psychologist on staff. I approached her, asking her to speak to my children. She said she will. The manager of the institution, who was present, told me that I had “delusional disorder”, therefore they don’t see the need to speak to my children. My children had, in the presence of one of her staff members, confirmed they are punished for speaking to me. Interesting how she was using this medical label as a weapon for abusive silence, giving carte blanche to zealous abusers to do as they want with me and my children.

My smallest child was three years old when this family of nannies started their employment with this father. Five months later the social service report stated the two eldest children had now become very aggressive, disruptive and lacked concentration. This father in court, December 2013, elaborately stated that this family of nannies (nanny seven, eight and nine) is his chosen nannies and there will be no new nannies. This father’s chosen family of nannies is also hooligans like him. Their remuneration package includes abuse on small children.

I had sceptically listened to child welfare institutions telling me that they were very impressed
with nanny seven. Whilst nanny seven tried to prevent them from making contact with me at my residence! While she convinced them that nanny nine, her son, is only visiting? Nanny seven claims ignorance with regard to the children’s family history. Even after several years of "caring" for my children. Her testimony to court reiterate this deviation and I quote: I definitely see a big change when they have seen their mother, I don’t think this situation is very good for them, as me, being a stranger to the facts, it bothers and upsets me & I cannot imagine what it does to the children.

Apparently small children that has seen their mother, get beaten and punished by this feigning woman. That is what “it” does to children. Nanny seven, “a stranger to the facts”, is bothered and upset…! What exactly is bothering and upsetting her in her ignorance? O yes, she says children seeing their mother upsets her? That is why she beats them. She is not alone. It also bothers and upsets the father, who happily spreads rumours of being said to “prefer little boys”. He also gets upset that the children see their anti-pedophilia mother. The mother, whom he says, wants to ruin his "relationship with his boys" by telling them sex is wrong for them. 

This father openly approves in court, of his three little boys sexually interacting and "discovering sexual gratification together". Adding “mindful of their ages”? Judges in courts obviously support this father in this. I testify that nanny seven and her family of nannies never attempted to get any facts from me about any situation. They just abuse children.

The resultant objective with this combination of abusive behaviour is them saying: She is not interested in her children: or, her children ignore her and are not interested in having a relationship with her. They “work” towards this goal by threatening, beating and punishing my children for speaking to me. They “work” on me with harassment, recording me and calling the police when I speak to my children to deter me from speaking to them.

Because of this abuse, I had asked my children if they don’t want me to greet them from the window anymore. They replied they want me to be by the window. I said ok, but if you don’t greet me, I will understand. I told them that they can rub their hands over their heads or arms and I will know that they are greeting me.

I contacted the State social service worker number three, the last one on our case, and gave her the information on the beatings. She replied that she can do nothing, unless the judge gives her the order to speak to the children. She phoned me back later, saying she sent an email to the judge. She also found out this youth judge cancelled the request for placement of the children in protective care. The judge did not inform anyone and gave no explanation for her decision, which had taken place six months ago.

What happened about six months ago in my life…? I received a court order to be out of the respected country in 30 days or be deported.

This judge replied to the social service worker that I should arrange with the police to interview my children during our supervised visits. Odd, considering they had ample time to approach the children for an interview. But I approached the police to make arrangements for this and was not surprised to be informed that it is not possible. They took my statement and phoned the youth police. The youth police said they will refer the matter to the youth court. This youth court, from what I understood, was dead silent. Apart from cancelling protective orders on small emotionally and physically abused children.

I informed my advocate, as well as the children’s advocate of this abuse. The children’s advocate ended our meeting with, “I will speak to the children.”

For the past two years my supervised visitation with my three small children had remained two hours every two weeks. This supervisory organisation made promises, at the beginning, that this will increase. My signed contract with this supervisory institution stipulated that I will see my children every week. The issued court order stated that I can see my children four hours a week. It has been two years and none of this was put in effect. I approached the staff at the visitation institution and asked them what is going on? And what about their
promises? I refused to leave the office on their instructions for me to “rather discuss it with my advocate”, because “they are not responsible” for it. After this confrontation that I was forced into by their incompetency and lack of care, my visits with my children were increased by them to two hours per week. With them saying, “we have no idea how this oversight happened?”

It was about 6 December 2014 when the children told me of their punishment by their nannies and father. While making the recording on 13 December 2014, I found out the “punishment” for speaking to their mother is beatings and threats. It was holiday season. The children told me their father was taking them for a holiday in a hotel. This would be the first time this father took care of the children alone. Maybe the reason for him choosing a fully catered hotel package.

Holidays were the “lost touch with reality” parent’s “allowed time” to have restricted and supervised telephonic contact with her children! If the children were at their house, they say I could manipulate them!? Hence telephonic contact at their house is forbidden. Their whimsical games were driving me to insanity.

I requested contact in an email. This father gave me the telephone number of the hotel and room number. Strangely enough, there was not one condition attached? I phoned when I wanted, the children picked up the phone and we spoke with no supervision or time limitations. Why?

My children ages 7, 6 and 4 told me their father said that, before they can go and eat, a man from the hotel was going to come and look if they had made their beds properly. It was not necessary for these children to make their beds? Nobody was coming to see if they had made their beds. This father lied and used food to manipulate the children to do as he wanted. My middle child asked me if I would make my smallest child sleep on the floor for wetting his bed? I said no, I would fix his bed for him. I already knew this father and his nannies forced these small children to make their own beds for the past year and a half. The children tried explaining something else regarding their beds, to the effect that, if they wet it a second time in the night, they had to handle it themselves. This is a totally unfeeling, unsympathetic way to try to solve this psychological problem of children who are already distressed and traumatised.

This father and the children returned a week later and I greeted my children from the window. My middle child had a bloody wad of toilet paper between his top lip and his teeth. This father was doing his neighbourhood greeting and continuous smiling rounds. He smilingly prodded the child to tell the neighbours what happened to his mouth. They smiled at this child, along with this father.

I phoned the house and, low and behold, this father said I can speak to my children for 10 minutes only, and added I can call again later in the afternoon. He made sure I spoke to my children for 10 minutes and I phoned again later. To be told by this father that I never replied to his email, and if I stop greeting my children from the window, he will allow me to speak to my children. So the 10 minutes was my taste of this contact. I told him since he plays games with my and my children’s contact, I will stand at my window. His negotiation of, “do this; behave like this; then you can get this,” is a control concept I had become familiar with during my marriage to this husband.

The problem I experienced, was that he never reached the point where he is satisfied, finding the smallest thing wrong to blame with while justifying himself for never keeping his end of the bargain. It was just a manipulative, controlling game.

When I saw my children for our visit, the middle child told me his father had fallen on him. That was why his two front teeth was now loose and bleeding. They were playing with what sounded like a frisbee and the middle child was in-between this father and the frisbee this father wanted. I asked the middle child if he had been to a doctor and he said no. I notified the children’s advocate of this as well. This father, in his self-centredness, again proved to be a danger to his children, not only psychologically, but also physically. I also informed her of something else I had found upsetting. My children had told me that the nannies, at night, opens their bedroom window, while closing their bedroom door. They said they would be
very cold at night. This was understandable. It was the middle of winter and below freezing at night. The children said they could not close the window. That must be because the lock was on. I asked them if the nannies open their own window as well? They said no, that the nannies kept their bedroom window closed when it was cold. Why would nannies open a window, the size of a door, in a slanting position, in the dead of the winter, in the children’s bedroom and close their bedroom door? For air, opening the bathroom window would be more suitable in the winter. I told the children to tell their nannies this.

I noticed abnormal movements. My children would be gone for the whole weekend. I realised there must be another house nearby where they take the children. Their house with which they threatened us. I asked the children and they confirmed this. The children were scared of having to move and said that the nannies take them there, but they want to be at home. One Saturday visit, they wanted to know from me when they will be going home. I told them that they have to say what they want and to keep on saying it until they get it. This father and the nannies did not want the children visibly upset. The children had to appear “happy and normal”. If they realise the “moved” children will act out in a manner that reflects badly on them, they will not move them. This seemed to have worked for the moment. They did however only return to the house with the children around 10:30PM.

During my and my children’s visits I kept asking my children if somebody had spoken to them. They said no-one? Social service worker three suggested I tell them to speak to their teachers. I told them this and the middle child said he tells his teachers he is beaten, but they do nothing.

I asked the child psychologist at our visitation place on the status of her having said she will speak to my children. She replied she is very busy and have not found the time yet to speak to the children? I also asked the children’s advocate, who had said she will speak to them, if she had spoken to the children about being beaten for speaking to their mother. She nonchalantly replied, “No, I spoke to their father about it and he denied it.” I stared at this woman in disbelief. Does she honestly think an abuser will confess? Why has she not spoken to the small children she represents?

I was notified that our attendance is needed in the divorce court. When I got to the court there was no translator, an arrangement omitted by this father’s advocate. The judge said the court would need to be postponed. But this father’s advocate proceeded by saying to me if I agree to admit to being at fault in the marriage, then this father will admit fault and the divorce can be settled quickly. Did they not, about 3 years ago, file for, and demanded an “emergency” divorce, whilst orchestrating an immediate separation, (without following proper marital law procedures). Conjuring-up lies of me being “the terrible dangerous, deranged, out of control and unstable one at fault”? So what has changed that they now want an agreement? Did their torture not manage to “create” this person they had falsely described? I declined their proposal.

At the next court sitting in the divorce, with a translator present, the judge started by saying three sentences: I am putting the divorce through. There are no assets to divide. I am happy with the children in the father’s custody. My advocate replied that the children’s advocate is not happy with the father’s custody. The judge replied he did not know the children had an advocate. He did not know of the Youth Court file for the children and did not have it!? He said he will have another hearing with the children’s advocate present and acquaint himself with the youth court file. The judge again talked of no assets that need dividing, and the scribe wrote a hand-written contract for me to sign. My advocate agreed. This father’s advocate, of course, was in agreement. I disagreed. During our marriage this father’s 1960’s dilapidated house was now practically new and modern - with an extension of 90 square metres. This is not a natural increase in assets. Then this father’s advocate said, because of our marriage contract, no assets need to be divided. But, our marriage contract clearly stipulates we were married with the net dividend of assets accrued during marriage? Only then did the judge state he will appoint a notary. This hand-written contract was changed to that effect and I agreed to sign.

The judge then asked if he has it correctly that this husband wants to admit to treating me badly during the last month of our marriage? This husband evidently had no problems with
causing severe worry, friction, difficulty, hardship, trauma, stress and suffering to my children and me. He openly lied in every court to only serve himself. But his lying and other bad behaviour did not have its origin in a court room. It is in him and I had lived with it and now my children are living with it. What can possibly be so difficult, that a judge, who is learned, can’t grasp that to behave to the extent that this father/husband behaves, one has to have a severe problem? And that such a severe abusive problem is not only present for a month.

The next hearing was in a public court room in the respected country’s language and with no translator. The children’s advocate requested the judge that everyone of the family be assessed. The judge said the children are smiling, so there is nothing wrong. That some have reported problems with the children, but it *might* be as a result of me. Their general cold hearted lack of care in decision making, without evidence, astonished me. It was clear they wanted to finalise the divorce as soon as possible, not bothering with the safety and welfare of children.

When I saw the children I now asked them about the behaviour of their caretakers. I was shocked, but not surprised. They said that when they cried, they got spanked. If one child hurt another child, this father would spank all three children: the child that is crying because he got hurt, the child that did the hurting, and the child that was not involved and had done nothing wrong. The same happened with their toys. If one did “wrong” with a toy, all of them were punished. My middle child explained how nanny seven forced them to finish eating a homemade porridge. How he and his younger brother got nauseous. He threw up eventually and was ordered to clean it as well. My smallest child says his father hits him hard on his head if he speaks while his father is sleeping. My middle child left the house very angry one morning, saying his father had kicked him. I told him from the window to tell the teacher.

A friend informed me this father told an English speaking parent at the children’s school that his wife is mentally unstable, violent and dangerous. This parent was shocked at his behaviour.

No one was ordered to follow up on my children, no one showed any interested in listening to them or me or to help us. Slowly I got increasingly fed-up with these people.

Regarding the harassment and torture I was enduring, the lies and slander - I was told by my advocate that I need to wait. Does waiting mean it is not on record? Isn’t that a form of silence?

I made an appointment at a police station for a translator, saying I want to lay charges of harassment. I used this father’s and his nannies’ preferred police station, although it is not the nearest police station. The chief himself took the time to meet with me and told me that the prosecutors will only decide that it was mutual harassment. I told him that they are happy to take statements from this father and his nannies of me leaving strawberries for my children by my front door or me greeting my children where we live. They can now take my statement on how I am constantly recorded. In this husband’s first court documents he admitted to recording me, taking pictures of me and invading my privacy. His nannies three, five, seven, eight and nine, taking turns, recorded me for 2 years, every day, every time I greeted my children. I made sure I got everyone of them and the process on record. The police wanted to know why I don’t use my advocate instead. I said for some reason she keeps telling me to wait. They agreed that I should have listened to my advocate and then they asked me why I waited 2 years before laying charges? They reluctantly and with great difficulty took my statement. Debating if this father’s numerous acknowledgements in his court documents, of how he repeatedly recorded and photographed me, my belongings and my surroundings, were evidence of harassment? To which they decided it is not and not worth adding to my testimony, since his admission is not evidence? On my part I just refused to sign the statement if it did not include this husband’s harassment. They added it. The chief alone accompanied me out of the station, telling me that he hopes to never see me again at this police station. I laughed and told him that the majority of times I had been at his station, was in response to that father’s and his nannies’ “charges” against me.
I also approached the inspection division of the police. Explaining that I want the list of their procedures to be followed in child molestation investigations, wanting to compare it with the treatment my children received in their investigation. Treatment of refusing to interview a child, who, I had reported to them, had told me he was sodomised. A prominent doctor refusing to medically examine this same child for anal scarring. No child psychologist assessed my small children for sexualisation. These police did not give their list to me. They made contact with the police officer who initially took my statement regarding the children’s sexual abuse. According to them he replied that he had done everything to secure my children’s safety. They were satisfied with that.

I rather wished I was delusional about my children being molested and kept quarterly appointments with a psychiatrist to find clarity. He related how he contacted the psychiatrist, who had diagnosed me with delusional disorder, a man whom he sometimes work with in the small respected country. He said he asked him to change his diagnosis, but this man did not want to. He appeared to be very concerned with stepping on someone’s toes and did not officially take a stand.

I got the impression he was uncomfortable over my mother’s house doctor’s testimony on how my mother had discussed with her, at the time, what she had seen this father do to our eldest child. This psychiatrist would tell me it does not matter that I see my children for just 2 hours in two weeks. “It is quantity that counts, not quality”, he would say. He asked for an example of what I and my children did during our visits. We did many things, but I gave the example of playing cards. He concluded that one had to be very close to someone to play cards with them!? He also continually said we'll wait until the children can speak? He spoke of an “elephant gun” that need to be used at the right time? I had enough. I asked him if he understood me if I say he kept painting a silver lining around the clouds. He nonchalantly replied, “Yes, calling shit chocolate.” After three years I was eventually unable to afford his “chocolate” treatment and cowardly behaviour for various reasons.

I received the divorce order. It stated that none of his “friends”/witness statements made mention of me. That this husband had not given any evidence that I was at fault in the marriage. It took them four years and having to read about 50 pages of this husband, to reach that conclusion.

So we were divorced on equal grounds? It was noted that Immigration refuse to give me a permit to stay in the respected country and without this it is virtually impossible to obtain an income. But, it was believed that this contract chief pilot on an international corporate private aircraft earns $3500 per month, being unable to support his wife financially. A minuscule alimony was ordered. All this husband’s other monetary claims, which included compensation from me for his “emotional suffering”, were dismissed. However the divorce court gave full custody to this father and ordained that I can see my children at the house for “1-2 hours per week” under the supervision of “whomever this father decides” and at “whichever times suit this father”. I asked my advocate to appeal, asking for independent supervision. Any normal person can see that my meagre visitation with my children was now being dragged into a frustrative conflict situation. This father had issues just allowing me to greet my children or allowing us to speak by phone. How is giving such a hooligan full abusive control, going to ensure fairness and peace?

The court procedure used in this divorce, is applicable when one of the parties was at fault for breaking-up the marriage. Having no evidence on me, they still applied this restrictive procedure. I had 40 days after the court order in which to appeal, otherwise it was excepted that I agreed with this order. No such divorce procedure existed under our native country’s marital laws. Even the “marital separation procedure”, which entailed, “get out of the house, you have no rights to your children”, resembled nothing of our native country’s laws, which is applicable to this marriage.

On top of that, no-one obeyed this divorce court order. This father refused to make any arrangements for my and my children’s visitation, which was totally handed to him. He and his employees abuse us relentlessly to create their ludicrous impressions with my children.
Which was that they are not allowed to speak to me at the house. With this order he was placed in a position where he had to arrange our visitation at the house. It was completely against his game plan and he ignored it.

But, the place where I visit my children under supervision also disregarded this order, saying that the order is from a “lower court”. They demanded that I still see my children at their institution. If I wanted to visit with my children, I was left with no other choice. It was the children’s 2015 summer holiday. Although I still visited with my children at the supervisory institution, the children were not staying at the house. They were promptly taken to the nannies’ new residence in the respected country. This father was on one of his long “work” trips.

During a visit with my children the eldest two were arguing and started kicking each other in the groin. I asked them who had taught them to do that. They said nanny seven. She kicks them like that when they are “naughty”. I informed the supervisory staff and she spoke to the children, who then relayed the same abuse to her. Nanny seven walked around with a big smile, spoke in a sweet high “ooh aah” voice and made gentle gestures towards the children - when in public. I heard these nannies screaming horribly at these small children when in the house.

Going to the police with child abuse had proven to be a futile procedure. So I again approached the management and staff child psychologist at the supervision institution. Asking them, again, to please speak to my children and to ask them how they are treated. The manager of the institution replied that she had been told by the staff member, of the children being kicked. Again she said, “You suffer from ‘delusional disorder’, so we don’t need to speak to your children.” The psychologist at the institution kept on promising for months that she will make time in her “very busy schedule” to speak to the children, who, she has heard, are being abused. She replied that this father is not making the children available for her to speak to them. She also added that since he has custody he can do what he wants.

This father returned from wherever he was and the children returned home from the nannies’ rented residence in the respected country. After a few days he packed the car. The children had told me they were going to the family of nannies’ residence by the coast, on the same continent as the respected country. They left and this father came back to the house within three hours. Without the children and without the packed car. It was the allowed time for me to speak to my children over a Skype conference call - without video. My middle child also had his birthday in this holiday. I sent an email to this father who sat one floor down in this building. It took two emails and 6 days before he replied. Then it started again - false excuses of why I cannot speak to my children with “will see”, “might”, etc. The man, who only allowed Skype conference calls without video, was also back with his procedure.

This father stayed at home for about one month, having left one week in-between. Only then did he go to the children, who was still with his employed nannies. However, being there physically, he did not make a normal direct Skype call for the children’s and my communication. He still made his Skype conference call, without video. From the nannies’ home he took the children to visit a friend of his in another country. There, this father allowed one direct Skype call, with video, for me and my children. I suspect to create false impressions for his friend’s benefit. “Look I am a kind person, allowing her to speak to her children on Skype with video!”

I received a mail notification for a meeting with a police officer of the Youth police in regard to the child abuse case I logged seven months before. The police officer wanted to know if my children had relayed further abuse - I told her of them also being kicked by the father’s employees. My youngest child had told me his father had put his foot on his mouth. Those were his words. I could get no clearer description from the child. The second child had told me one morning when the children left the house for school that his father had kicked him.
The police officer seemed to concentrate more on this father than on the nannies. My statement included all of them. This police officer later told me that she cannot locate the nannies, that they are not registered in the respected country, and hence she could not interview the accused. I wondered if the nannies’ employer could locate them? One nanny lived permanently at the house? The other nanny lived in a rented house in their country, and drove a car registered in the respected country?

I asked my children if anyone had spoken to them and they said yes, but they did not speak. My mouth fell open in shock and I asked why? They answered, “We are not allowed to speak to the police or we will go and live in another house”. I asked them if they at least told the police that. They replied no. I had repeatedly told them to just speak the truth.

I realised that I cannot help them if they don’t speak about what happens to them, at the police. Nobody can help them if they don’t speak! This father knew this. Previously, while in our native country, this father did not feel he had enough control over the children. That is why he moved them out of reach of the court and police jurisdiction. After several years he now felt he had enough control over them. Now he creates insecurities and threatens them into silence. Stockholm syndrome/traumatic bonding is now also in the mix. He knows, with his previous 4 year police force work experience, that without their voices, the prosecutor’s office will simply say no evidence. No investigation will be made to ensure vulnerable children are safe. Proactive prevention for the crime of child abuse is non-existent. The institutions do measure a child’s safety by the neatness of the child’s hair and saying: This child is not being abused. Lady Justice’s system is so far behind in these crimes against children, they can be said to be aiding and abetting in reckless negligence - making life very easy for child abusers. Who would like to thank them?

I was contacted by my native country’s Embassy. This father had paid them a visit in his process of applying for a passport for one of the children. He also wanted a meeting with the ambassador. Our native country’s regulations stated that my signature is required on the child’s passport application form. This father promised them that he will return the documents with my signature. I received no request for my signature and he did not return to the embassy with the documents. But…two children had already received new passports. The embassy was alarmed. It turned out this father only used the Embassy as a source for documentation. He then sent the incomplete documentation to a contact of his, at our native country’s Home Affairs Office, who processed the applications for him, without my signature. A lot of trouble to ensure I am cut out. I already became aware of his behaviour, after investigating his statement of October 2012 to our native country’s courts. In which he claimed to have no passport and no residence permit for our eldest child. (He had two valid passports for the eldest child.) While he claimed he was forced, (by his conjured-up situation), into avoiding authorities’ jurisdiction? When he took the children and drove with the full knowledge and intent of crossing into another country.

Our native country’s Embassy frowned on my and my children’s abnormal living environment in the respected country. My lack of permit for stay in the respected country was a concern to them and they ventured into resolving this. I still had no residence permit for the respected country. The divorce court was fully aware of this situation. This residence permit situation had resulted - to a large degree - in my virtual captivity in the respected country. I continued to receive letters, saying I am illegally in their territory. At the beginning of this ordeal, this father had bought me a flight ticket out of the respected country. Yes, I catch the, not so subtle “hints”, for me to abandon my children on abusers’ command!

I went to see the respected country’s organisation for children’s rights. They informed me they believe in equality - if you don’t get an income you do not get custody of your children. I asked her if she was telling me that no housewife in the respected country is allowed her children upon divorce? I received no answer and the other woman in the meeting left the room. I was also informed they can do nothing for my children while the “case” is in court. This was the response from several other humanitarian [help] organisations. I wondered how long my children’s case will be in the respected country’s “silent” Youth court?
I decided to make another appointment with the police officer handling the current abuse case of my children. I attempted to explain to her that my children had not been treated in a manner that safeguarded them. She could not understand me adequately and called in another officer, who was more fluent in English. He proceeded in telling me that if my children were not evaluated in the molestation investigation, then the investigation was not done properly. I explained that that is my complaint. He replied the children were evaluated by a psychologist or else the prosecutor’s office would have raised alarm. I laughed at his naivety, saying his General Advocate of his respected country called me a “witch and satanist” with no proof. Why is he slandering me…? - when I am saying they had neglected and endangered my children! I showed him documentation, proving my claim of the children not having been assessed - documents confirming my children were only able to efficiently speak in our native language. I slowly explained to him that ideally one wants the psychologist who evaluates the child, to be able to communicate with the child. I then asked him how many psychologists there are in the respected country that speak my children’s native language? The officer’s mouth fell open. I am guessing because there is not even a possibility of an excuse of one. I was advised to rather write a letter to the Youth Court judge.

I found out that my eldest child had failed his school year. I could do nothing for him. The child also complained that he felt cold, walking to school. I had noticed the children’s jackets, and had wondered if they were water/wind proof and sufficiently warm for the winter. These children walked about 2km to and from school, everyday, in a country with an average of 17 days a month rain, snow and hail in the winter. I bought the children appropriate jackets. Two days later, their father came back from his four week work trip. He walked the children back from school. Both the smaller children’s jacket zips were undone and they were trying to keep their jackets closed with their hands in the cold wind. Shortly after I heard the garage door opening and caught a glimpse of this father with the two eldest children jogging down the road. He was taking a road that would take a 3 km roundabout to get home. Both children, now ages 7 and 8, were dressed extremely light for the 5 degree drizzle weather. The eldest child was dressed in only a pair of shorts. I only managed to see the middle child when they returned. He was white and had a shocked look on his face. My poor confused children! So much for getting warm jackets to keep them comfortable.

Maybe there is some law, made by abusers, where their victims need to be kept uncomfortable, needy and confused in the abuser’s instigated situation. Manipulating the victim into feeling they have to make an attempt, in their discomfort and fear of punishment, to get the abuser’s approval?

The very next day this father left again. His movements had been abnormal, even for his pilot profession. Since the summer holidays, he was away for about 3-4 weeks returning for 2-7 days. The eldest and youngest children were wearing their old jackets again. The second child wore his new jacket. Nanny seven was screaming at him, “It is raining!” He ignored her. It was not raining and that jacket was waterproof? Only my God could keep my children sane and normal in all this.

With our next visit I asked the children what was going on. They said they are not allowed to wear their new jackets from me, because they will get dirty. The second child said he ignored them and put on his new jacket. I asked him if they punished him and he said no. I then told the children that they each have two jackets. That they can wear whichever jacket whenever they wanted. The eldest two children, from then on, permanently wore their new jackets and the youngest rotated his jackets.

The Christmas school holidays were approaching. I sent an email to this father for my “holidays only” Skype contact with my children. His no information reply was: “The children and myself will be going away this coming week till after X-mass. I will contact you by e-mail when I know our programme to arrange for you to talk with the children by Skype.” And his games began. Six days and two emails later I got to speak with my children. His
“program” involved visiting a friend of his in the neighbouring country for the holiday period, and keeping the children up late at night with “parties”. The youngest two children got severe flu. Again, only because he was with his friend and needed to create a certain impression, I was allowed normal Skype contact with video. I managed to squeeze out another 2 phone calls, having the phone put down on me in the process of asking when I can speak to my children again. Then I received no reply to my email contact requests. He was gone, with the children, for a total of two weeks.

A few days after having returned, he put the 5 year old child on a bicycle suitable for a 10 year old. He cycled down the street, with the child behind him, wanting to keep up, struggling while swerving, and without safety gear. I knew that child was incapable of doing what his father was expecting of him and that he was endangering this child! I stopped looking, but heard them return shortly after. Their next cycling trip I saw this child, again severely unstable, promptly fall over on the sidewalk. This father placed the child back up and swiftly cycled down the street, but this time this 5 year old child cycled only on the sidewalk, not in the street. Again they returned shortly after leaving. He had done the exact same with our two eldest children, giving them bicycles where their feet cannot reach the ground, and then instigated a cycling trip down the street, with him cycling in the front.

I continued to send him emails requesting telephonic contact with my children. The emails went unanswered. I phoned the house. The nanny answered and when I asked to speak to my children, she put down the phone - as is her usual behaviour. Keep in mind this woman is supposedly also a mother. After that, when I rang again, she did not answer the phone anymore, but I could hear it ringing downstairs. After that, I could not hear the phone ringing either.

I received a letter from the respected country’s prosecutor’s office involving the complaint I lodged for the abuse of my children by the father and his nannies. The letter read that no child abuse could be established against the children’s father. No mention is made of the nannies against whom I also made a complaint of child abuse.

No proof of child abuse, but I am listening to my children relaying incidents of physical child abuse to me. The eldest child told me how nanny seven has kicked him. My second child told of how his father slapped only him hard on the head, while blaming them all for closing a door? The child spoke of his father being angry frequently with lengthy scolding periods, pointing out “problems”. The children indicated to me that the nannies and their father had stopped beating and threatening them for speaking to me. This was the change in behaviour I expected from these abusers. It was similar to their behavioural change with their recording harassment and police calling on me after the eviction appeal hearing. They abuse only as long as they think they can get away with it.

I had bought the children camera’s a few years back and the smallest (5 year old) child complained that his camera is broken. He put it by my front door. I found only the batteries are flat. I connected it to my computer to look at the pictures he had taken and was shocked to find pictures of both the eldest two children 8 and 7 naked, posing for pictures with burns in the air and legs open. The pictures were taken in this father’s bedroom downstairs. During a visit I asked the children if anyone has ever taken pictures of them naked. They told me no-one has ever taken a picture of them naked. How odd then that I had these pictures of the eldest two naked and clearly posing in their father’s bedroom? I did not tell them I saw these pictures of them. I explained that there are grown people that take pictures of naked small children and then sell the pictures they had taken to other filthy people. Without saying anything, the eldest child stood up and walked to a different section of the room.
Part 3

Addition 1

Behaviour of police

This father, in the court in our native country, makes the following statement on the respected country’s authority’s investigations. I quote: 
Pursuant to the wife’s baseless accusations of molestation, the authorities in the respected country instituted criminal investigations against me, which investigations exonerated me.
The police in the respected country have investigated the allegations thoroughly. They found no evidence of me molesting my children or perpetrating the horrific acts, as alleged by the wife. There is no such evidence because it simply did not happen.

This father says he has been freed from blame by the authorities of the respected country’s investigations that he claims were thoroughly done. Really!?

During the police interview only one child, a shy child who just turned 5 years of age, was interviewed in a conference room. The police refused my request for them to also interview the other child, then age 3 and a half. My complaint to them included that this 3-year old child had spoken of being sodomised.

My children were not evaluated for sexualization by a professional psychologist. Many claims have been made by this father and his advocates, that these children had been evaluated. These claims were especially said in the native country’s Court. These claims were misleading and false: My children were only able to effectively communicate in their native country’s language. The respected country has no psychologists that could communicate with my children.

Only two of my children were glanced at by a doctor. The doctor refused to look at the second child, as the child got upset. This was also the child that reported penetration. This doctor’s excuse is not a valid reason for aborting a medical examination. The examination that took place also comprised of simply looking at their bodies and private parts without any lighting or detailed search for scarring due to laceration etc. No further tests were done for STD’s. This was also done outside the scope of a police investigation.

The police youth protection needed prodding with: Midday 30 March 2012 I felt driven to visit the nearest police station after being told over the phone by the police youth protection division that I need not come to them with a complaint involving child molestation. The nearest open police station officer replied they cannot help me and they phoned their youth protection division saying that I am at their station. Only then was I told I can go to them. At this youth protection division I was not understood due to language and told to return on Monday 2 April 2012 so they can organise for a translator. On the Monday my statement was taken, I took the children’s push-car and jackets with us to be examined after doing research on the internet for information. These were taken away by the police and brought back later. For this act I am also criticised.

They interviewed the accused a week and a half after I had made my statement. This came about after I phoned this division, wondering what was going on. The police replied they cannot reach this husband, that I should phone them and hand the phone to him. About two hours after this telephonic conversation with the police, I told this father that the police say
they are struggling to get in touch with him. He replied, “I have had no messages that they want to interview me, but I have just made an appointment on my own to go and see them.” Then he was interviewed. How did this husband know to phone the police? Someone later informed me that this husband had tapped the phones, so he was listening in on all my conversations.

The above totals the actions of the police youth protection division.

An invitation to investigate the house was refused. They refused to take a statement about the suspected pedophile circle the children were referring to as the “zoo”. Bottom line is they refused to investigate this. I was told to investigate myself and to follow this husband around.

Standard investigative procedures in cases of child molestation were not followed:

Normally psychiatric evaluations are done on both the parents. This procedure was not followed. No further investigation were done, for example, examining this husband’s computers.

What the respected country’s police youth protection division did, is not a thorough investigation resembling protection of vulnerable minor children.

Even if this father managed to manipulate people trained in criminology and convinced them that his wife is “mentally unstable”, would this explain to them the following facts of which they were informed.

- Rumours of this father preferring little boys, spread by previous work colleagues, according to this father.
- The mother-in-law witnessing this father’s inappropriately touching of the sleeping eldest child February 2009.
- The mother who is testifying her children told her their father makes “food” from his penis for their bums and mouths.

But, it prodded no further investigations. Not even a phone call to my mother to enquire about the incident in February 2009.

The report from the social service worker in her report explains which details were concentrated on by the Police Youth Protection division of the respected country. I quote her: During the hearing the minor was nibbling the nails on his feet. The mother could not set boundaries for her children. This is why she had brought the children’s hamster to the police station and had let this animal run around the offices at the police force.

Let’s look at the moral and physical dangers involved, as well as the criminal laws that were broken.

Nibbling nails: The danger involved with nibbling toes for the five year old child would be germs. I would say no moral dangers, also no criminal laws against nibbling toes anywhere. I would have appreciated it if she had told him of his feet being dirty and not to do this. This hearing of the child took place without me present.

Hamster at their offices: I allowed the children to take their hamster to calm and comfort them. An exaggerated statement is made that the hamster ran around their police offices. These police were shocked at this “scene”. The moral danger to children: None. Physical danger to children: None. Criminal offence: None.

I was asked where this hamster is. The hamster was with the eldest child. Only as a result of this social service report statement, I occupied myself counting the offices to be “run around in” and found around 20 in that section of the building. Unless you limit the area of movement for the rodent, finding a running/lost rodent quickly, is slim to none. But no police
officer occupied themselves in an investigation for a missing rodent, because it was not missing and went home with us.

Why was the focus shifted to hamsters, nail nibbling and impressions of me not setting boundaries?

Based on the above actions of the police youth protection division the following was decided, I quote this father in his court statement in our native country:

*The fact remains no criminal prosecution has been instituted following the wife laying charges against me.*

This fact remains: no proper standard investigation was done to even enable a responsible and proper decision to be made at prosecutors level. This prosecutor’s office was also not in the least bit interested to follow thorough procedures.

The social service worker interviewed the police youth division officer and, according to her report, this is what they said:

*There is no concrete evidence of sexual abuse. Apart from the mother’s statement there is nothing concrete.*

She says “apart from the mother’s statement”. Then, I, as well as my witness statement, evolved into a nothing at this respected country’s prosecutors office. I quote extracts of emails:

*The Prosecutor’s Office of the respected country decided to dismiss the complaint against this father for sexual abuse because there was no evidence against him.*

Then the general advocate of this respected country’s prosecutor’s office proceeded to call me, with no evidence, mentally unstable and/or a witch and a satanist.

According to an email by the general advocate of the respected country’s prosecution’s office the police report was handed over to them and dismissed on 19 April 2012.

The same day, this father’s diary, in which he claims I am “mentally unstable” and violent, was handed into the respected country’s youth court while he filed for and “emergency divorce”.

A man that was, in their eyes, under suspicion of being a criminal up to that day, handed in papers with exuberant examples and uneven explanations to create impressions, claiming his wife is mentally unstable.

The accurate question is how to determine someone’s mental stability? A psychiatric evaluation would be a rational and good place to start such an investigation.

No professional mental evaluation was asked. However, the presumption by everyone was that I am “mentally unstable”.

After this father’s diary was handed into the youth court the social service worker and her companion came to the house early the following week.

**Addition 2**

*The Social Service Report*

Two social workers made an unannounced visit to the house shortly after this husband’s diary was given to the youth court (19 April 2012). A report was then written for the youth court. This report is highly confidential and no-one other than the elected authorities were allowed to have this. The party’s advocates were only allowed to go and read this report at the court chambers, but no proper copies were allowed to be distributed.

I for example, could not read or see this report in any form — due to “high confidentiality” in “protecting children”.

But people had copies - just not me. This father’s advocate in the respected country had it. She sent this father’s advocate in our native country a copy of this highly confidential report. This is how I months later obtained a copy.
In this social service report the one social service worker gives her steps taken in writing this report:
2 May 2012 unannounced visit [afternoon]
3 May 2012 she phoned 6 people.
4 May 2012 she phoned 1 person.
4 May 2012 09:38 she submitted her report.

A recollection of the event dates is:
30 March and 2 April 2012 - I approached the police.
19 April 2012 - The date the molestation case was stopped at prosecutor’s level.
19 April 2012 - This father handed in his documents to this youth court.
23 April 2012 - The divorce summons was put in the mailbox. This is the only document I received.
30 April 2012 - The date this emergency hearing on custody was scheduled for. It was postponed on about 26 April 2012, by my advocate, to 7 May 2012.

Notice by the dates that this social service worker was not investigating child molestation.

A woman, calling herself by the name of this social worker, contacted my mother end April 2012 on her cell phone and spoke to her for half an hour. Not pertaining to the incident my mother witnessed with this father and his child in her apartment, but for obtaining information on me. This call is not on this social worker’s list of people she phoned.

These two social service workers, both women, were in their late 30’s early 40’s. They are unmarried and have no children. I am estimating the language we conversed in was their fourth language. But, no official translator was present.
The following is the statement in our native country’s court by this father’s attorney: 
*The social service worker of the respected country conducted a full investigation into the allegations of molestation. Her investigation included interviewing all three Children. The children also participated in play therapy.*

See if you can identify this in this social service workers comments below.

This social worker starts her report with a description of the accommodation, saying (quotes from her report is in bold italics):
*The house was chaotic.* Her examples: *The three small children’s toys are everywhere in the living room.*
- The red carpet in the open living area is the children’s play space. As a mother of three minor children, I considered it a good day if toys stayed just in the living room.
*The parents have separate bedrooms the mother sleeps in the basement.* The “basement” is called the ground floor.
The sleeping situation had been separate bedrooms for over a year. When at that time, this father moved the smallest child’s bed/cot to the spare bedroom on the ground floor against my wishes, saying he does not care where I sleep. The other children also had a room on this floor.
*A double bed, mattress and a spare bed for the smallest boy are in the mother’s room.*
The smallest boy’s cot (140/90cm), not a spare bed, had been in this spare bedroom since this father moved it there himself.
The mattress I had moved as a temporary measure for the eldest boys to use the various ends off, after they continued wanting to sleep in my room.
*In fact to protect her children from the father the mother locks herself into this room with the children at night.*
These doors have no locks. The children slept with me in the room voluntarily.
*There is a banana skin in the two year old’s bed* 
A two year old likes banana’s.
*The two year old’s bed is scribbled on.* 
As a mother I found it common that children want to draw on walls, etc. All three my children did this. I myself was doing a mural for their bedroom wall and allowed the eldest two
children on occasion to paint. The smallest decorated his bed.

During the visit by the social service, there was a strong smell of burning in the accommodation, the father showed us that the mother had forgotten the eggs on the cooker; she had left and the eggs had exploded;

She uses the words “during the visit” they encountered a strong smell of burning. She does not say “at arrival”. These social workers arrived after lunch. These boiled eggs cooked on a timer for lunch and was done by 12:30. These eggs did not “explode”. They were burnt on one side, but still whole and intact in the pot. Hence no word of eggs over the ceiling and kitchen in this report. This is usually the result of an exploding boiled egg.

I was not at home with the arrival of these two women for their unannounced visit. I am estimating I arrived around an hour later.

In our native country’s court, this husband makes two statements on these two social service worker’s arrival at the house and the situation. I quote him:

1. Upon my return home, when the wife and the two younger boys were still outside our front door, I was confronted with a home filled with smoke. The eggs had exploded and a fire was developing.

2. Upon the arrival of the social workers, I was alone at home having left the wife and children at our street corner, we all having taken the eldest child back to school after lunch. They spoke to me first.

A combination of his two statements is confusing. He arrives home. We are outside the front door, apparently while eggs are exploding and a fire is developing. The social service workers arrive after him, but now he had left us at the street corner.

After lunch we took the eldest child back to school. On the way back this father went home alone after several attempts by him to hurry them home. The children refused to follow him. I stayed with the children while they played in water puddles at the street corner. We also arrived a while later and did not use the front door as an entrance, but the garage door.

According to the father, this was not the first time that the mother had not paid any attention while cooking, she had previously turned the apartment cooker on and had left.

This apartment referred to here is separate to the house and on the second floor. Nobody cooked there. But this father did use it for a study. This husband did wake me up late one night and told me to follow him. He went to the apartment and showed me the stove. All four stove plates were turned on. He asked me in his raging voice who had done it and started taking pictures of this stove. The first thought that entered my mind was why did he not turn it off. I turned off the stove and went back to bed. The smallest child was on the ground floor stairs (two flights down) that afternoon. The following morning I told this husband that it might have been him.

But this husband, the previous night already, locked all the doors leading to this apartment and took the spare keys for these locks as well. He could have switched the stove’s main power off. His over reaction gave me the impression that he wanted to be sure he had total privacy and sole access to the apartment and used this “stove incident” as an alibi for his actions. He also have hands to turn knobs, don’t he?

The claims of this husband on “the stove” topic varies.

1. In his diary to the respected country’s court he states: Found the stove with all the plates turned up to maximum and the oven also. The kitchen cupboard above the stove being damaged by the heat. (Pictures are available) Why did she (the wife) not go upstairs (to the apartment) to make sure everything was ok?

2. In the social service worker’s report is said the father told her: this was not the first time that the mother had not paid any attention while cooking, she had precisely turned the apartment cooker on and had left.

3. In his court statement to our native country’s court this husband says: I also have pictures of the stove plates that the wife (or children) forgot to switch off.

In statement 1 he says he has pictures of damaged kitchen cupboards. (But there is no damage on the cupboards.) In statement 3 he forgot about cupboards and has pictures of
turned on stove plates.
In statements 1 & 3 nothing is cooking in this apartment, but in statement 2 it is claimed I did not pay attention while cooking in this apartment.
Statement 1 the oven is turned to maximum, but in statement 2 & 3 there is no mention of an oven.

There was an open hamster cage in the bathroom. The mother could not answer my question as to where the 4 hamsters were. The SCAS finally found a hamster in a bowl, the second child found another somewhere in the bathroom, and squashed it between his hands. This second child did not squash a hamster, as she is saying. He held it nicely. A hamster that is in distress will bite and this hamster was fine. I had seen my children interact with hamsters. She had not. The other 2 hamsters have disappeared.

The father explained that he had already found 5 hamsters dead in the house. The hamsters had free rein in two bedrooms and a bathroom, being blocked from the rest of the house by stairs. I preferred animals free and had always found caging animals cruel. There had been three dead hamsters killed by the hamster in the bowl, which was a white, ratlike, bitch hamster. I was told by the pet shop that this is normal behaviour, especially if the hamsters are confined and not the same size. This father showed his children the one dead hamster he had found and told them that they killed it. When I told the children they did not, this father ignored me and again told them that they did, and that they did not take care of it. This father did not once give food and water to any of these animals. The children and I did. Today, in his care, these children don't have any pets anymore.

The mother did not understand why I thought it was not appropriate to let the hamsters run around the house, without being able to find them afterwards. She is wrong in her assumption. What I did not understand was why this social service worker wanted me to look for pet hamsters and of what significance and priority her seeing 4 hamsters had with anything? They are also easily found if looked for because of their confinement to three rooms. For example, they left the house with us two days later, meaning her impression of “without being able to find them afterwards” is false. She had been oblivious that the second child played with these hamsters in the ground floor bathroom during most of their visit. She ignored the noises and kept on telling me to leave the children alone. Later, because she is unaware with no experience of what a minor child is capable of doing when left alone, she is upset.

When asked why the mother had brought the hamster to the police station, the mother said that the children had asked her to bring it. This social worker, under her steps taken in her report, spoke to the police only the day after her physical visit to the house. But, she interrogated me during her visit on a hamster that was at the police station. On the day of going to the police station, my children had asked me if they could take the hamster with them. I had read somewhere that animals can be emotionally beneficial for children and this is the reason I purchased the animals. I agreed that they could take the hamster, saying that they should take care of it while we are there, which they did beautifully.

After my explanation about the fact that in the upbringing the parent should not give in to every capricious demand by their children, This, at the time of her visit was voiced and repeated to me several times by her in the exact following words, “You should not to listen to your children. You should not give them what they ask for.”

I asked the mother if she would have also brought the goldfish if the children had asked her to do so. The mother thought for a long time about my question, answering me that transporting the goldfish would have proven to be more difficult and that at the time she would have had to find a leak proof bag to transport the goldfish.

(Although I am citing this apparently innocuous [not injurious to physical or mental health] example it is to show the extent to which the mother was incapable of reasoning in an abstract manner and following current thoughts).

I followed her thoughts/reasoning perfectly and gave her a coherent, logical answer to her innocuous question that had no practical purpose. It is termed sarcasm. It capably followed her thoughts and tone used in asking her question.
Keep in mind the statement of this father’s attorney:

The social service worker of the respected country conducted a full investigation into the allegations of molestation. Her investigation included interviewing all three Children. The children also participated in play therapy.

Have you managed to find anything in relation to this statement yet?

At the time I visited the house I again noticed the chaotic state of the bedroom, (A repetitive statement on the extra single mattress, a banana peel and a scribbled on cot.) and the fact that the hamsters are treated like objects of toys (they are lost, they are thrown around the room, they are found some weeks later under the cupboard). I drew the mother’s attention to the importance of having boundaries in raising her children. Advice which was only met with incomprehension from the mother.

I did indeed frown in incomprehension. The reason, in the social service worker’s own words: There was an open hamster cage in the bathroom. The mother could not answer my question as to where the 4 hamsters were. I frowned on this social service worker’s behaviour, who, in an attacking manner, was making false accusations of hamsters being thrown and missing for weeks. She is using the words “the fact” in her statement, but did not herself witness any hamsters treated like toys or seen them missing for weeks. None of my children had ever thrown a hamster. Hamsters were also never missing for weeks. My children played carefully with the hamsters.

Her perceived imminent danger to these children is: thrown, walking, travelling or missing pet hamsters, seen or unseen. It is the highest priority to her.

This statement of, “the mother does not see the importance in setting boundaries for her children”, is repeated several times throughout this social service report in various settings and, according to her report, by various people. For example:

According to the father, he claims his wife is incapable of setting boundaries for the children. With regard to the fact that the mother spends much more time with the father, the children are accustomed to doing whatever they like; the children impose their desires on the mother who for her part, responds to each demand from her children, without any thought whatsoever. There are no examples attached, just these accusations. Then she says:

The children are between 2 parents with dramatically opposed approaches to upbringing.

This social worker claims a teacher, during their conversation, said: During the assessment interview, the mother had brought the three children. The children ran all around the classroom, climbing on the furniture, without the mother imposing any boundaries. The mother does not want to set boundaries for her children.

Furniture creates the impression of tables and chairs, but there are climbing frames for children in one section of this classroom. This is the furniture my three children played on. I personally don’t have a problem with children playing on a climbing frame. This teacher told me she does not want the children to play on the children’s climbing furniture. I asked her for paper and crayons and asked the children to come and draw, which they did do. This same teacher commented that I had brought all three the children with to this meeting. Where she wanted to discuss my 4 year old’s school curriculum consisting of colouring in mostly witches in black.

And of course this social worker creates the impression this father does set boundaries:

Although the father a former soldier, would like rules strictly observed in the house, the mother does not apply any rules at home.

Although the father is trying to establish a framework and set boundaries for his children, This father did not establish any framework for his children. He was away more than half the time and for the rest mostly engulfed in his own life. When he was involved with the children, it was on separated levels, for example, overly strict, abusive or physically/mentally absent or for his entertainment. This father cannot be said, until today, to have involved himself in normal everyday routines or the normal existence/ground work of his family. The mother does not see the interest in setting boundaries and fulfils every capricious demand from her children. (This is why she brought the hamster to the
police station, as the children has asked to be able to bring the hamster, she let the animal run around the police station at her children’s request) Again a repetitive boundary statement. The animal did not run around the police station. The children were looking after it all the time, otherwise we would never have found it when we went home.

The father appears to be much more strict with his children and wants rules to be respected (don’t eat chocolate before lunch, do not eat on the sofa, do not watch television while eating, etc.)

This social service worker, saying this father wants rules to be respected, refers here to a man that lies and deceives openly in two countries’ courts, meaning disrespect for a court and everyone in it, disrespect for the truth, morals and disrespect for his children and me. She is talking of a man that operates purely on the need to have total control and will use any means to get it. But he can sit at a table/on a sofa/bed eating, even chocolate, and also accomplish this while watching television.

The mother the only one to look after the children when the father is travelling, does not set boundaries for her children, she considers that her role is to fulfil her children’s whims. No example and again a repetitive boundary statement. Repetition is used in indoctrination. My children had their discipline, boundaries and daily routine, where they were allowed to go and where not.

Her children, all three of whom are in exploratory phases need to be protected in their curiosity and need to have limits in order to contact their feeling of supremacy.

From my experience limits for toddlers are not for their feelings of “supremacy”. It is for their survival and to teach moral behaviour. My opinion is, that the result of excessive, unhealthy control over a child, will create an adult that crave control or power even at the expense of others. Maybe this is the “supremacy” she is referring to?

This social worker also spoke to the children’s teachers and the second child’s teacher replied: The second child’s hygiene leaves a lot to be desired; he smells very bad. This social service worker omits to say smelling of what. The eldest child’s teacher from a different school reports:

Up to now there were no problems with this child’s hygiene.

All the children bathed together every night and obviously they did not smell. This same teacher that stated the child smelled, according to this social service worker states: Moreover, the teacher had recently found that the mother, for her part, was also neglecting herself. The teacher had found that the mother was unwell and had lost a lot of weight in a short period of time.

This social service worker herself does not comment at all on my appearance or lack of it in her report and I estimate our weight approximately the same.

This social worker and father manage to create the impression that my children ran around as if they are hooligans, that I never gave them a schedule and routine, that they ate only sweats. But I regulated the children’s routine: This included meals at the table at a regular time and on occasion I would allow them a pizza while watching their favourite movie as a treat. My children ate all fruits, average 5 kinds a day. They got several fruits for their school lunches. (Now the children get bread.) They ate all vegetables, even broccoli. All three children had their bathing times and bed times, etc.

This husband unconsciously lists several routine activities in his diary and statements. From these, it is noticeable that I was occupied with enacting and enforcing these boundaries and routine activities. This father, by his own words, is solely occupied in entertainment activities with the children.

The following are statements of this father:

- After supper (mother; routine) the boys want to wrestle with me(father; entertain). We have lots of fun and after which they go down to bath(mother; routine).
- After they had finished bathing(mother; routine) I went down to say good night to them.

This same father says in our native country’s court and I quote: She has a laissez faire attitude in regards the children’s established routines and their discipline. She simply does not see the need for our boys to be raised with routines and set
boundaries. Rather, she indulges every whim the children may have. The following are quotes of this father/husband where he contradicts himself:

- If(father) walked back into the house to where the youngest son was in the kitchen and started playing with him(father; entertainment). The wife also came back into the house and started getting busy in the kitchen preparing supper(mother; routine).
- The wife’s reply to me was that if she will remember, as the boys have to go to bed early! (mother; routine)
- Having bathed(mother; routine), the smallest child came up to me(father) in the kitchen and indicated that he wanted to play our wrestling game(father; entertain). This he did by lying on his back on the kitchen floor at my feet. The child and I(father; entertain) did play.
- The wife immediately told me that she could not talk now as she is busy preparing supper and the children are in the bath(mother; routine) And that I(father) should call later.
- After supper(mother; routine) the evening the eldest child asked me that we should call my brother (father; entertain). This made the wife completely mad and she walked into the bedroom telling the boys they immediately have to go and brush their teeth and go to bed (mother; bed time routine).

This husband/father spent most of his time in his bedroom. I obviously attended to our three minor children and household. His following statements indicate his own behaviour:

- The boys left with her and I went to my room to do some work on my laptop Accounts payments, recurrent training arrangements etc.
- Went back to my room to continue my work. While working in my bedroom I could hear kitchen utensils and equipment being thrown around.
- I came to my bedroom to make my travel arrangements for work on April 3 and 4.
- At +/-16h00 the eldest child came to my room after his afternoon sleep.
- I was working in my bedroom.
- I am sitting in my bedroom on my bed studying and preparing for my upcoming recurrent training which starts in 2 weeks time.
- I was busy in my bedroom writing in my diary summarising the events of the day.

Another topic of discussion was the minor children’s (age 5, 3 and 2 year) schools. The eldest child’s teacher states:

On 3.5.2012 the father arrived at the school to excuse his son’s absence. According to the father’s statement the mother had been telling the child stories until very late in the night, which is why the child is incapable to get up that morning.

This very same father that arrived at school with this story, was the parent that started distracting the children when it was their bedtime. He disrupted and ignored their schedule. For example I quote this father:

- After supper the evening the eldest child asked me that we should call my brother. This made the wife completely mad and she walked into the bedroom telling the boys they immediately have to go and brush their teeth and go to bed.

At 2:00PM the same day, the father came looking for the teacher as the child was playing with his mother outside the school and the father could not persuade the child to go to school. The mother for her part did not wish to force the child to go to school. The mother does not set any boundaries for the child.

On Thursday 3.5.2012 at 2:00PM and for the rest of the afternoon there is no school in this respected country. According to her, her unannounced visit takes place afternoon 2.5.2012. Her report is in on 4.5.2012 by 10:00AM. She, scheming in creating false impressions, did not leave herself a lot of room for errors.

This father, after receiving custody, kept this very child, and another child out of compulsory school for a month and a half. No questions asked by anyone! If the child does not want to go to school the mother does not set any boundaries for the child. The mother is the only one to look after the children when the father is travelling... Again a repetitive statement on boundaries. Oddly enough my child did go to school regularly except in cases of illness in which case they had doctor’s certificates. This
was only once with the pneumonia scare.

After I had gone to the police this father starts involving himself in the children’s activities in disruptive ways. Hence, after the Easter holidays (the Easter holidays started when I went to the police) this father also became involved in taking the eldest child to school, having never been there before. This is why the eldest child’s teacher says:

_The father had never visited the teacher until the end of the Easter holidays, since which time he has been in regular contact._

This father in our native country’s court states he always took his eldest child to school during his “regular morning exercise”. This father did not have a regular morning exercise. He acquired one in April 2012. The teacher that stands at the gate and greets parents says she had never seen this father before the Easter holidays, but regularly after and then…

_The minor has not wanted to go to school since the Easter holidays._

The impression this literally running-to-school father creates after the Easter holidays is quoted by the social service worker as:

_The father is more strict and wants the child to go to school._

The second child’s teacher reports:

_After the Easter holidays the second child had tantrums and did not want to separate from his mother. The teacher explained to the mother that she should not attach too much significance to these fits. The mother took her son home._

This school the second child attended is not compulsory by law. This child was three years old and went through a traumatic time in his short life. I would not like to be ignored in a stressful situation as this teacher was advising me to do to him - devoid of any care or compassion. This same teacher had one year before locked her class door to keep my eldest screaming child in. I have regretted allowing her, her behaviour ever since. I wonder why my children had these fits when they were going to be left in her care?

Several times I tried to stay longer for the child to calm down, but it did not work. He did not want to stay there or leave me — either one of the two. A few times when his father took him he stayed there without a problem apparently.

_The teacher explained that at one point the second child had pneumonia and the mother did not wish to give medication to her son and tried to intervene by giving him homeopathic medication._

Tried to, is inaccurate, misleading word use and imply that the child had to be admitted to a hospital, which is what would happen if pneumonia is not treated successfully. I successfully intervened. I had a prescription for antibiotics as well as homeopathic medication. The homeopathic medication was effective and healed the child with pneumonia, as well as the rest of us with flu symptoms.

The most common strain of pneumonia is not a bacterial infection, but a viral infection and thus does not respond to antibiotic medication.

Quoting wikipedia on antibiotic resistance: Anti Biotic resistance is a serious and growing phenomenon in contemporary medicine and has emerged as one of the eminent public health concerns of the twenty-first century. The major problem of the emergence of resistant bacteria is due to misuse and overuse of antibiotics.

When the doctor diagnosed the second child with infectious pneumonia I kept all three children home. Knowing that this is a dangerous infectious decease and they attended three different institutions in various parts of this respected country. When this child was healthy enough, after two weeks, this teacher said the child cannot come back to school yet. She then told me another teacher at this school had been seriously ill with pneumonia for four weeks already. I was not notified that there was pneumonia in this school and to be cautious.

_The child is teased because he does not have undergarments._ When this eldest child started this school, the teacher did tell me the children are teasing this child because he did not wear undergarments. I bought several types of undergarments and asked the child to choose the most comfortable for him. He declined it. I said, “But the teacher is saying you are teased because you don’t wear underwear.” This child shook his head no, and walked away. At the time his refusal puzzled me, but his father did not wear underwear and I thought
maybe the child is following his example. I told the child where I was putting the underwear in his cupboard for him.

This child continued telling me his is teased. When I had asked about this the teachers would say no, he is ok. They don’t see anyone teasing the child. The evening the children told me about their father’s “food”, the eldest child told me at bedtime he had told children at school and they are teasing and beating him about this.

I again asked, this time two teachers on separate occasions. One teacher again said no one is teasing this child and the other named a child she saw teasing him that day. I asked my eldest child if this was a child he had told about the “food” (from his father’s penis). He said yes.

Keep in mind the statement of this father’s attorney:

_The social service worker of the respected country conducted a full investigation into the allegations of molestation. Her investigation included interviewing all three Children. The children also participated in play therapy._

Have you managed to find anything in relation to this statement yet?

_The father told several anecdotes about times when there was a risk of the children burning themselves due to the mother’s negligence;_

The eldest children had cooked scrambled eggs under my supervision. I taught them how to take care not to get burned. They also never suffered burns in my care. I see there are children cooking competitions in some counties. Cooking is a great hobby. It is also skills they can use later in life. The children also do this in school.

_I had to intervene as the front door was open, there was no guard rail on the entrance stairs and there was a major risk of falling some metres. The smallest minor ran in the direction of the front door._

Yes his eldest brother came back from school and walked up those stairs without railings. He ran in the direction of the door when he saw his brother. I opened this door for his brother. This social service worker did or said nothing to the child. I had been living in dangerous building conditions for years — meaning constant worrying and having to take precautions that a baby, toddler or small child does not fall down holes, or off a building etc. Even after completion of the building, this father did not want to agree on safety railings. The front door could still be locked. My main concern was with a 3 meter sliding door, of the same height as the front door. It opened onto nothing and there was no protection on it at all. This social worker sat next to this sliding door for nearly three hours. There is no mention of this door in her report. There is also no mention for the reason of no safety railings.

I approached many companies for quotes on the guard rail work needed around the house. But this husband had found the offers too expensive. He wanted a terrace which would cost a substantial amount, but he did not want to pay the price quoted for it. I had asked him for interim protection while he decided and he denied my requests.

If I was in negotiations with a company for this, he used it as a punishment for me, saying nothing will happen with these negotiations, because of what he deemed I had done or said wrong. He knew I felt uncomfortable about the guard railings, especially the sliding door’s.

This father only put on railing 3 years after this report. No authority intervened or forced him to put on safety. Not even after this report.

_When we left the eldest son aged 5 left the house onto the footpath, he was alone, there was no adult in view._

There were two adult social service workers in view. Did they direct this small child back to the house?

They walked across the street laughing, got into a convertible and drove off. I was standing outside, next to the house.

After this father has told me my mother apparently called him a pedophile, this father’s clearly attacking and harassing behaviour resulted in me asking the pastor to find a place for help. I told this pastor that this husband’s behaviour scares me, because he is not behaving at all like an innocent person towards me. But, that he is attacking me.

The pastor gave the contact details for help I had asked for, to this husband, saying we
should go together. I waited for this husband, but found out later he went on his own without informing me.

This father in court described his recollection of the above events as such: Upon my return to home in early March 2012 I could no longer stand for the wife’s slanderous, false allegations, which I regarded as most serious. On or about 5 March 2012 I approached, a non-profit organisation for the prevention and support of child abuse, for assistance. I was referred to the Youth Police, as well as a child lawyer.

The social service report, says this organisation reports: We were first contacted by the pastor of the church. Following this telephone call this father contacted us. This father in our native country’s court states he approached this organisation that supports abused children, for assistance for himself being slandered. He threatened me with a slander suit! Why seek out child welfare?

According to the social service report this organisation states: This father’s request was finding out how he could change the situation. What “situation” he wanted changed is omitted in this report. But this father states he was referred by them to the youth police and a child lawyer. While he continued to handle the situation by threatening with lawsuits, harassing, invading privacy, recordings, emotional abuse and oppressive control, etc.

During my meeting, with this organisation for abused children, I listed the second child’s behaviour, saying that I have been told this child could be autistic.I was careful with what I was saying, since this father was threatening me. The day after the children voiced this father’s behaviour, I contacted this place/organisation again and told them what my children had told me. I spoke to the secretary since the people that dealt with the case was not available. When they got the message they organised a meeting the next day with me and this father. At this meeting I gave them my children’s words. This was the first time this father heard that the children had confided in me. They organised for a physical examination that evening. This doctor who did the physical examination is the founder of this organisation.

The social service worker in her report states this doctor said: He did not find any indication of sexual abuse to the smallest and eldest children. The second child’s behaviour was difficult and he could not examine him. At this doctor’s office this second child told this father to get on the examination table. He walked away and in the middle of the room turned around and pointed at this father, saying several times in our native language that the doctor should look at him (father). He then came to me and started pulling me out of the room. I pulled him back, saying I would like the doctor to look at him. This doctor declined examining this second child, giving his reason as not wanting to force a child.

This husband however, in our native country’s court said: The second child, who was acting like a jibbing mule and clinging to me, refused to be examined.

This false, clingy, jibbing, mule statement made me ask the doctor to write a certificate, explaining what had happened and that he did not medically examine this child. The doctor wrote that the child ran from his father to his mother and was not examined.

He directed the parents to a psychologist saying the mother hinted that the second child had autistic problems. But he does not think this is accurate.

I did not hint at autism during the children’s physical examination for molestation. The soonest an appointment could be made at this referred psychologist was for more than a month away. As with the physical examination this father also accompanied us to the psychologist appointment. I will not forget how elaborately and smilingly he placed the second child on his shoulders while walking down the corridor.

I listed the second child’s severe behaviour and started giving this to the psychologist. I clearly said that my children are saying they are being molested. She told me that she was only told to see if the child was autistic and that she did not know of any molestation. The children were playing in the corner with toys and she concluded by his interactions with his brothers, she said and, without speaking a word to this child, that this second child was not autistic. This meeting lasted around 20 minutes and that was it. Her profession as a child psychologist at a hospital did not include determining a child’s strange behaviour which is not due to autism.

If the father is to be believed the wife had threatened to castrate him.

There are also rumours that I have said I am going to kill this husband and burn down the
house. It is very clear that this father/husband is alive, has a penis and living life in and out of an unburned house as it pleases himself and only him. **This father is afraid of his wife’s impulsive reactions; she has been violent to him. The children have witnessed scenes of violence between the parents on numerous occasions.** Her example of the parental violence she chooses is: **during one the mother who had a knife in her hand to cut the pizza, had started to cut the pizza into a thousand pieces because she was annoyed. The children did not understand the behaviour of the mother who massacred** (massacred: mass murdered) **the pizza in front of her children.** This is a pizza, not my husband, nor a parent. This pizza was eaten and she had never seen me cut pizza or anything else. I normally hold a knife in my hand when cutting food in my kitchen, also when cutting a pizza. My children watched me numerous times cutting/slicing food into very small pieces while cooking for meals. They sometimes sat with me in the kitchen and watched me holding a knife in my hand, as well as my cutting behaviour. I allowed them to help with pealing and, using a plastic knife for them, cutting the softer foods. But this was indeed the same night the children had told me this father was making “food” from his penis for them. This was when I said to this husband that I would like to be cutting something else, while cutting the pizza into normal slices for my children to eat. This father, without me having told him what my children had said, knew obviously what I was referring to and did not reply. My children also knew why I was angry. But I don’t think they understood my comment. The social service worker was not present during this meal, but she accepts what this father/husband says without asking questions. She repeated this, as with the “boundary” statements, on several pages so the reader cannot miss it. For example: **Impassivity of parents: the mother (broke the glass window in the door, lost control when cutting the pizza into small pieces)**

The glass in the door broke by accident when I slammed on the closed front door of the apartment, after this father lured the children into the apartment, having bought them a remote control helicopter and closed the door on me. This was after I had gone to the police. I did not have keys to this apartment. After the incident, I phoned the police, without speaking a word to this father, only thinking that I need help in protecting my children from his behaviour. The police took this father’s and my statements and left. This “caring” father did this to upset me. But, he projects his behaviour to me and describe it as such: **She was looking for confrontation and wanted me to lose my temper and do something, which she could hold against me!**

Then this father projects again, after having lured and locked himself into the apartment with the children, saying: **The father said that the mother locks herself in the bedroom with the children and tells stories to the children during which she stresses, time and time again that the father should not be trusted.**

This social service worker took this father’s words and repeated them independently in her report, using the words — in fact. I quote the Social service worker, under her heading, “Description of the accommodation”:

**In fact to protect her children from the father the mother locks herself into this room with the children at night.**

The reality is that there are no locks on these doors that these people are saying I used to lock my children in. I have the original door orders that state “without locks” under description of the doorhandles.

The social service worker was present in the house, but she was in the house one afternoon and left around 16h55, before the children’s bedtime. She did not witness this information she gives as a fact. I did place toys in a tower behind the children’s bedroom door, so I would wake up from the noise when these fall, if the door moves. The door was not even shut. This I did when the children were already asleep. And even though I do think this father is not to be trusted at all, I did not give this type of information to my children. They are too small to understand this. Not having seen this highly confidential social service report at the time I did not know these lies until it was repeated in another court order.
In our native country’s court I stated there are no locks on these doors. This husband then changed his “lock” statement to “barricade”.

Has anyone noticed this molestation investigation yet? The social service worker of the respected country conducted a full investigation into the allegations of molestation. Her investigation included interviewing all three Children. The children also participated in play therapy.

Something else in this report made me realise just what an idiot I was, thinking that this man loved me.

The parents met in 1996
(Actually 1995) This husband dated and lived with someone else for about 5 years, during which we had very little contact. He started phoning me regularly in 2001. After a year of his phoning me we started dating.

The father came to live in the respected country in 1997
The couple married in 2006, before marrying the father set 2 conditions:
The mother should never smoke again
The mother must work
After completing her economics degree the mother re-joined the father in 2006
The father stressed that he is very annoyed by the fact that the mother started to smoke again. In fact one of his conditions to agree to marry his wife was the fact that she would not smoke again.

He had no problem dating someone who smokes. I visited him several times and smoked outside the front door. He also repeatedly said that he loves me. He had no conditions attached to love then. In fact, then we had a normal relationship. He did say he wanted me to stop smoking, saying he feels as if I am having an affair (with cigarettes) and he can then have an affair in turn. I did not understand his reasoning, but knew myself smoking is a bad habit and bad for your health and that I needed to try to quit this habit for my own sake. If you love someone then that is what you care for — it is not a condition for giving love. But for him during our marriage every mediocre incident boiled down to obeying him or he criticised, ignored, threatened, insulted and oppressed. If he could not find a reason he had no problem distorting reality and/or making one up.

There are many things in this social service report that are not in line with reality, for example, this father saying: he was not really present after the birth of his first son because he needed time to become accustomed to the role of father.
He was really present for about 3 months after our first child’s birth. It is after the second child’s birth that he was not really present. I don’t know if it was to “become accustomed to the role of father”.

The father said when the third child was born the mother insisted that she could give birth alone at home, despite the fact that the father did not agree with the idea. In fact, I gave birth to my second and third children at home.
At the time of the birth the midwife was not present so the father had to take on this role. According to the father, the mother is incapable of making compromises and she must always get her own way.
I was told with the first birth I could not have a home birth. I compromised and had a hospital birth. With our second child I organised a midwife. This father had no problem with this. The day of the second child’s birth I told this father I am in labour and he replied I am exaggerating. I phoned the midwife, ignoring this father/husband, an hour and a half before the birth. She replied that if I can speak to her I am not in labour. She was late. With the third child’s birth I arranged two midwives on standby, to have a back-up. This husband/father at these times met with all the midwives, showed his charm and agreed with the idea. The midwife wrote a testimony to confirm this.

While the mother has the impression of not being supported by the authorities in protecting her children she is becoming more and more stressed. It is and was not only an impression of my apparently “delirious” mind that my children and I were and are not supported and protected.
This husband’s statement in our native country’s court is the following: I state, however, that
at the unannounced visit by the social service worker, she had instructed the wife to see a psychiatrist as a matter of emergency. The wife brushed off this advice. This was this social service worker’s words of telling me to go to the emergency at the hospital immediately, repeated three times during her visit. This “advice”, or more accurately, order she gave, is not written down anywhere in her social service report. This comment created the impression with me of not being supported or believed and resulted in more stress. Or does this social service worker tell herself she decreased my stress levels?

This social service worker did not divulge her own behaviour during her unannounced visit. I found her behaviour aggressive, biased, domineering, indoctrinating and criticising. It was clear that she had no experience in raising children herself, had no idea what it entails and could not identify herself in any shape or form with mothers in this position. The organisations and authorities, clearly by their actions, showed no interest, no compassion and provided no protection. They either joined in the lies and abuse or concentrated on nibbling toes, hamsters and rumours.

This social service worker’s “supportive” actions are well described by this husband in his court statements. He always mentions both his advocate and the social worker as his advisors in his actions and behaviour. For example, On the advice of this social service worker and my attorney, I limited the wife’s contact with the children and insisted that such contact be supervised.

Who are these people that they could decide about my life of which they mostly know only lies?

The social service worker states: Although a mother cannot be reproached for wishing to protect her children against possible dangers the management of the situation is becoming inappropriate and is having serious impact on the children.

Yes! She did reproach me for trying to protect my children! She writes this report without adding her, “Take yourself to the emergency at the hospital immediately!” statement. Thanks to my court case in our native country her behaviour has been put on record by this father. While I tried to take care of and protect my three small children in my shocked state, I veered off attacks from people the entire time. Not only this father, but evidently from others, this social service worker included, who are positioned in management positions to help. This increased the difficulty and frustration for me a hundred fold in this situation.

On top of everything, no psychologist in this respected country spoke my children’s language, also not this social service worker. As a result my children could not be aided in this country, but only in our native country. This was not a problem to the “justice system” of the respected country. And the father simply locked up the children’s passports. Let’s not forget their looming international kidnapping charges for taking children out of their country!

Addition 3

The Youth Court gave him Control

The procedures of this social service office are that a social service worker has finished with a case when they hand a report into court. But this social service worker stayed closely involved, advising this father/husband on how to deal with us using emotional torturous methods.

Then she and this husband claim, quoting this husband: The wife only accepts help or advice if it goes her way.

Quoting the social service worker: She is currently conscious that she is in a situation of intense stress; she is looking for help, but if the help does not go in the direction she hopes, the help is not accepted.

I am unaware of their helpful choices offered. I am aware of their uncompromising abuse and torture to me and my children. Abuse and torture misleadingly shrouded under a brazenly shallow pretence of child protection.

This confidential social service report arrived at the court chambers 4 May 2012 at 09:38. Unaware of this and on the same day around 09:30 I approached another organisation that
aids abused women, for help and protection. They told me they cannot help me, saying they
don’t have space in their protection houses. I gave them contact numbers of the social
service worker and youth police officer. Later that afternoon I was phoned from this same
organisation and told “this social service worker is afraid for me and the children’s lives” and
that the children and I needed to come to their offices at once. They suddenly had space,
and I was told I need to hurry if I want it, because they are closing. This husband refusing to
let me take the car and dropped me and our three small children with our luggage off at their
office.

He gives the following account on his actions afterwards:
*Upon my return home, I telephoned the social service worker to enquire as to what I had to
do in the circumstances. She advised that: The children would not under any circumstances
be alone in the wife’s care; At the safe house, the children would be safe as the wife would
be under continual supervision: In the circumstances it would be best for the children to let
the wife be for the weekend. During the late afternoon of 7 May 2012 after the youth court
made its order, both the social service worker and my advocate contacted me to advise me
of the outcome.*

This husband/father received full temporary custody of the children in this youth court
proceeding, based on this social service worker’s report and this husband’s diary that was
given to the youth court. The Tuesday morning several policemen in uniforms and two cars,
one police car and one unmarked car, with a two sentence court order that gives temporary
custody to this father, came to fetch these small children out of the protection house to take
them back to this claimed victimised , father/husband. In his non-caring fashion, this father
was nowhere to be seen to give emotional comfort to his small children on their hour long
journey. These police did not care that their actions were not conducive to small children’s
emotional wellbeing or to mine. Later I found out that this is not normal behaviour or
procedure for them. This same scenario, - “the police will come and take you away” -, would,
for years after, be repeatedly and threateningly voiced to the children by this same father as
a psychological weapon to control them. The very same father who personally organised this
traumatic event for them.

This father describes this, saying:
The social service worker advised that I had to arrange to collect the children from the
protection house. She advised me to obtain police assistance.

Was this the help, support and management of the social service worker in resolving a child
molestation investigation?!

After the police left with my children, I phoned my advocate and was told I need to go to the
emergency department at the hospital to see a psychiatrist. She said the youth court
decided I was “mentally unstable”, based on the social service report.

This husband makes the following statements of the first proceedings in the respected
country’s court. I quote him:

- The youth court proceedings concluded with an order that the children be placed in my
custody, in so far as the wife is deemed a threat to the safety and wellbeing of the children. A
first order was made on 7 May 2012. The wife made supplication for the withdrawal of the
order of 7 May 2012, which was dismissed with costs on 25 May 2012.

- By order of the youth court, and on 7 May 2012, I was awarded provisional custody of the
three boys, the court having found that the children are in physical and moral danger.

My three small boys, without an investigation, were given to an abusive man that is saying
“he is called a pedophile” and that repeats that people have said “he prefers little boys”. But
they all claimed I was the mentally unstable one.

I went to the hospital and waited for a psychiatrist for about 5.5 hours, but no psychiatrist
came. I left. Got home around midnight. While getting into bed, I was told by this father that I
was not going to sleep in my bed, but will sleep in the apartment. He gave me the apartment
keys and told me to go. I went. This husband reports he has no responsibility. He states: On
the advice of the social service worker and his advocate, the wife took occupation of the
apartment on the top floor of our home.
In the following days this father changed the front door locks of the house and allowed me supervised access to the children for one hour a day. The supervision was done by his eldest brother, who arrived from our native country, and this father himself. I was not allowed to breastfeed the smallest child, who was still used to being breastfed regularly, and would be punished by losing the next day’s visitation if he or his brother saw me breastfeeding. After one visitation this father deducted 15min off my next day’s time for every kiss I gave the boys when saying goodbye to them. I lost the next day’s visitation by continuing to kiss my smallest child and was verbally chased out the house in front of my children. In this husband’s court papers he says he did this because I smelled of smoke. This does not justify his cruel behaviour towards us.

This was behaviour from this father, whilst a youth court order made no mention of visitation rights for me. I told my advocate by phone of this torture. She told me not to fight, repeating I don’t have any rights.

This father makes the following statement in our native country’s court on his behaviour:  
- On the advice of my attorney and the social service worker, I limited the wife’s contact with the children and insisted that such contact be supervised.
- I deny that I refused the wife contact, either as alleged or at all.

The above two statements is admittance and denial on the same topic. This father abusively and hardheartedly did refuse me contact, physical and telephonic, with my small, crying children. Again he named his attorney and this social service worker as his advisers.

While my children and I are being tortured this husband’s advocate in court 21 May 2012 lied to the respected country’s court employees saying: 
The wife is in an apartment separate but she is always present at the communal house; this father has not changed the locks on the communal house; the wife threw a fit.

The judge excepted these lies. I asked someone, who witnessed this father’s behaviour, to write a statement for this court’s employees. They ignored this statement. As they had ignored all the documents that prove that lies regulate their behaviour.

Friends visited during that time, witnessing this husband’s actions (19 May - 22 May 2012) and wrote a testimony stating the following: 
- This father had changed the locks on the front door while his wife was out and we were under strict instructions not to let her have the keys.
- I was very disturbed to see this father’s eldest brother physically pick the smallest child up and rush into the house with him one day, when he was outside with the child, and the mother happened to arrive at her house at the same time. The small child saw his mother and immediately tried to go to her, crying “Mama Mama!” but this father’s eldest brother picked the small child up and rushed inside, at which point the child was sobbing.
- This father privately emailed a social service worker asking for guidance on the length of time his wife can be allowed to spend with the boys. She replied 1-2 hours a day and to shorten this time by 15 minutes every-time his wife says something negative about him in front of the boys.
- During our stay at the house I saw behaviour from this father that came across as manipulative and controlling. Even-though he said to me that his wife was a good mother and that he wanted her to have access to the children just as soon as she had had “treatment” for whatever might be ailing her, he appeared to me to be doing his best to ensure that she had as little access to the children as possible and that he planned to keep it that way for a long time.

My advocate instituted another procedure, in this youth court, regarding full temporary custody given to this father, scheduled for 25 May 2012. This court was provided with a medical certificate by a neurological psychiatrist, stating that I am reacting on my situation and that he is of the impression I can take care of my children.

On 24 May 2012, the day before this hearing, this husband took the children and left the respected country. His statement in our native country’s court is: I obtained leave from the youth court to take the children to their grandparents on the farm on 24 May 2012, and to
return with them from our native country on 10 June 2012.

He returned 11 June 2012.

Before a court hearing, this respected country’s youth court gave special support to this father, giving him permission to leave their country and keep his children out of compulsory school for two weeks. No statements that he is neglecting children’s education or he does not care if the children go to school, are heard anywhere. The explanation is used that the children were kept out of school to secure this husband’s “minimal paying” job. Comparing his movements and the time he spent away, it is three times longer than the time that was needed.

Nothing changed with the children’s or my situation in this court hearing of 25 May 2012. An interesting factor for me was the judge elaborately stating that this husband is “worthy of the court’s trust”. One sentence highlighted this misplaced trust with the lie: The wife locked the children in the bedroom. Another lie showed the irony of this situation: The wife neglects the children’s education. At the exact time this husband was doing this with their permission.

Then this husband devised plans to remove me out of the respected country for his and the children’s return from our native country. They arrived back the same day he booked a flight for me out of the respected country for our native country. He said he did this so I could be “under my mother’s wing while receiving “treatment” in our native country. How “kind” is it of him to then remove himself financially from supporting me, resulting in my credit card being blocked, to stop his credit card on the flight ticket and to attempt to remove me from the medical insurance. The medical insurance had me as the main member. This first had to be changed to this father being the main member. It would take him another year before he can throw his “mentally unstable” wife in “need of treatment” off it. All whilst claiming to be a victimised, kind and generous man.

Addition 4

Hoodwinking a Court

While in our native country, I started court proceedings, trying to have my children assessed for sexualisation. This father had dropped the children off on his parents farm in the native country for 5 weeks of their summer holidays. The children were in the care of his elderly parents and his single, middle aged, youngest brother.

I approached our native country’s court with what my children had told me, also the suspected pedophile circle and how it was dealt with by the authorities in the respected country. Added to this were this husband’s rumours of him preferring little boys and inappropriate behaviour of him with our eldest son, witnessed by my mother. As well as this husband’s questionable behaviour in trying to “defend” himself by abusing me, ruining my life as well as trying to alienate me from my children.

When my case was accepted into our native country’s court, this father wanted a two week extension, which would delay the court proceedings to about the date he intended to leave our native country with the children. An obvious avoidance technique which I refused.

In our native country’s court this father, throughout his statements, repeats the following information:

- Pursuant to the wife’s baseless accusations of molestation, the authorities in the respected country instituted criminal investigations against me, which investigations exonerated me.
- No criminal prosecution followed upon the charges laid by the wife.
- The fact remain no criminal prosecution has been instituted following the wife laying charges against me.

This husband and his native country’s advocate needed to manipulate our native country’s court to influence them. This was done by lying, saying there were court trials on child molestation. I quote them:

-Further, a court of competent jurisdiction has pronounced, not once, but twice on the
allegations of molestation made by the mother against this father.
- I am instructed that the charges of molestation were in fact fully investigated, not only by
  the relevant police authorities, but also the social service and the youth court.
- No less than two courts and the police and prosecuting authorities in the respected country
  have come to the same conclusion.
- On 7 May 2012 the youth court considered the allegations against me as well as the best
  interests of the children. At the hearing, the wife was represented by her advocate, and my
  advocate, represented me. Neither her nor I were required to be in attendance.
- Notwithstanding at least two orders of a court with competent jurisdiction, and the
  respected country’s authorities finding no evidence of any sexual abuse and/or molestation,
  the wife forges ahead.
- The respected country’s authorities also instituted proceedings in the youth court to
  establish the veracity of the wife’s allegations and to establish the best interests of the
  children.

No documents on these phantom “molestation court cases” were provided.
The authorities on the child molestation case, that I had opened, did not take any
proceedings into any court, not for veracity or any other reason. Not a youth court, not a civil
court and not a criminal court. The reason is pure and simple - the case was closed at
prosecutor’s level around two and a half weeks (19 April 2012) after I had approached the
police.

But, this husband states the respected country’s authorities also instituted proceedings in
the youth court “establishing veracity of my words”. This is another lie. This husband himself, not the authorities in the respected country, started the proceedings in the youth court against me. He gave this youth court a “diary” filled with
lies and exaggerations, claiming I am “mentally unstable” and a “danger to my children”. In
the top corner of the cover page of his diary is hand written: Deposited at the youth court 19
April 2012. After his deposition, this social service worker quickly visits the house and on 23
April 2012 I receive a notice for a divorce hearing dated 30 April 2012.

Combining this husband’s declarations/statements in our native country’s court on the
respected country’s court procedures, reveals the following startling court procedure:
This husband says he had been on trial not once, but twice in the respected country for child
molestation in procedures that was dismissed at the prosecutor’s level. During this
“molestation trial” (where no child has been assessed in an investigation), where he is
absent (not required to be in attendance), the court gives him full temporary custody of the
children he is on trial for molesting. In this same trial his wife is declared “mentally unstable”,
without a psychiatric certificate or an evaluation ordered.

With me asking our native country’s court to intervene in protection of my children, this
husband continues the above sham, stating:
In essence the relief sought by the wife in the previous application amounted to her asking
that this native country’s court sits as a court of appeal against the orders of the respected
country’s court.

This husband feels himself justified in stating:
-The wife is opportunistic and mischievous in launching present proceedings.

This husband informed his advocate in the respected country that he and the children are
prohibited from leaving our native country until an investigation for molestation has been
completed. The advocate of this husband wrote a letter to the general advocate of this
respected country, also stating:
The mother has introduced a petition to the judicial authorities in their native country to ask
for the custody of the children.
This order is also in violation of the articles 1a and 3a of the Hague Convention 25 October
1980, without prejudice to any other text. I thank you to take in charge that file to grant the
immediate return of the children.
I insist in the sensible aspects of that file as the children’s mother is unstable and that every appropriate measure has to be taken to avoid their return near to her. I also insist in the fact that the case is urgent as the children are going to school in this country and they have to start their school year.

On the statement of me asking for custody of the children in our native country, this is once again a grossly exaggerated statement. This husband counters his own respected country’s advocate, stating my aim in our native country’s court as the following:

*The wife approached our native country’s court on or about 13 August 2012 for relief, which included an interdict that I may not remove the minor children from our native country, pending finalisation of an investigation by the office of the Family Advocate and/or Department of Social Service.*

I applied for an interim order, for permission to have the children in my care during the investigation, knowing that they would need to return to the respected country because of their domicile. I also put forth that the children be placed in protective custody. My reasons for this were: You cannot expect children that are aware of being small, vulnerable and in their minds completely dependent for survival on an abusive person, to rebel against that authority. This “hostage scenario” is the situation that had been created for these children.

This husband’s advocate in the respected country thought that the Hague Convention is applicable. The Hague Convention is ([www.paris-law.com/articles/International_Child_Abduction_and_the_Hague_Conventionin.htm](http://www.paris-law.com/articles/International_Child_Abduction_and_the_Hague_Conventionin.htm)):

>(As a practical matter, term “wrongful removal or retention” means removal of the child from his or her country of “habitual residence”, unless prior to such removal the left-behind parent so consents in writing or there is a judicial order authorising the removal and due process had been afforded to the left-behind parent.)

This Hague convention was by no means applicable. I had not removed the children out of their country of habitual residence. This father removed the children himself. The children were not in my care. They were in his parents’ care. The court case in our native country was on a criminal matter - child molestation. Our native country signed the Hague Convention, subjective to its laws. Irregardless, a Hague “application” was submitted by the respected country. In this, sections are left void and information negated. Under place where the child should be: *is inserted the house address in the respected country.* Under place where the child is thought to be, *(or habitual residence of the child), - is left void* The question below this requires the information concerning the person alleged to have removed or is retaining the child: *this is void*

Accompanying this “Hague application” is a letter written by the same general advocate that wrote an email to our native country’s authorities in which he states “the wife is mentally unstable and/or a witch and a satanist”. With this “Hague application”, this husband in our native country’s court stated:

*In this regard I record that the Central Authority of the respected country have requested the Central Authority in our native country to take all necessary steps in terms of the provision of the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspect of Child Abduction, to secure the return of the three minor children to the respected country.*

This “Hague application” in it’s inadequate state, stopped the investigation into the best interests of the children in our native country. I quote this father openly stating this: *My attorney has been advised by the advocate of the Family Advocate’s Office that she cannot in these circumstances proceed with an investigation into the best interests of the children until such time as the proceedings in terms of the Hague convention have been finalised.*

A legal convention that was instituted to aid people was used in an abusive manner,
preventing child safeguarding procedures.

Until this day this husband and his advocate state with bravado and drama in the respected country’s court that they made a “Hague application”. These actions by them are in line with aiding injustice.

This father’s advocate in the respected country continues with:  
The case is urgent the children are going to school and have to start their school year. This father himself keeps the children out of compulsory, punishable by law, school of the respected country. In total about a month and half.

The respected country’s authorities, throughout my activities in my native country, reacted as such:

- They immediately approached our native country’s authorities with a “Hague convention application” (half completed, but obviously accepted by our native country’s authorities) to charge me with kidnapping on no grounds.

- In the beginning of July 2012 I had asked my advocate in the respected country to appeal on this respected country’s ruling to give full temporary custody to this father, but received no reply.
  
After this husband received notification that my case was accepted into our native country’s court, I received notification from the respected country on 4 September 2012 that this appeal is scheduled for 18 September 2012.

This was just in time for this husband to state in our native country’s court:

The wife has noted an appeal against the orders of the youth court, which appeal is scheduled for hearing on or about 18 September 2012. In the result she is forum shopping, which constitute nothing more than vexatious and malicious litigation.

- The respected country’s authorities appointed the Family advocates office in our native country to represent them. This office claimed the children had received all and every help needed and constantly repeated “over evaluation is not good”.

- This husband’s divorce was added to the mix. I quote him:

For reasons I do not canvass herein, the marriage relationship between the wife and me deteriorated to such an extent, that I instituted divorce proceedings in the respected country during or about April 2012. The divorce proceedings are pending and have not been finalised.

I will canvass this husband’s reasons for divorce, as he has put this in his divorce papers delivered 23 April 2012, in short:

This husband claims that I am accusing him unjustly of molesting his children since March 2012.

He claims I am violent.

This father claims I am mentally unstable and refuses treatment.

He says I have contact with a Christian sect

He says I smoke

He says I have no respect for him.

- The central authorities in our native country received emails from the central authorities in the respected country that contained false information on the children’s language skills. Also creating the false impression that everybody communicated with my children. I quote extracts from emails by a high ranking official in the respected country:

As regards hearings of the children by the police, the doctors and the social investigator, the lawyer of this husband indicates to me that the parties were able to express themselves in English including the children.

The children were also able to express themselves in this respected country’s language in particular the elder ones who goes to school in this country and who thus speak without
problem this language. According to the lawyer he confirms that the children were not confronted with any language barrier and that they expressed themselves freely that allows it their young age.

This respected country’s official had a social service report, and, in this the opposite is clearly stated:

- The children hardly speak at school. They virtually only speak their native language.

Our native country’s authorities did a language assessment on the children and found: The minor children are only able to communicate in their native language and are not able to fluently understand the respected country’s language and understand no English.

After all these clearly intended discrepancies, our native country’s court passed the buck back to the respected country. Ruling Lis pendens, saying there is an appeal in the respected country’s court hanging and the native country cannot also rule on this same matter. Or using the Hague convention, saying there is no reason for our native country to interfere when the respected country is capable of taking care of the children in their jurisdiction. Also finding me vexatious and “Forum shopping” in trying to protect my children. This indicates that this husband’s charade of “twice in court for molestation” was either believed due to lack of care/interest or purposely to prevent a child molestation investigation.

This husband was allowed to leave the country back to the respected country. Included in this order was that all the court documents needed to be communicated to the respected country for further investigation and consideration. I appealed in our native country against this decision to let the children go without an evaluation.

This was when this father crossed the border into the neighbouring country. He sent the eldest child’s original passport back into our native country for a “residence permit”. He felt unable to wait for this in our native country with all the procedures running, but in the appeal court he claimed he had always planned the trip to the neighbouring country. When in his previous affidavit he stated his plans were to return directly to the respected country. Then, according to this father, he was “stuck” in this neighbouring country without a passport for the eldest child to cross the border with - having arranged it that only the child’s passport, not the child, exited the neighbouring country.

But a month and a half before he crossed this border, a new passport for this eldest child was collected. Meaning he had two passports for this child. He omits this in his court statements.

This husband, claiming in the appeal court he cannot leave the neighbouring country until he received the child’s passport back, was also a lie. He was not “stuck”, but by choice stayed out of our native country’s authorities’ jurisdiction. Meaning, with intent he avoided an appeal court and police investigations into child molestation.

Addition 5

Still, No Assessment

To block me further from getting help for my children in our native country’s court, false claims were made by this father and his advocate that my children had received play therapy in a child molestation investigation in the respected country. I quote them. (Dates are added for clarification.):

- This father was interrogated for two days by the youth police. [Around 12 April 2012] - The charges were dismissed [19 April 2012] as false and no criminal prosecution was instituted.
- The social service worker conducted a full investigation into the allegations of molestation.

The social service worker states she was at the house afternoon 2 May 2012. She faxed her report to court on 4 May 2012 9:38AM. This social service worker claims to have been in the house two weeks after the molestation
charge against this husband was dismissed. This means she was not involved in an investigation into allegations of child molestation, as is continuously claimed. I continue quoting the false claims in our native country's court on this social service worker's "involvement in an investigation of molestation":

- **Her investigation included interviewing the applicant, 1st Respondent, all three children, the eldest children’s respective teachers, the doctor that had done the two children’s physical examination and youth police investigative officer.**

It is claimed this social service worker's investigation included interviewing "all three children". Neither of these social workers present during the unannounced visit to the house spoke to any of the children, let alone three children. The youngest child was two years old. The children did not speak any language to the extent that they could understand what the children were saying or that the children could understand them. Even in her report this social service worker comments that the children virtually only speak their native language.

They continued unabashed, and I quote:
- **The children also participated in play therapy.**

There is no document in our native country's court or the respected country's court that proves this statement. This is said scrupulously by this husband, his legal representation and the family advocates representing the respected country in our native country's court.

This husband elaborated on these impressions by making the following statements in our native country's court:

- **Also part of the investigations, the children were subjected to no less than 2 sessions of interactional/play therapy by a psychologist.**
- **On 3 May 2012 the mother was interviewed by the child psychologist during her session of all three boys.**
- **On 22 June 2012, I together with the two youngest children partook in a interactional session when this same psychologist interviewed me. The eldest child was not part of the session as he was at school.**
- **As set out hereinbefore, the children have been assessed by a psychologist in the respected country. The mother is fully aware thereof as she was present during at least one of the two sessions.**
- **The authorities in the respected country have done everything they had to do to establish the best interest of the children.**

This husband says “also part of the investigations”. The dates he gives, 3 May 2012 and 22 June 2012, are not in the scope of the police case that was stopped on 19 April 2012. The 3 May 2012 session was about 20min and was only to see if the second child is autistic. The child played in the corner. She did not join the child and she did not speak a word to the child. Her comment at the end of this was: Having observed his behaviour, that he is not autistic. Then she ignored me listing his irregular behaviour and pleas to look at child molestation as another option for it.

As for interactional/play therapy: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parent–child_interaction_therapy - Parent–Child Interaction Therapy](en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parent–child_interaction_therapy) is a form of behavioural-parent training for children ages 2–7 and their caregivers. It is an evidence-based treatment (EBT) for young children with emotional and behavioural disorders that places emphasis on improving the quality of the parent-child relationship and changing parent-child interaction patterns and is for a child displaying behavioural problems.

This while this husband and his advocate claim in court the children are doing very well and is happy in the care of their father? So why therapy on 22 June 2012? After seeing this husband’s lies and false impressions in our native country's court, I phoned this psychologist that saw the second child “to determine autism” on 3 May 2012. I asked her to write a statement. She refused and then asked me if we are going to keep to the appointment for the children that afternoon? This was in September 2012. The children were not even in the respected country at the time. This psychologist, that apparently did
interactional therapy with these children, seemed to be unaware that this father had full
temporary custody and was doing his utmost ensuring the children and their mother had no
contact.
The psychologist mentioned here, who supposedly did interactional/play therapy, does not
speak these minor children’s native language and they spoke very little of her language.
By all professional, effective and responsible psychologist standards it is required that a
minor child be assisted, evaluated or treated in his/her first language.

These children had, to date, not been assessed/evaluated for sexualisation by a
professional. This husband and Co’s statements claiming, or trying to create, an impression
of a different situation, are blatant lies.

This husband/father also made the following statement in our native country’s court and I
quote: The children’s interests cannot and will not be served by subjecting them to
continuous evaluations.
This husband/father’s above statement is nothing but pseudo reasoning. The correct
statement is: The abuser’s interests cannot and will not be served by subjecting small
victims to continuous evaluations.
This husband/father with his words and actions also ensures that evaluation/assessment of
these children are again avoided.
There had been no evaluations for sexualisation.
The impression this “I am called a pedophile” husband/father wants to create with his
statement is that an evaluation for sexualisation is detrimental to a child. This is obviously a
false impression.

Addition 6
Rumours and Incidents

This social service worker, under “mother’s point of view” writes:

*Well before the marriage the father had explained to the mother that it would be very
easy to ruin somebody’s life by lying and gave the example that colleagues had
started a rumour that the father like boys. Today the mother is wondering whether
that rumour was true.*

These are neither my words to her, nor the words this husband used when telling me of his
rumour. The social service worker’s insinuative statement and this father’s own statement six
months later however correlates. I quote this father’s statement:
*Prior to our marriage I relayed a story to the wife as an example of how a *lie* can *ruin
someone’s life.*

This father saying to me he is called a pedophile gave ample explanation to the meaning of
being said to “prefer little boys”. It gave meaning to this father’s weird “wrestling”, crotch
grabbing actions with our three small boys. It gave meaning to a puffy red anus, red stained
water around a two year old boy’s excrement, a two year old’s frantic screaming tantrums, a
child’s nightmares of a lion or elephant by his bed at night, a father/husband’s obsessive
desire for control etc.

This father makes the following statement in our native country’s court. I quote:

*Pursuant to the wife’s baseless accusations of molestation, the authorities in the respected
country instituted criminal investigations against me, which investigations exonerated me.
It cannot be said that there had been a thorough investigation.*

1. It is worthwhile to determine if this husband’s word use, consisting of “baseless
acculations", is correct.

1.1. I quote this father's own statement:
Prior to our marriage I relayed a story to the wife as an example of how a lie can ruin someone's life. During or about 1995 and at a work function attended by various of my former colleagues, I was told that people were spreading rumours that I preferred young boys.

1.2. This is a testimony by a friend of this father's, stating (date of statement 29/08/2012):
I want to state that approximately 10+ years ago my friend(this father) mentioned to me that he had been informed by a third party that people were overheard in a pub stating that he likes young boys — or something to that effect. These people were former colleagues of his. He was taken aback by this and confronted one of both by phone — who either replied that they were joking or denied they actually said this - I cannot remember the details of the response.

1.3. I myself would like to make the following statement: This husband also told me of his rumour. Around 2004 (nine years after he says this rumour was spread) this husband said to me that two guys were saying he prefers little boys. I asked why they would say this and he replied they wanted his job. I asked him where he was working and he gave the name of a country in Africa.
About 7 years later this husband told me my mother called him a pedophile. After having spoken to my mother of the incident she witnessed, I also confronted this husband, asking him the names of the people who had said this and I asked him if he had sued them. He gave the same names as the friend who made the previous statement and replied he did not sue them.
It is clear from the above testimonies that this husband continued spreading a horrid rumour of himself by himself. His action of sharing his rumour was done several years after the date it was initially said?
This father now says he spread this rumour himself as an example of how a lie can ruin someone's life.

Ruining someone's life entails: the possibility of never having a financial income; losing your social standing; losing friends; losing family, etc.
The determining factor in a rumour situation is how many people hear this rumour and to what degree it is believed by those that hear it.
A good question in a situation like this is: would you want a rumour spread around that could ruin your life? No, normally an interdict is obtained against the people spreading slanderous rumours — to silence them.
This husband continued telling others. Always with some explanation attached for steering the person he speaks to into immediately believing the rumour is not true and creating the perception of being a victim.

Another pedophile, Jimmy Savile, had a similar rumour for 40 years. Jimmy Savile, unveiled October 2012 as the biggest pedophile in the history of Britain, was said to "prefer little girls". His rumour was true. If this father and Savile had met, would the conversation look like this? This father: "People say I prefer little boys." Savile: "People say I prefer little girls. Such comfort - we can identify our pedophilia cronies without the risk of incriminating ourselves?"

2. The next announcement of this father was end 2011 when he told me my mother had called him a pedophile in February 2009.
I quote extracts from two of this father's court statements:
- Furthermore, during or about February 2009 my mother-in-law insinuated to me that I was molesting my children...
- During or about November 2011, the wife and I invited our pastor to dinner to address our deteriorating marriage relationship. I also relayed (in this meeting) an incident during or about February 2009, when the mother-in-law insinuated that I had molested my eldest
child. (In the previous statement he said “molesting my children”.)

2.1. I quote the mother-in-law’s statement:
The mother was out. The eldest child was sleeping on the bed in the next room. I was feeding the second child banana in my room and wanted to ask this father, who I heard entering this room, about some matter. I went to the next door bedroom. On approaching the doorway I saw this father lying on his side on the bed, with his back to the door, next to the eldest child. This father did not hear me approaching. The eldest child was lying on his back. To me it looked like he was sleeping. This father’s right hand fingers were up to his knuckles inside the eldest child’s nappy.
I asked this father what is he doing? I was shocked and it felt surreal. I walked out of the bedroom and stood in the sitting room. This father followed me. I grabbed his hand and said urgently, “You know what I suspected. Is it true?” He kept hold of my hands and said, “No mom, he pinched me and I pinched him back,” ...

2.2. This father’s version in his court statement of this incident is as follows:
- The wife and the second child were out for a moment and I was trying to put the eldest child down for his afternoon nap.
- The grandmother walked into the room just as I had jokingly pinching the eldest’s child’s bum; he having pinched my nose.
- She having stormed out of the bedroom, I followed my mother-in-law to the living room where she insinuated that I had molested the eldest child. I denied her insinuations in the strongest terms.

The impression he creates, is that his intention was trying to get the child to sleep. Doing this, according to him, by “pinching the bum” of a two year old boy. My mother reports that this child was lying on his back, this father’s hand was in the front and she got the impression the two year old was asleep.
There is also an unexplained gap in his strange story. He says the grandmother walked into the room... and stormed out. This gap does not explain why he left the child and followed this “silent” mother-in-law; with nothing, according to him, having being done by him or said by her?

This incident is briefly mentioned in the social service worker’s report:
The mother claims that her mother had seen the father had his hand in one of the boy’s nappies.
And according to the social service worker this father said and I quote: The father said that the role his mother-in-law plays in this situation is not negligible. In fact the mother-in-law claimed that she saw the father with his hand in the eldest child’s nappy, since which time she considered the father to be a pedophile.

2.3. My mother discussed the incident shortly after with her house doctor. Her statement:
My patient told me 27 February 2009 during a consultation that she caught her son in law with his hand in her grandson’s front part of his nappy.
It happened in her flat. Her son in law and grandson were lying on a bed. She was still very upset when she told me, even though her son in law tried to convince her that nothing was wrong.

2.4. This husband, now, claims my mother was not kind to him during our years of marriage. I quote this father:
- The fact that I sponsor her financially to visit her daughter and her grandchildren does not seem to curb her unadulterated mistrust and hatred for me.
- During my mother-in-laws three month visit in March 2010 the wife’s mother provoked me to such an extent that I put my foot down and I refused to extend any further visiting invitations to my mother-in-law.
- She has a nasty habit of attacking me in my own home, threatening me that she will take away my wife and children, she criticises me unreasonably and generally seeks to undercut me in my own home.
I have never witnessed my mother being unreasonable with this husband. On the contrary when I, over the years, tried explaining my marital situation to her she would say this husband takes care of me very well and I just have too much hay on my fork. However, her opinion of him changed after she witnessed this husband’s emotionally abusive behaviour towards me during her visit with the third child’s birth March 2010. After a confrontation ensued, in which my mother pointed out his bullish behaviour to him, this husband could not curb his hatred towards her and forbade her the house. Since our marriage, this husband phoned my mother average every two months until March 2010. After she witnessed his inappropriate and abusive behaviour and confronted him about it, his phone calls to her abruptly ended. These calls involved him telling stories about me and complaining about me. This father said he sponsored his mother-in-law financially with all her visits. He did up to beginning 2010 and she was very grateful and thought him to be very generous and kind and even repaid him some.

This father’s word use, in all his statements on this incident in 2009, is “my mother-in-law insinuated to me that I was molesting my children” But during the meeting with the pastor this husband did not say my mother insinuated. His exact word use was “your mother called me a pedophile”. I suspect he partly did this on purpose to upset me. My problem is there was in fact a suspicious incident.

This husband now claims his mother-in-law has threatened to take away his wife and children. But her actions prove she showed concern for his marriage and arranged marriage counselling for us with our consent.

I quote an extract from the testimony of the marriage counsellor:

*I hereby declare having been contacted by telephone in 2010 by the mother-in-law who was very concerned about her daughter and her son-in-laws relationship. She was here on holiday and had suggested they get marriage counselling. She contacted me for an appointment. I met the couple in March 2010… I observed a violent outburst in my office when this father left the session in a rage…, etc.*

2.5. Neither this father nor my mother informed me at the time of this incident that took place in February 2009. The first I heard of it was from this husband three years later in the meeting with the pastor around end November 2011. The social service worker states this incident as such and I quote: *In November 2011, supported by her own mother, she (the wife) said that she realised her situation was unhappy.* This is not a reference to our marriage counselling March 2010. This “situation” by this social service worker, is this husband unexplainably telling me during the meeting with the pastor, “Your mother called me a pedophile.” This social service worker uses the word “situation” to describe incomprehensible actions of this husband. This word “situation” in her report is used to describe another confusing action of this husband — when this husband claimed he approached an organisation for support of abused children, for support and help for himself as an adult. According to the social service report this organisation states: *This father’s request was finding out how he could change the situation.* This same "child abuse institution", refused to investigate/evaluate children for sexual abuse? The doctor who refused to medically examine my second child is also the founder of this organisation. This husband’s reason, in court, for his delay in not telling me of this incident in beginning 2009 is, and I quote him: *I took great exception against the insinuations, but never told the wife thereof previously, as I did not wish to create further tension in the already tenuous relationship between her and her mother.*

His character is revealing it in a meeting, aimed at discussing our “deteriorating marriage relationship”? I would not have been angry at my mother. It was not her hand in my sleeping child's diaper. I was angry at her for not informing me of this sooner. Would knowing about this incident have made me more attentive to this husband’s behaviour and the children’s symptoms? Yes.
I quote this “I am called a pedophile” father/husband who says he does not “wish to create tension”:

- **During my mother-in-laws three month visit in March 2010 the wife’s mother provoked me to such an extent that I put my foot down and I refused to extend any further visiting invitations to my mother-in-law.**
- **Just to spite me, my mother-in-law did visit the respected country during January 2011, without my consent.**
  (She visited her daughter and her grandchildren living in the respected country. I extended her an invitation and planned it for when this husband was away from home for 5 weeks on his own schedule.)
- **I advised the wife and her mother that I would obtain a court order if necessary to prevent my mother-in-law from entering my home again.**

This husband never phoned or spoke to my mother between the period of April 2010 and 10 June 2012. However the social worker in her report May 2012, with no tangible reason, manages to state under stress factors for this “I am called a pedophile” father, I quote her: **provocation by the mother and the mother-in-law;**

After this husband’s divulgence of this incident in my mother’s apartment, his manner of dealing with my enquiries and concern was harassing, plaguing, threats and pressure. I quote him:

- **On the advice of my lawyers, I did take photographs of the children, the cigarettes in the wife’s bag and in the dustbin, rotting food in the house, the general unkept state of the home.**
- **I also have pictures of the stove plates that the wife (or children) forgot to switch off.**
- **I admit that I have threatened with legal action against the wife and her mother for falsely accusing me of molestation.**
- **With the wife’s constant accusations of molestation against me and her increasingly irrational and unreasonable behaviour, I was advised by my lawyers in the respected country to obtain evidence of such behaviour. I did by taking photographs and making recordings when the wife was acting out.**
- **I found an empty cigarette packet in the trashcan in my room. The boys use this trashcan to throw their dirty diapers in!**
- **Also ‘sway’ a full packet of cigarettes in her handbag, which she carries around.**

Added actions of this husband are:

- **He took pictures of everything and made recordings of every conversation** we had. I suspect that he cut and pasted conversations, because he tried to goad me into voicing the word pedophile.
- **He invaded my privacy, which included reading my emails, going through my belongings, removing things like house entry devices and taking pictures of my private belongings. And according to a friend’s testimony this husband told her he obtained the help of an ex colleague of his to monitor all my mobile and phone conversations.**
- **He started a “diary”. If you asked him to give you his yearly personal diary, summarising the events of his day, he would not be able to.**
- **He became secretive. He had sole access to the apartment, after having taken the keys from me. He did not tell me of his movements, saying it had nothing to do with me. When at home, he stayed mostly in his bedroom, exiting mainly to eat, or to goad and criticise me.**
- **He started controlling me financially and forced me to lower my credit card limit, with threats of withdrawing himself financially from us.**
- **He orally attacked me for the smallest of incidents or mistakes. This he also did during our marriage, but he increased these attacks — he did this in front of our children - openly saying he wants to get rid of me.**
- **He also orally attacked me for starting to question and change his excessive control that he wanted me to enforce on the children. I gave the children more freedom against his wishes. For example, allowing them to eat while watching television.**
This husband’s advocate openly admits in court having advised this husband to harass and provoke his shocked and upset wife. Acting as if, saying “she is mentally unstable”, is now an excuse for harassment which is a criminal offence. It is obvious that this husband had no problems morally to behave in this manner.

Another observation is: This husband started with harassing, oppressive and abusive actions straight after the meeting with the pastor. This is four months before I approached the police. However, this husband says in his court statements, and I quote: I made contact with various attorneys in an attempt to obtain assistance. However, when the wife laid criminal charges, I was left in the lurch. I eventually obtained the services of these advocates…

On whose advice did he do his previous 3 months harassment, being left in the lurch?

3. On 27 March 2012 my eldest child told me his father makes “food” from his penis and puts it in his mouth. The second child, directly after his brother, said that his father puts the “food” in his bum. Later they said this father’s father and youngest brother do the same. This father takes them to a place they call the “zoo”. They said he took them there when this father told me he is taking them to the bank. They said they did not go to a bank, but to this place. There are several rooms at this “zoo”. The youngest go to a room “where the animals don’t bite”. The second child goes to a room “where the animals bite”. Their father leaves them alone in between people. The eldest said he tries to hide between parked cars. They come looking for him. The people scream “bloody” when they try to run away (the children screamed this in the respected country’s accent with deep voices). The people are not dressed like animals — but they “bite”. The eldest child named a neighbour that has stayed with him between the people, while he says his father is busy with “ugly things”. There are men, women and other children, etc.

This father took them to the “zoo” by train. The closest animal park cannot be reached by train. The closest zoo also cannot be reached by train and is about 70 kilometres from us.

The following is the social worker’s only comments on the above information:
- The mother does not for one-second doubt the truth of her young children’s words.
- The mother is convinced of the truth of her children’s words and has not doubt about what her sons say.

My children’s words this social service worker did not care to repeat in her report.

After making so many intentionally false statements this husband has the audacity to downplay and make the following minimising repetitive statements throughout his native country’s court documents:
- The wife, being obsessed by her view that I molest the children, naturally seeks to find confirmation of her suspicions in the most mundane and innocent events and gestures.
- I genuinely fear that the wife, being so obsessed by her suspicions that I molest the children, are in fact indoctrinating the children and placing words in their mouths.
- I submit that the wife, in view of her obsession in regards my molesting the children, sees “evidence” of such alleged molestation everywhere.
- I submit it is clear that the wife will not cease her irrational, unfounded and malicious allegations. The social service report, as well as the report of the psychologist clearly confirms the wife’s obsessions in regards her allegations of molestation.
- As is set out hereinbefore, it is relatively recently that the wife has adopted the obsession that I in fact molest our children and subject them to pedophiles.
- Inasmuch as I am unaware of “the place” the wife refers to, and further that I deny that I have ever molested my children and/or subjected them to a ring of pedophiles, as alleged by the wife, I have no doubt that the wife's scare mongering, coupled with the boys' vivid imaginations, may lead to the boys telling fanciful stories.
- The incident referred to herein, is a figment of the wife’s imagination.
- All three boys, but more so the eldest two children, have very vivid imaginations. They love animals and fondly remember a visit to the zoo quite some time ago.
- I refer, however, to what is set out hereinbefore in relation to the children’s vivid imaginations and the wife’s scare mongering.
- I refer to what is set out hereinbefore in relation to all three children’s imaginations and the wife’s scare mongering.
- I deny that any such conversation related herein ever took place between the wife and me. It is a figment of her imagination.
- I refer to what is set out hereinbefore in relation to the wife’s scare mongering and the children’s vivid imaginations.
- These allegations are figments of the wife’s imagination. I deny them.

Would “imagination” or “obsession” explain the following:

This husband indoctrinating our native country’s court into believing he had already been on trial for child molestation in the respected country’s court.
Respected country’s authorities lying about the children’s language capabilities. Lying saying the children received play therapy in the respected country’s “molestation investigation”. Giving this father unheard of privileges e.g. driving over his child in reckless negligence, helping and allowing him to further physically and emotionally abuse his children.
Condoning him taking these children across the border into a neighbouring country, out of jurisdiction of our native country’s court and police, who wanted to have professional assessments done in their investigations of molestation.

The above is not the only lies and false impressions this husband creates.
After I heard the children say that this father’s father and his youngest brother do the same, I took a long shot, asking this father if his whole family is involved. I wanted to see this father’s response. Without answering my question, his response was saying threateningly he is recording me. To which I said he can record. Later, that same evening I overheard him in his room, saying in our native language, “She knows. The children told her.”

This father in his diary, in April 2012, to the respected country’s court wrote: I also made four voice recordings to which the wife consented. On these recordings she accuses my whole family of being involved in molestation and also my grandfather who had passed away long ago.

Five months later this husband’s advocate, in our native country’s court, made the following statement on this same topic: 28 August 2012 - I am further instructed that at no stage, prior to service of the present application, has the wife made any allegations of molestation against the children’s grandparents and/or uncle.

4. As I have said before, in the social service worker’s report in the respected country, there is no mention of my children’s words, “Daddy makes ‘food’ from his penis,” etc. What is said is under Parents point of view: Mother
- During the “wrestling” games, the father always tickled the children in the crotch. He pinched their thighs. When he pinned them down the children laughed and also cried for their mother to come to help them. The mother does not think that this was play activity.

These “wrestling” activities of this husband is described in detail in chapter 5.
I did not like the rendering helpless, physically hurting, invasive actions that included manipulation by calling it “play activity”. I do not think an adult or parent should “play” with, or around, a child’s private areas. I certainly never had this desire. I have since found out that these “play activities” that involves an adult touching in/or around a child’s private areas are called “grooming” in pedophiliac terms.
Groping a person’s private area without consent, is considered a felony and sexual assault. Groping a child, including your own for purposes other than sanitary/medical, compares to sexually assaulting a disabled person. This social service worker says she is a psychologist. She should be aware of the fact that there are boundaries involving physical contact, also with easily indoctrinated small children.

Social service worker: At one point the mother witnessed her two older children
touching each other’s sexual organs. When asked whether she considered that it would be normal for children of a young age to have masturbatory behaviour, the mother confirmed that her boys did not masturbate.

I witnessed my two children had dragged a large pouf cushion away from its normal place and out of sight of the stairs. They had taken off all their clothes. The smaller of the two children was lying down wriggling his body, and touching the eldest child who was sitting upright with his legs open, on his penis. When I told them what they are doing is wrong the eldest child (then age 4 years) asked, “It is?” And the younger child (then age 3 years) replied, “I like it.” Their response indicated to me that this behaviour is not a once off occurrence for them, but I had not seen it before.

This father comments on this incident as such:

Mindful of the children’s ages, it does not surprise me entirely that the boys would play with each other and themselves and discover sexual gratification.

This “people call me a pedophile” father finds it not surprising that toddlers/minors (two boys) discover homosexual gratification together. His word use of, “would play” - once again these actions do not fall in the category of “play activity” for a child.

Here is this father’s other chosen words again: Pursuant to the wife’s baseless accusations of molestation…

There definitely is this husband’s rumour of preferring boys; there is also a very questionable, witnessed, inappropriate touching incident involving this husband and a little boy; their is inappropriate touching incident during "wrestling" games; there is behaviour of these small boys indicating sexualization, my children’s words were very clear involving this father’s actions; their word’s involving a “zoo” that they are taken too, does not correlate with a normal zoo. Sticking out like a sore thumb is the authorities and this husband’s falseness, their abnormal reactions, their avoidance of a thorough investigation, their trivial and misleading accusations towards me - directing attention away from child molestation.

The social service worker says: The mother appears to have lost touch with reality and is impervious to say critical thought with regard to the allegations of sexual abuse. It is my responsibility as a mother to be critical where it concerns my children’s welfare and safety. A few “in touch” realities: There are no locks on the doors she said I used to lock my children in at night. She was also not in my house at night. There was no school that afternoon she said a child had school and she had spoken to a teacher. A pizza cannot be “cut into a thousand pieces” or be “massacred”. She is not a witness to any of her false statements and accusations. One cannot in fact witness “missing hamsters” in the air as mentioned by her. She was not employed to write a report on pet hamsters. She omits her own inappropriate behaviour during her visit, in her report. And boundaries need to be set on reckless, endless space for uncritical thought on sexual child abuse. It emotionally, mentally and physically endangers the weakest in society.

Addition 7

Always contact with his children

This husband starts off his diary to the respected country’s court by creating the impression that I made his contact with his children, while he was away for work, difficult. But to create this impression, this father needed to lie. I quote from this father’s diary:

I called my home from abroad and could not get any reply, nor on my wife’s cell phone. The next day in the afternoon I got hold of my family! My wife and the children had gone and slept in a Youth Hostel for the night. Her reason was that our middle child did not want to sleep in the house, as he was scared of a fire! Question is why she did not contact me and inform me? So I know and could have peace of mind! I am not consulted in any of the actions, and or decisions being taken or done. She does everything by herself and on own decision with informing me or consulting with me! (This confusing statement is as he had
said it.)

This father says he called his home that night. But he did not. The night of 16 March 2012 this father was not reachable on any of his contact numbers or Skype. My conclusion at the time was that this father was working. There were also no incoming missed calls from anyone. That night my children were scared and I made a decision that would calm everyone, without hurting anyone or ignoring anyone. Rationally thinking there should not be a problem with this decision. The Skype record shows two missed calls from this husband the next morning 17.3.2012 at 9:42 & 10:30. I phoned him back at 2:24PM, after things had settled down with the children.

This husband was completely uninformed that night, did not wonder where we were and did have “peace of mind”. It was not uncommon for this father not to make contact for a day or two, when he was away on his trips.

The next diary insert is on 18 May 2012 and I quote this husband: (eldest son’s Birthday) I called home and requested them to go on Skype. My wife immediately told me that she could not talk now as she is busy preparing supper and the children are in the bath! And that I should call later. I asked her that it would be better if they called me as I would wait and stay on Skype. Her reply to me was that if she will remember, as the boys have to go to bed early!

This is where this diary entry stops. No further information is given and the reason for this is: The Skype record on 18.3.2012 shows that I did call this father back, three times, after his call to me at 6:44 PM. This father omits this on purpose, since it does not fit into the picture he is creating.

The Skype record for the same day also shows that, for the eldest son’s birthday, I made a conference call with this father and his family on the farm at 1:35 PM. At 2:06 PM there is another call to this father with the duration of 36 minutes, as well as two calls he did not pick up at 4:37 PM. This information is also omitted from his diary. This father’s next diary insert is 24 March 2012 and I quote him: I called home on Skype on 24 March 2012 after arriving at my destination at around 17h10. My wife did not answer the telephone but sent a message on Skype that the children are still sleeping and that they will call when the children are awake. THEY DID NOT CALL BACK AT ALL.

The capital letters are as he had written it. I did miss this father’s call. But there is no record on Skype of a message sent to him, on this date, that I will call, but did not call, as this father states.

The following is the next diary entry. I quote him: On March 25 I called at around 09h00 on the home phone, as it was the youngest child’s birthday. I asked that they please go on Skype. She told me that she does not really have any time as she has to prepare for church. I requested that she goes on Skype briefly so I could talk with the children. I called on Skype and she did not have the camera switched on. I asked her to switch it on and she replied that they are busy looking at photographs and could not have the camera on. Having the camera on does not affect you watching photographs!!! I requested they call me back after they are finished watching photographs. I do not understand such behaviour? The wife does not have time as she has to prepare for Church? She has time to watch photographs? So whom am I the father who wants to wish his youngest son Happy Birthday?

They did not call back so I called just before they left for Church. She made a lot of noise with the pots and pans (Kitchen utensils) in the back ground so I had great difficulty to hear what the children were saying!

SUMMARY: Discipline: When talking on a telephone or by Skype Silence is required. This should be taught as an example to the children. If you as parent does not set the example the children will also not have any respect for silence when talking by telephone or Skype!
The Skype record 25.3.2012 shows: This father phoned, the first call 9:02 AM duration 1:28. The second call 9:24 AM duration 0.00. This means there was no conversation at all. No camera not switched on, no wife and children looking at pictures when having to prepare for church, etc.

This husband continues and says, "They did not call back so I called just before they left for church." The Skype record does not show a call from this father just before we left for church.

What it does show is that I phoned this father, three times, just before leaving for church: 9:59 on his cellular (because he was not Skype online) duration 24 seconds; 10:00 AM again, but the call did not go through; another attempt at 10:00 AM for the duration of 7 minutes.

The Skype record for 25 March 2012 shows the following message sent from me to him at 6:02 PM - the children are still sleeping, busy tidying, will phone when awake. This must be the message he is referring to in this diary insert: I called home on Skype on 24 March 2012… My wife did not answer the telephone but sent a message on Skype that the children are still sleeping and that they will call when the children are awake. THEY DID NOT CALL BACK AT ALL.

The Skype record 25 March 2012 shows that I did phone this father back; 6:30 PM duration 1.36min; 8:40 PM duration 17min.

This husband was not done with his games. On top of wanting to create the impression that I had made his long distance contact with his children difficult, he also wanted to create the impression that I had physically withheld him from his children when he was at home.

This father makes the following statements in our native country's court: She would prevent as far as possible that I have any physical contact with my boys—such as bathing them, playing with them and hugging them. My wife is doing her best to intimidate and get the boys against me.

The social service worker in her report states the following:

**Obsessed by the fixed idea that the father was abusing his children she prohibited any physical contact between the father and his children…This father explained that he was afraid that the children would be manipulated and set against him. Each time the children approached their father, therefore the mother watched each gesture and intervened when there was any physical contact. This father does not see how to get out of this situation which is very oppressive for him and his children. This wife does not want the father to play “wrestling” with the boys, she does not want him near them.**

In our native country’s court, after this father had tortured me and my children in doing his best to keep us apart, he says:

*Further to this she tries at her upmost best to get and create a division between the children and me.*

This husband in his diary and statements in courts, counters himself and the social service worker in stating his activities with the children, I quote him:

- The children and I play often. We also enjoy cycling together.
- The boys and I wrestle often as it is one of their favourite games.
- Greeted the boys and said Hullo to my wife and her visitor.
- I played with the boys while they talked.
- Went outside to play ball with the boys and had a good time.
- I helped the children get ready and we said supper prayer.
- Eldest son came up to my bedroom and gave me a hug to say good morning.
- I spent the afternoon with my sons at the neighbour’s house down the road who has 3 girls nearly the same age as the boys.
- After supper the boys wants to wrestle with me. We have lots of fun and after which they go down to bath.
- Our wrestling game involves me gripping the boys between my legs or holding onto their arms and legs, rolling around on the floor and tickling them.
- After they had finished bathing I went down to say good night to them.
- Decided to take the children swimming at the swimming pool.
- At the swimming pool the boys and me had a great time and we had lots of fun.
- Went down to say good morning to the children.
- The 2 eldest boys saw me walking ahead and started running to join me.
- After church I played with the children in the open field behind our house. And we had fun together.
- The eldest son came up to my room to say good morning and also requested that we call my youngest brother again.
  (This is possibly because the night before he did not finish talking with my youngest brother)
- Eldest son came up to my room after his afternoon sleep and asked again if we could call to my youngest brother, as he did not talk with him in the morning. We called on Skype speakerphone.
- Children and the wife started having Pizza for supper in front of a DVD on the iMac computer sitting on a big cushion. The boys asked me to join them, which I did.
- On the way back I stopped to look for a present/toy with which I could play with together with my boys.
- She did not allow this and said I could read them a story but that she would read them a bible story and say bedtime prayers.
- I got up said good night to the boys and left to make me tea and unpack my suitcase in my room!
- I walked back into the house to where the youngest son was in the kitchen and started playing with him. My wife also came back into the house and started getting busy in the kitchen preparing supper.
- Social service report: Since the Easter holidays the minor has been having genuine fits at school when separating from his mother. When the father brings the child to school, there is no concern.
- Having bathed, the smallest child came up to me in the kitchen and indicated that he wanted to play our wrestling game. This he did by lying on his back on the kitchen floor at my feet. This child and I did play.
- Our wrestling game involves me gripping the boys between my legs or holding onto their arms and legs, rolling around on the floor and tickling them.
- Social service report: The father has never visited the teacher until the end of the Easter Holiday (school started 16 April 2012), since which time he has been in regular contact with the teacher.
- The youngest child came into the bedroom to say good morning
- He got onto the bed and came to sit next to me pointing to the laptop.
- On or about Saturday 21 April 2012, the wife and I decided to visit people. I loaded the eldest two children into my bicycle trailer and the wife carried the smallest child in his bicycle seat on her bicycle.

These above statements are from this father himself. It does not create the impression that he was prevented or prohibited any respectable physical contact with his children. As he himself states, he continued to have fun.
I did try to stay with the children and not to leave them alone with him. He was aware of this and lured the children on every available occasion to be alone with him. He disregarded my feelings and anxiety and recorded when he managed to upset me.
Social service worker: …she is trying to protect her progeny by making all three of them sleep in her room.
The smallest child always slept in his cot in my room. I told the second child to sleep in my room, after I found him on the way up the stairs in the middle of the night. When I asked him where he is going he replied “he likes it”. This is also what he said when I found the eldest two children naked and touching private parts. The eldest the following night wanted to sleep in my room. Following this, this husband started luring the children to sleep in his bedroom at bedtime and then recorded my reaction.
This social service worker says:
The smallest child had jam on his face and had a runny nose. The father explained that he no longer had the right to touch his son or be involved in such things.

This father quotes this social service worker in his statements to our native country’s court, saying:

*The wife, refusing that I touch the children, do not clean them adequately; allowing them to run around with faces smeared with jam and mucus.*

But this same father, in his diary to the respected country’s court, states:  
*I helped the children get ready and we said supper prayer. Got a wet cloth so they could wipe their hands and faces and we started eating.*

From this statement of this husband, he was clearly capable and had the right to be involved in wiping the hands and faces of his children.

The social service worker and this father created a false impression and used lies in court of me apparently forbidding contact between this father and his children. These same people have thought out unthinkable, torturous set-ups for any contact between me and my children. This is now claimed to be in the children’s best interest.

Theoretically speaking I had valid reason, after he said he is called a pedophile, in the interest of the children, to oversee and monitor his behaviour with the children. I actually feel I should have acted more on it.

Addition 8

*He has no knowledge*

This husband also makes the following statements in our native country’s court:
- *The wife was relentless in her accusations and her attempts to provoke me into an emotional outburst.*
- *The wife would relentlessly attempt to provoke me into losing my temper.*

One could turn this statement around and then it is the truth. He was relentlessly harassing me, attempting to provoke me into losing my temper.

But in his claims of me being relentless in accusations, in the same affidavit this husband says:
- *I have no personal knowledge of the conversation between the wife and the eldest two children.*
- *The wife has never confronted me with the eldest child’s allegations.*
- *I have no knowledge of the allegations contained herein and find it disconcerting that the wife has not made mention to me earlier of the boys’ behaviour.*
- *The wife never expressed concerns regarding the smallest child having a spotty rash. I never saw such a rash.*
- *I have no knowledge of the wife taking the smallest child to her gynaecologist but question why not our family doctor or a paediatrician.*
- *I have no personal knowledge of the allegations contained herein and deny them.*
- *Whilst I am unaware of the wife questioning the second child as to whether or not his grandfather of uncle ever hurt him, I find it very disturbing that she would do so.*
- *I am unaware of the wife telling the children to bite if someone put something in their mouths. This did not happen in front of me.*
- *I am unaware of the video the wife is referring to herein but would welcome a screening thereof. I have nothing to hide.* (In this video this father, at first unaware that he was being recorded, was busy with his “animal, biting, snorting” actions on the smallest child’s body. The child calls to me for help and tries to get away. This father becomes aware that he is being recorded. He stops his actions at once, staring at me, he looks uncertain of what to do and then gets up and walks away.)
- *I have no personal knowledge of the wife having phoned the Youth Police.*
- *Save to state that the children told me that they had accompanied the wife to the Police; I have no personal knowledge of the allegations contained herein.*
- At no stage has the wife ever advised me of her concerns in this regard.

According to these statements of this husband, he did not have any knowledge of what his wife was saying has happened. In some cases he is lying, but for the most part I did not keep him informed anymore. Neither did I "relentlessly" accuse or provoke him. However, I did notice he had knowledge of things I did not tell him and found out he apparently kept himself informed on everything I do and say by tapping the phones I used.

Addition 9

This father’s bedtime story

The following is an example of this husband's relentless attempts to provoke me into emotional outbursts. I quote this father’s words in his diary to the respected country’s court: I went upstairs to get them a bedtime story book from the bookshelf. The wife pushed me away from her side of the bookshelf and told me those were her books and for me to get my own children story book to read to them.

I then took a book: “Eden’s exiles, one soldiers fight for paradise” It is about the fight against animal poaching.

I thought it to be a good idea to tell the boys about my background who at times had a role in this battle.

I started reading and telling the boys about this and showed them some pictures in the book.

The next thing the wife grabbed the book from me and started yelling at me in front of the boys what do I think I am doing reading a book like this to them about soldiers and dead animals! She did her best to get me to lose my temper! I have a definitive feeling that my wife has a mental disturbance which needs urgent attention and treatment.

The following are three pages of the four pages containing pictures in “EDEN’S EXILES, ONE SOLDIER’S FIGHT FOR PARADISE”
In court, this father did not attach these pictures he showed his small children for a bedtime story.

He does say the following in one of his statements: The wife has a habit of scaring the children by telling them stories of animals and monsters biting little boys.

Apart from the above pictures being scary and not entertainment for children ages 5, 3 and 2, there is also a picture of a lion chasing a man. My second child was having nightmares of a lion by his bed at night. He had talked about this since he could talk. He regularly woke up in the middle of the night, screaming with a tantrum. This father, being fully aware of his child's nightmares and fears shows this picture to this child for bedtime.

He wanted to upset me on purpose. He knew I got upset when someone scared my children. He used my anger that was directly caused by what he is doing. Then he claims: - The wife would relentlessly attempt to provoke me into losing my temper. It is very clear that her aim is to solicit me and provoke me to do something wrong which she then can hold against me! He projected his motives onto me. It is now clear that he was writing a diary for court and needed material to use against me.

According to this father in his statements on why he is refusing me any telephone contact
with my children are the following, I quote him:

_**I did speak to the wife telephonically during the course of May/June/July 2012 and told her not to upset the children by talking to them about monsters and wild animals biting them, or to question them about such things.**_

This father continues saying, and I quote: _**I told her that I believed her actions to be upsetting to the children and not the reassurance that the children required from her.**_

One of these father’s “games” with our children involved him physically standing on all fours, making grunting noises and continually pressing his face into their bodies and making biting actions on it, while pulling them back into a lying position in front of him when they tried to get away.

These children told me that he, this father, takes them to a place, they call the “zoo”. He takes them there when he tells me he is going to the bank. At this “zoo” animals “bite” them in rooms. People are not dressed like animals, but they “bite”. This father leaves them alone with the people at the “zoo” while he is busy with his “bad things”.

None of the above resembles normal circumstances for a zoo. But, now this father stresses that one should not ask these children on what happens at the “zoo” where their daddy takes them.

Is a zoo with it’s animals such an upsetting place for a child?

This father himself states, and I quote: _**All three boys, but more so the eldest two, have very vivid imaginations. They love animals and fondly remember a visit to the zoo quite some time ago.**_

These children fondly remember the zoo and love animals, but don’t question the children on their fond visit! This father says questions on the zoo the children say he takes them to, will give these children phobia’s, scare and upset them.

This father likes to portray himself as a “good guy”. Hence this line in his diary and I quote: _**I thought it to be a good idea to tell the boys about my background who at times had a role in this battle.**_ (Referring to: One soldier’s fight for paradise)

“This battle” is the fight between the people preventing animal killing/poaching and the people killing animals. This father, however, does not clearly identify his role in this battle.

This father does, to today still, at times, have a role. His “role” is the animal killer. This father loves shooting at everything that moves with his bow and arrow. He clobbers animals to death. When this happens he tells his small children the animals are being naughty and that this is why he kills them.

When he showed them this book he told them he had saved animals from bad people that kill them.

Addition 10

**Who lost reality?**

This father, and several other people, have made claims of this kind: She has lost total touch with reality; she is mentally unstable; she is delusional; delirium; post natal depression; major depression; adjustment disorder, etc.

For example, one of this father’s claims in our native country’s court is, and I quote: _**After our first child’s birth, she developed what I believe to be, post natal depression. This depression has been untreated and has progressively worsened over the years.**_

Ironically this man, that now states I was “ill”, experienced no problems, or concerns, ordering me around to build his house, do his admin, handle a court case for him and raise our children alone.

I am now starting with the latest evaluation of me:

The respected country’s appeal court ordered a psychiatric evaluation of me in beginning 2013. Nearly one year after I have been court claimed “mentally unstable”, based on nothing but hearsay and rumours - mostly this father and the first social service worker’s work.

This court appointed psychiatrist stated I have “delusional psychosis”. His report states this
diagnosis is based on me saying my children said that their father is molesting them and are taken by him to a “zoo” where animals “bite”, etc. His report states he contacted the police, who said their investigations proved there is no sexual abuse by this father. He read the social service worker’s report. From these authorities’ reports he deduced that I have delusional psychosis, also adding to it that this father is innocent.

He also states I did not speak of the abuse with the first psychiatrist and this supports his theory. I did not. There are several reasons: I assumed the psychiatrist would have been given the reports (police and social service reports). A psychiatrist (head of intake at the hospital) questioned me, seemingly having information. My advocate told me not to speak of it, which suited me, because I did not feel capable of dissecting or digesting it at the time. The combination of these resulted in me just answering his questions and not volunteering any information.

This diagnosis the court psychiatrist made, after seeing me for about an hour and a half and without having done any tests, in theory has to be completely baseless with no other witnesses or references.

This court psychiatrist did not ask for any specific information or documents and thus he did not have additional information - apart from the inadequate investigative police report and social service report, filled with this father’s lies. He also kept asking me to speak slower. Afterwards I found out that the reason for this is his english is below par. Maybe this is the reason why, in his report, he appeared to have concentrated on reports, rather than examining a person.

This father’s advocate, on receiving this certificate diagnosing me with “delusional psychosis”, remarked on this diagnosis, stating that “this husband is terribly unhappy and sad for his wife with this diagnosis”.

A history of the mental assessments and evaluations I did and this husband’s comments on them is as follows:

1. My first attempt to be psychologically evaluated was at the hospital the day, and in the days after, my children were put in full temporary custody of this father. In our native country’s court, this father made several comments on these attempts of mine. I quote this husband:

   - As stated hereinafter, the wife was apparently seen by a psychiatrist at the central hospital and the psychiatrist that is head of intake, but refused to be admitted to hospital for assistance.
   - The psychiatrist at the hospital advised me that he could not admit the wife without her consent, which the wife did not give.

What actually happened, is in the following document - a medical certificate, written by the psychiatrist at this hospital, states the following:

*I the signee, Doctor ***, certify that Mrs *** was inscribed on our waiting list for the month of May 2012.*

The hospital had no space for me. Then they took me off the waiting list after one month without informing me.

2. The next evaluation is the psychiatric evaluation outside the hospital as a result of not getting into the hospital. There are three medical certificates from this psychiatrist written in the same format, but I will quote one of these certificates. This medical certificate states the following:

*The problems are not to be considered illness psychiatric in the strict sense but problems of situational reactions to diverse tribulations that she endured in the frame of the quarrels in the separation of her husband.*

*The reflection and attitude is adequate, coherent and responsible. This patient do not present with any psychiatric pathology that would impair her occupying with competence the care and interests of her three children.*

This husband makes the following statements on this evaluation certificate, (note the
confusion), I quote all:
- Clearly this psychiatrist has diagnosed her as suffering from a major depressive attack and adjustment disorder. This, to my mind, does not provide support for the wife’s contention that she is not mentally unstable.
- As is readily apparent from the letter of this psychiatrist, they do not purport to be reports of any psychiatric evaluations and are little more than confirmation that she consulted him, having presented by major depression attacks and adjustment disorder.
- As is readily apparent from these letters. This psychiatrist did not evaluate her but merely consulted her for major depression attacks and adjustment disorder.
- It is also evident that this psychiatrist planned a series of appointments for psychotherapeutic medical care with her. To my knowledge, she has not kept to any appointments.
- As set out hereinbefore, she has not followed through on the arrangements she had made with this psychiatrist for psychotherapy.

This husband, irregardless to what is black on white, continues to create false impressions and manipulate. There were also no appointments planned by this psychiatrist for psychotherapeutic medical care, as this father falsely states.

3. Another psychiatric evaluation for this husband to comment on was the psychometric test evaluation I did for our native country’s court. The psychometric test indicated:

*There are no elevations on the severe personality pathology scales, or the clinical syndrome scales, which suggests there is no indication of psychopathology.*

This psychologist did comment that I appear to suffer from post-traumatic stress and high levels of anxiety and are obsessive in my thoughts regarding the molestation. This father honed in on this in our native country’s court. This husband’s statements are:
- *This report similarly indicates that she is obsessive in her thoughts and beliefs and she appears to be suffering signs of post-traumatic stress. She is also described as suffering from high levels of anxiety.*
- *This report also mentions she is at times flustered and scattered. This reinforces my lay observations.*
- *It is clear from the report, bar the evaluation done, that she has not undergone any treatment.*
- *I am, in the event, advised that it would be inappropriate for this psychologist to consult the wife in a therapeutic capacity.*

Notice how this husband says I “had not undergone any treatment”. He also states this regarding the previous evaluation, saying “she did not follow through on psychotherapeutic medical care”. Medication appears very important to this husband. He had also told me if I take medication, then I can see my children and/or speak to my children. I gather that this would have some sort of beneficial significance for him in his court case. The comments made on signs of suffering post traumatic stress, anxiety, being scattered and flustered at times are in this report.

It is a totally natural reactions and response for a mother in circumstances like mine to have these symptoms. It simply indicates that I have heard my children speak of their molestation.

Post traumatic stress — [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Posttraumatic_stress_disorder](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Posttraumatic_stress_disorder): Post-traumatic stress disorder is classified as an anxiety disorder; the characteristic symptoms are not present before exposure to the violently traumatic event. Causes of the symptoms of PTSD are the experiencing or witnessing of a stressor event involving death, serious injury or such threat to the self or others in a situation in which the individual felt intense fear, horror, or powerlessness.

Anxiety — [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anxiety](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anxiety): Anxiety is an unpleasant state of inner turmoil, often accompanied by nervous behaviour; such as pacing back and forth, somatic complaints and rumination.[2] It is the subjectively unpleasant feelings of dread over
something unlikely to happen, such as the feeling of imminent death.

These symptoms are not psychopathology. Statistics in cases similar to mine also show that these post traumatic stress reactions of the mother are in most instances used against the mother in a court room by assigning her a mental illness. It is reported that previous emotional abuse in the marriage also increases the mother’s symptoms of post traumatic stress. Most child abusers also emotionally abuse their spouses to control them.

4. There is of course also this social service worker that states in her report: While the mother has the impression of not being supported by the authorities in protecting her children she is becoming more and more stressed. I would say the word “impression” is wrong, but find it interesting that she was aware that not being supported in protecting my children, was increasing my stress levels. Her manner of saying, “take yourself to the hospital immediately”, in which she dealt with me and my children, did not create the impression of support. Even after this husband got full temporary custody, her support of, “get the police to fetch the children”; “throw her out of the house”; and “limit her contact with punishment”, did not help my stress levels either.

The question is to determine whether the numerous stress factors with which the mother is confronted did not trigger delirium and paranoid behaviour in the mother. And I would have had less stress factors if I did not have a husband that has a pseudo persona and keeps saying he is called a pedophile.

How did this social service worker suggest that things should be determined? What were her actions?

She did not insist that the children be assessed for sexualisation to determine if this is just delirium/paranoia. Even just to have peace of mind that three small boys are in fact ok. No, she did not.

Addition 11

money money

This husband’s work has been made a high priority, in that this is the most important factor that is taken into consideration when decisions are made. It even overshadowed the emotional and physical wellbeing of small children. The social service worker’s report dated 4.5.2012 gives the following information on this husband’s work:

The father is wondering about the psychological condition of the mother and does not want the children alone with the mother under any circumstances. For this reason, he had postponed important professional meetings. This father must leave on 12.05.2012 for a week to renew his pilots licence (recurrent training) he had already deferred his test and there was a risk that he would no longer have the right to execute his profession if he did not attend his training.

This training she is referring to is, compulsory recurrent training, once yearly, 3-5 days, for a pilot. Pilot recurrent training information can be found on the internet. http://www.centuryair.com/faq_recurrent-training.htm

Under stress factors for this father this social service worker dramatically repeats, and I quote:

Stress factors FATHER
Professional situation (pressure, risk of loosing his job)

This father, in September 2012 in our native country’s court, states: I was away from home for most of January and February 2012 for business and compulsory recurrent training in the Netherlands and the United States of America.

The above statement indicates that this father had already done his once yearly recurrent training. The social service worker says he had not done it, but “deferred his test/training”. She gives a new date for this husband’s recurrent training - 12 May 2012.
In this husband’s diary to the court, he was arranging, preparing and studying for recurrent training - still after January/February 2012 - , I quote this father in two statements:
- 27 March 2012 The boys left with her and I went to my room to do some work on my laptop. (Account payments, recurrent trg arrangements etc)
- 07 April 2012 I am sitting in my bedroom on my bed studying and preparing for my upcoming recurrent training which starts in 2 weeks time.
Two weeks’ time would be 21 April 2012.
This father in his diary insert 7 April 2012 says to the court he is still preparing to leave his children in the care of his “mentally unstable wife” on 21 April 2012.
The molestation police case was opened 2 April 2012 and don’t forget this husband’s divorce notice delivered on 23 April 2012 for an “emergency divorce” 30 April 2012. This husband loves creating his false impressions and feeding his misinformation.

On 7 May 2012, in the respected country’s court, this husband’s advocate says this father is going to stop working completely and take care of his children - while the social service worker is saying he stresses over losing his job?!
This father wrote an email on 15 May 2012 to a friend and his wife that wanted to come and visit 20 May 2012 to see what is going on. In it he states he has arranged to leave the children 19 May 2012 in the care of his eldest brother. For what reason...“recurrent training”. Adding to this, “If my plans work out.” He telephonically tried putting off the friend’s wife in their plans to visit by insinuating I am angry at them and don’t want them to visit, while he wrote emails to her husband, saying their visit is good with him. I corrected his false impressions with his friend’s wife, and this husband as a result had to postpone his recurrent training for another week. See how easy it is to postpone/change recurrent training, contrary to the social service worker’s drama quoted in her report.
The result in the end was this husband taking the children out of the respected country on 24 May 2012 and leaving them on his parents’ farm for much longer than the time he required for this training.

In our native country this husband makes another statement regarding his “recurrent training” and I quote this husband:
- I had to attend compulsory recurrent training towards the end of May, early June 2012.
Yes, here is this husband’s other statement again:
I was away from home for most of January and February 2012 for business and compulsory recurrent training in the Netherlands and the United States of America.

This husband makes another interesting statement in our native country’s court on agreements he has with the respected country’s court. I quote this father:
- My undertaking towards the youth court was that I would obtain alternate employment if, ultimately, the divorce court orders that the children should be in my custody.
But according to my advocate, this father’s advocate in the respected country’s youth court said that this father was going to stop working. This husband even told people his sister was going to financially support him and the children in his state of unemployment - which is also unnecessary because of this husband’s substantial hidden bank funds.
This husband makes another false statement in court, and I quote: My work takes me away from home for some 50% of the time. I am rarely away for periods longer than 14 days at a time.
I quote this husband in the same affidavit:
I had to return for business on 31 July 2012 and was to return on 4 September 2012.

I count 35 days away, which is a period a lot longer then 14 days. I suppose 14 days do sound better for his false “caring image”. A contract pilot is not required to work for periods longer than 20 days. If this pilot works for longer then it is because the pilot wants to. The longest period that this father left the children alone in the care of nannies has been six weeks. On questioning his behaviour, this husband and his advocate respond by explaining that his “pilot recurrent training” is for a month. And in order for him to carry on working he has to do this training that lasts a month - this is why he leaves his children for so long.
This husband and father can so easily be proven as a liar, but apparently no court operates by looking for the truth. I repeat, the range in time needed for this recurrent training is between 3-5 days.

In the respected country's court the approach changed from “this father is going to stop working”, (for good impression to get custody of our children), to “this father has to work to support his family”, but actually to increase his already ample funds. This father’s advocate in the respected country now said: This father has a mortgage to pay. The “proof” handed into this respected country’s court is a mortgage document from a bank, dated six years back.

This husband does not pay a mortgage per month. The house has basically been payed off and he payed the extension and renovations, about $600 000.00, in cash. He will not be able to produce bank statements over the last years to proof payment of a mortgage. This mortgage account is still open for interest rate reasons and in the names of his and his youngest brother. This double name arrangement is made for this husband’s other “public” bank accounts as well. For his “hidden” bank accounts he fraudulently uses company names, as well as a fraudulent name for himself. (He opens bank accounts using his second name as his surname.)

But this husband’s advocate, to create the impression in court of a meagre income, financially struggling father with a minimal salary, gave a simple letter stating the following:

Dated: 11 May 2012
Since his first employment dated 20 December 2006. His monthly salary had been confirmed to 3500$(three thousand five hundred US dollars).

With this letter this father now claims he has worked for 5 years for the same company on the same salary.

The social service worker’s information in her report differs from this father’s. I quote from her report:

The father works as a self-employed pilot for various aviation companies and often travels abroad for work.

This false salary letter stating 3500$ per month, is below the allowed amount that is legally required to get and maintain a residence permit in this respected country. But, just the Stressless sofa combo in the living room is worth about four months of this father’s court claimed “salary”.

The truth is this father, on all the contract flying that he had done, averages a total income of 1000$ per day.

I had done his administration for a year and a half, including the invoicing. The computer I worked on, is missing. All the paperwork I had done, is also missing. And this husband tried to scare and deter me, saying I am involved in fraud, when I enquired on the whereabouts of these.

So this husband continued to work. The court approved of this even after he initially said to them that he was going to stop working. No one monitored the situation or these small children. These small children, on top of everything, are ultimately in the care of coming and going strangers. This father employs nannies to care for them, some he did not even interview. This is an extract from a testimony of someone that spoke to this father telephonically:

This father rang our home telephone number. We had a conversation which included me asking him how the children were. He replied they were fine. When he mentioned that he was still working, I asked him who was looking after the boys. He replied that he had a new nanny. I asked what happened to the previous one and he said that he had to pay her to leave as it had been like having four children in the house. When I asked what she was like during the interview he must have had with her he said that he hadn’t interviewed her at all prior to employing her, but that he had spoken to the young lady’s mother over the phone and that she had said that her daughter was very good with children.

This is the same man that says in a court how he worries for the welfare of his children. This
father’s actions exhibit no care, no compassion or empathy, total lack of responsibility and total selfishness where his children are concerned.

There had been eight/nine nannies to date that came and went in his search for the “perfect” nanny. Very few of the people he employs have child care experience. Some did not even speak his children’s language. He has left these small children in their care for periods of a month and more.

In the end we have his “chosen” nannies. The “family of nannies” that perform and condone child abuse for money.

Further to this, he creates an environment of insecurity, instability and confusion for these children. while repeatedly saying to whomever, “The children are fine.” “Fine” is just said as a creation of impression by the person that creates these trying situations for these children. This includes the respected country’s authorities, who, in giving this man full control over these children, had also helped in abusing them.

Addition 12

Can truth change?

This husband sites incidents in his diary to the court. His sole aim in these writings was to convince them that his wife is violent and mentally unstable. He lied, elaborated and deliberately created false impressions of me, by adding untrue stories that had never taken place. He attributed his own behaviour to me and changed information pertaining to his provoking and abusive behaviour.

When having to give a recollection to the police on two of the incidents he sited in his diary to the court, some of his information had changed drastically. I quote this husband’s statement given in his diary first:

Statement 1

I came to my bedroom to make my travel arrangements for work on April 3 and 4. Was busy talking with Airline in order to finalise my flight arrangements? The wife walked into the bedroom and started peering over my papers and pretended to take them from me. I got up and walked to the dining room and went to sit at the dining room table in order to be able to finish my arrangements in peace.

While talking with the Airline agent the wife came to the dining room table and tried to grab the paper I had with the flight detail on. I got up and moved around to the other side of the table. She took my hot cup of tea and threw that at me. I jumped out the way and she hit the wall behind me. (See video clip of her cleaning the wall) When she realised she had missed me she jumped onto the table and grabbed the paper with the flight detail out of my hand and tore it apart in the process. (Picture of paper) I then grabbed the paper back from her, as I needed it as I was still on the telephone with the Airline. She managed to retain a part of the paper and in the process she slipped and fell against a dining room chair causing a scratch against her shoulder (See Photo). (Listen voice recordings)

After this event I went to the Police station to report this matter. At the Police station I rang the bell at the entrance door and spoke to a Police officer. He informed me that unless it was very urgent they would not send someone out to take reports. I asked him to please make a note that I had been at the station to report this matter.

What matter he wanted to report to the police is obscure. In court I replied that this paper contained nothing for this husband to act secretly about - only a name and 3-4 April in his handwriting on a blank paper. I also indicated that he spent most of his time in his bedroom. In this husband’s following statement he had to make at the police on this event, he changed the bedroom to the living room and the “secretive” blank paper now contained a flight plan. This he now claims to have been studying to see where he needed to fly to - although he was not piloting an aircraft. He also is no longer on the phone, as he had claimed in statement 1, as it would not fit in with his changed document.
Statement 2 (translation from a police report)
I cannot recall the date of said incident.
On the day of the facts, I was standing with a flight plan in the living room. As the wife wanted to see said document, and I did not want to let her see it, we had an argument. Whereas I tried to read the route I had to fly, the wife tried to send me a hot cup into the face. As I could quickly duck, the tea flew against the wall of the room. As I still did not show the document to the wife, she run to pursue me around the table. When she became aware that she could not catch up with me, she jumped onto the table and snatched my flight plan. By doing so, she tore up the plan and fell with the shoulder against the back of a wooden chair.

How I remember events:

Saturday afternoon 31 March 2012 around 15:00. I was with the children downstairs. They were playing in their room and the garden. I went upstairs to put something in or get something out of my drawer in the main bedroom. I cannot remember what. I walked into the bedroom and saw this husband sitting on his bed. When he saw me, he turned the paper in front of him over and closed his computer.

My drawer was on the other side of the room away from him. I did not peep at his papers or pretending to take them, as he says. He took his paper and walked out of the room. I walked back to the stairs to go down and he was now sitting at the dining room table. I did not approach him, but stood by the kitchen counter, approximately 3 metres away, watching him. Once again he stood up. He walked past me and as he had passed me I grabbed the paper. He immediately grabbed it back and ran around the dining room table to the opposite side. He had forgotten his tea on the table. This was cold. I threw the contents at him and totally missed.

I climbed over the table, somehow managed to grab the paper, and turned to run. He pushed me with force from behind towards the glass sliding door. My shoulder, more specifically my collarbone, caught a 10cm*10cm wooden beam in the 8m window. He grabbed the paper back and it tore. I was still holding half of this. I looked to see what was on this “secret” paper he was guarding. It was a blank piece of paper with “Maria” and “3 – 4 April” in his handwriting on it. Nothing that warranted his behaviour. It meant I fell for provocation.

Another event he describes is:

Statement 1
While I was in my bedroom the wife came in and started provoking me and making accusations. I got up from my bed and tried to go to the toilet. She tried to prevent me. Once in the toilet she kept pushing the door open and putting the light on and saying that she should cut off my private parts. She was standing in the toilet door with a glass of milk in her hand. I asked her please to let me use the toilet in private and once again tried to close the door. She again pushed the door open and I then pushed her out of the way so I could close the door. She lost her temper and tried to hit me with the glass of milk. I partially blocked her blow and received a minor cut on my lip. (Photo available) The glass of milk hit the door frame and broke and cut her fingers. (Listen voice recordings.) She continued to harass and provoke me trying to solicit an angry response from me.

In court I commented this toilet door cannot be pushed in. It only opens to the outside. In the next statement this husband then changed his “kept pushing” statement to “she opened the door several times”. A light has to be switched off if you want to switch it on every time or continually. I had also found it humorous that this husband claimed he had begged me to urinate in private, a statement that is not the truth, and neither did I threaten to cut off his penis, holding a glass of milk.

In his second statement on this event, he claims he is in the toilet undeterred and urinates continuously, - without begging. While urinating he claims he several times closed this now opened toilet door. When open, this door is two arm lengths from the toilet he was urinating in. He also changed “she kept putting the light on” to saying, he cannot remember the light -
on or off.

Statement 2 (Translated police report. Name changes were made.)
Regarding the accusation that I should have injured the wife on her hand on 1 April 2012, I’d like to say the following: I cannot recall the date of said incident. On the day of the facts, I was standing in the toilet and was busy urinating. I can no longer recall if the light was switched on or off at that moment. However, I can remember that the wife opened the door several times and threatened to cut off my penis. As I shut the door each time to finish my business and not to react to the wife’s provocation, she got upset. Suddenly, she hit the class of milk against my upper lip, so that it started bleeding. As I warded off the hit, the glass bumped against the doorframe and broke into pieces. Due to this, the wife got a cut wound at the hand.

This is how I remember events:
I went upstairs around 10:30PM. Poured a glass of milk for myself and on leaving the kitchen, saw this husband standing in the dark toilet. He was not there when I entered the kitchen. The door was open, outward. I walk towards the toilet and reached for the toilet light with my left hand (holding the glass of milk in my right hand). I sarcastically said, “Wait, let me switch on the light. I also want to see where the “food” for the children comes from.” This husband slapped my hand away from the light switch. I repeated myself and he again slapped my hand away. He moved out of the toilet and I moved back. I said, “No wait, let me switch on the light,” and pointed with my left hand to the toilet. He grabbed my left hand and pressed it with force. I used the bottom of the glass, that was in my right hand, and hit him on the lip, so he would stop. He did, looking surprised. He walked into the bedroom where he looked at his lip in the mirror. I watched him from the bedroom door. He walked back to the toilet, passing me in the doorway. I again said, “Wait, let me switch on the light,” but did not move. He turned around, walked back to me without any expression on his face, placed his left hand over my right hand that was holding the glass and pushed the glass into the bedroom door frame. The glass broke in my hand. I remember thinking, “This is who he actually is.” After this I went downstairs. He must have cleaned up.
I had gone to the police on Friday 30 April to report what my children had told me of their father’s behaviour with them. The police postponed taking my statement till Monday. On the Monday I informed the police officer what had happened over the weekend. The police officer replied that I should go to the doctor. The fingers on my right had, that held the glass, had small cuts and my ring finger on my left hand was swollen from being compressed. I got an appointment at the doctor about two days later. He wrote a medical certificate.

Addition 13

Where is this great guy?

The following are extracts from character testimonies by acquaintances of this father/husband. He gave these to the court of the respected country. The statements were all dated in the period 15-17 April 2012. These were all faxed to the apartment of which this husband had sole access. Having locked and taken away all keys, after concocting a story saying a stove was turned on and created the impression he needed to isolate the apartment from us for safety reasons. Some testimonies are not notable and some unreadable. These are not included.

Testimony 15: As a friend, he has proven to be reliable and dependable. He is intelligent and has conservative moral values… We have experienced him as a honest, generous and peace loving person. He is emotionally stable and sets high personal standards… [This testimony is written by the woman whose daughter this husband arranged for 3 months to be a “help” for me after I had my third child. They live in our native country and I have seen her probably 5 times. It is her daughter, who wanted to know who I am talking to on the
phone. This daughter also lost my middle child one morning, while I took the eldest child to
school. I had to call the police.

Testimony 14: I got to know his whole family and have the utmost respect for all of them. I
have yet to encounter another family as caring, loving and friendly… To summarise his good
characteristics would take another 10 pages… He is the most dependable, honest, caring
and loving person I know. The well being of other people has always been more important
than his own, and obviously, his children have become more important than life itself.
[Wow! The impressions created by this father’s witness sounds astonishing. How odd that I
don’t know this “incredible” witness, of this husband.]

Testimony 1: To this end, it was vitally important that all involved, practised integrity in all of
its facets i.e. Honesty, loyalty, trustworthiness. He displayed all of the above
characteristics… I am aware that on the financial side, he provides well for his wife and
children… I am of the opinion that he is a well balanced individual with pure integrity and
rock-solid moral values. I am further of the opinion that he is a caring father who loves and
adores his wife and children.
[This witness and his wife live in our native country. I have seen them about 10 times in 10
years.]

Testimony 2: I got to know him as a well mannered, stable and focussed individual. I regard
him as a trustworthy person whose integrity is above question. He is the type of person who
will go the extra mile for his loved ones and friends.
[She is the wife of “Testimony 1.”]

Testimony 13: Over the years I got to know his parents, grandfather and his brothers. They
are very good people with very high family values. He is a man with high values and
extremely high integrity.
[She lives in our native country. In the 10 years that I have been involved with this husband I
have met her about 4 times.]

Testimony 12: He is a stable hardworking, honest man.
[I met this man briefly on two occasions while in our native country. This was the man, this
husband had said, who asked him to pilot, (I suspect a helicopter), in a coup attempt to
overthrow a president of a country, to get the country’s oil. This husband withdrew after
“someone” saw his name on a list and warned him authorities are waiting for them. He did
not warn his “friends” and some went to jail. This husband did sympathise with family and
friends over the phone, but laughed after the conversation ended. He gave me the above
information after I asked him why he is laughing.]

Testimony 3: He is a person of very good upbringing. He would go through fire for friends
and family. He would even put his own life in danger for people close to him.
[I have never met this person.]

Testimony 4: He is extremely dedicated to his family. He is the best friend that anyone can
have.
[I have never met this person in my life.]

Testimony 8: He is a dear friend and someone that I know would go out of his way to help
someone who might need assistance, as well as being a wonderful father to his boys.
[I met her and her husband a few times for more than a day at a time. She and her
husband came to the respected country after finding out that this father has full temporary
custody of our children. Afterwards she wrote another testimony: about this father’s
manipulative, controlling and unfeeling treatment of me and the children she witnessed. A
dark side of him she had never seen before.]

Testimony 11: He would come and check on us to ensure that we were emotionally doing
fine.
She is Testimony 13’s daughter. The time she wrote this testimony I was being taunted and tormented to my emotional limit by this very man. Who, at the exact time, claimed his wife is in need of help, but who had since then, not once checked on his wife to ensure his wife is emotionally doing fine or is cared for. They reside in our native country. I met her briefly 3 times in 10 years.

Testimony 20: He is also very considerate towards his family and friends, and will always lend a helping hand to assist those in need.

This is this husband’s youngest 48 year old brother. It is this brother that refused me and my children telephonic contact. The one who threw my 72 year old mother to the ground and pinned her down.

Testimony 16: …and in my opinion he is a good parent.

I think I met her briefly once. Which is also the extend to which she has seen this husband as a parent. She lives in another country.

Testimony 18: I cannot imagine him being other than an excellent husband and father…

I don’t know him.

Testimony 21: He is very considerate towards people - specially towards the elderly. …He is very talented and can do almost anything including running a household.

This is his mother, who came to the respected country for several months to help her son and his several nannies “run the household”. A household that I had run on my own, pregnant, giving birth and raising three children, breast feeding, managing the building and complete renovating of her son’s house and doing his administration whilst in a foreign country - whilst being constantly criticised and insulted, amongst other psychological games, by her “considerate” son! This woman told me she never called us, because she did not have her son’s home number. She proceeding to asked me for the number. At that time her son had been living in that house, having the same number, for more than a decade. In which time she had not once visited him or her grandchildren.

Testimony 22: He would go out of his way to help people…

This is his fourth sibling.

Testimony 23: I think everyone would be lucky to have such a father as he.

I have never met this man.

Testimony 24: My opinion of my brother is that he believes in righteousness, honesty, and stands up for his principals, keep his promises, is trustworthy.

This is his eldest brother. The one that came to the respected country and physically held down my children while they screamed for me. The one that, in our native country, took the keys of our car ignition and grabbed my second child out of the car, when I attempted to pick up my children for our visitation. It is he that according to this husband drove about 12 hours, crossing a border to fetch a child’s passport, ensuring his brother and the children will not be subjected to molestation investigations from court or police.

Testimony 26: He works hard and takes care to be able to give comfort to his wife and children. I have the pleasure/blessings to know a person like him…

This man and his wife came to our house about 3 times a year. It is he that phoned me several times only saying, “Don’t do it,” and then hung up. This man was an accountant who was asked to resign. His statement of “give comfort to his wife and children” was written in the time where I was forced to lower my credit card, under threats, while this husband restricted house funds and hid his “work” funds in bank accounts - not in his name.

Testimony 28: He is always respectful and never forgets me.

I have briefly met her once.

I myself had these impressions of this man before I married him and became dependent on
him. After that I made the acquaintance of another completely different person. Someone that did not care for another’s safety, comfort or emotions. Someone that was only concerned with his control, respect for him, his opinion, time, orders, rules and belongings. Someone, when his acquaintances were not around, acted angry, cruel, vindictive and unhappy. Someone that has no problem threatening, lying or hurting another person. Someone that manipulatively steers people with false insinuations in the direction he wants them - so he can create his impressions to get what he wants.

Addition 14

*Internet information: Nothing to dismiss*

*(Warning: Contains sensitive media. Reader discretion is advised.)*

**Pedophiles are lobbying for the legal right to sexually use children. They are obviously selfishly disregarding consequences for children of all ages.**


The Pedophile Information Exchange was affiliated to the National Council for Civil Liberties - now Liberty - in the late 1970s and early 1980s. But how did pro-pedophile campaigners operate so openly?

**A gay rights conference backs a motion in favour of pedophile.** The story is written up by a national newspaper as "Child-lovers win fight for role in Gay Lib". It sounds like a nightmarish plot-line from dystopian fiction. But this happened in the UK. The conference took place in Sheffield and the newspaper was the Guardian. The year was 1975.

PIE was formed in 1974. It campaigned for "children's sexuality". It wanted the government to axe or lower the age of consent. It offered support to adults "in legal difficulties concerning sexual acts with consenting 'under age' partners". The real aim was to normalise sex with children.

Journalist Christian Wolmar remembers their tactics. "They didn't emphasise that this was 50-year-old men wanting to have sex with five-year-olds. They presented it as the sexual liberation of children, that children should have the right to sex," he says.


In 1973, the American Psychiatric Association declassified homosexuality from its list of mental disorders after intense lobbying by homosexual-rights groups. At the time of the delisting, critics warned that it would eventually lead to acceptance of other types of sexual deviancy, including pedophilia.

Supporters of the "gay" lifestyle decried the comparison, insisting that no such thing would ever occur.

However, it appears the critics were right on the mark.

In 2003, a group of mental health professionals formed B4U-Act to begin a slow but inexorable push to redefine pedophilia as a sexual orientation in the same way homosexuality was in the 1970s.


Pedophilia advocacy groups, including the tax-exempt B4U-ACT founded by a convicted sex offender, are trying to "groom the public" into accepting adult-child sexual relationships, child trauma expert Dr. Joyanna Silberg told CNSNews.com.

"There is no legitimate scientific work that could justify the action of an adult with a child in a sexual relationship, because by definition a child cannot consent," said Silberg, executive vice president of the Leadership Council on Child Abuse and Interpersonal Violence.

Silberg, whose organisation has debunked studies claiming that sexual relations with adults do not harm children, added that “there is zero likeliness of [B4U-ACT] having an impact” on the sixth edition of the American Psychological Association’s (APA) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), which declassified homosexuality as a mental disorder.
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in 1973, and called any comparisons between the two as “completely ridiculous.”
Former APA president Dr. Paul Fink agreed. “Pedophilia is very, very hurtful to children,” he
told CNSNews.com. But because pro-pedophilia advocates keep “hammering away with
their point of view,” they could still “have a very strong effect on the people who are holding
back…because they know it’s a crime and it’s sick.”
But Family Research Council (FRC) senior fellow Peter Sprigg says that “there is no
question” that pedophilia advocacy groups “are taking a page from the playbook used by
homosexual activists in the 1970’s” “in an effort to ‘normalise’ their sexual preference.”
“It is actually shocking to realise that there are advocacy groups which actually support what
they call, euphemistically, ‘minor-attracted persons’ or ‘intergenerational sex,’” Sprigg said.
Matt Barber, vice president of Liberty Counsel Action, agreed, noting that political activism is “a tried-and-true tactic of kindred homosexual pressure groups.”
Barber, who attended a pro-pedophilia conference in which a sexologist “admitted that it was
tremendous political pressure” that pushed the APA to declassify homosexuality as a
mental disorder,” says that such advocacy efforts are “similar factions in the larger sexual
anarchy movement…Pedophiles are following the same tactics, but are being more subtle
about it.”

List_of_pedophile_and_pederast_advocacy_organizations#International
This is a worldwide list of known pederast and pedophile advocacy groups that promote
sexual contact between adults and children.
Pedophile advocacy organisations by country[edit]
International
• Ipce (formerly International Pedophile and Child Emancipation, changed its
name in 1998 to disassociate with the full name). Founded in the early 1990s; in 2005, it had
79 members in 20 countries.[1][2][3] The organisation is active and has websites available in
Australia
• Australian Man/Boy Love Association (AMBLA).[8]
• Australian Pedophile Support Group (APSG). Founded in 1980 or 1983
according to other sources. It was succeeded by the Boy Lovers and Zucchini Eaters
(BLAZE), another group dismantled by police.[9]
Belgium
• Dokumentatieidients Pedofilie.[10]
• Centre de recherche et d'information sur l'enfance et la sexualité (fr), 1982-1986.
Founded by Philippe Charpentier. The group published the magazine L'Espoir.[11]
• Fach Und Selbsthilfegruppe Paedophilie. Founded at the early 1970s.[10]
• Stiekm[10]
Canada
• Coalition Pédophile Québécois.[8]
• Fondation Nouvelle. Defunct.[1]
Denmark
• Danish Pedophile Association (DPA), 1985-2004. One of the most important
pedophile associations in Europe.[10][12]
France
• Groupe de Recherche pour une Enfance Différente (GRED), 1979-1987. The
group published the bulletin Le Petit Gredin (The Little Rogue).[10]
Germany
See also: 1970s and 1980s pedophilia debate (in German)
• AG-Pädo. Founded in 1991 by the association Arbeitsgruppe des
Bundesverbandes Homosexualität.[8][13]
• Aktion Freis Leben (AFL).[8]
• Arbeitskreis Päderastie-Pädophilie (APF). Active in the early 1980s.[10]
• Arbeitsgemeinschaft Humane Sexualität (de) (AHS).
• Arbeitsgemeinschaft "Schwule, Päderasten und Transsexuelle" ("working group
'gays, pederasts and transsexuals"). Faction of the German Green Party involved in pro-
pedophile activism.[14][15][16][17] See de:Pädophilie-Debatte (Bündnis 90/Die Grünen)
("Pedophilia Debate (Alliance ‘90/The Greens")

- Fach und Selbsthilfegruppe Paedophilie.[8]
- Indianerkommune. Active from the 1970s through the mid-1980s.[10] Self-defined as children's liberation commune, strongly identifying as pedophile, active late 1970s-late 1980s; according to some authors there are several independent local groups active in Germany today.[18]
  - Kanalratten. Offshoot of the Indianerkommune but for female pedophiles.[19]
  - Kinderruhling.[20]
  - Krumme 13 (K13), 1993-2003.[21][22]
  - Pädoguppe, Rat und Tat-Zentrum.[8]
  - Pädophile Selbsthilfe- und Emanzipationsgruppe München (SHG).[23] Founded in 1979.[24] Starting in 2003, police began raiding its members, resulting in more than half a million items of child pornography seized and multiple arrests.[25]

Italy
- Gruppo P. Founded in 1989 by Francesco Vallini.[27] Despite its legitimate status, Vallini spent three years in prison for running a criminal association. Despite this, the well-established gay magazine Babilonia continues to employ Vallini, and to support his ideas, although Gruppo P as such may be no more. The group published the bulletin Corriere del pedofili.[28]

Netherlands
- Enclave Kring. Founded in the 1950s by the psychologist Frits Bernard.[29]
- Jon. Founded in 1979 by the Dutch Society for Sexual Reform.[8]
- Party for Neighbourly Love, Freedom, and Diversity. 2006–2008. Dutch political party that advocated lowering the legal age of consent to 12 years old and legalizing child pornography.[30]
  - Vereniging Martijn. Founded in 1982. The most important pedophile association in Europe. On 27 June 2012 a Dutch court ruled that the group was illegal and ordered it to disband immediately.[31] However this decision was overturned by a higher court in April 2013. The judge motivated his or her decision by stating that the club did not commit crimes and had the right of freedom of association.[32] The group published the bulletin OK Magazine.[33]
  - Werkgruppe Pedophilie.[34]

Norway
- Norwegian Pedophile Group.[34]
- Amnesty for Child Sexuality.[34]

Switzerland
- Schweizerische Arbeitsgemeinschaft Pädophile.[10]

Sweden
- Pedofila Arbetsgrupper[35]

United Kingdom
- Paedophile Action for Liberation, 1974, merged with PIE in 1975.[10]
- Paedophile Information Exchange (PIE), 1974-1984. It was affiliated to the National Council for Civil Liberties, now known as Liberty, between 1978 and 1983, the year in which it was expelled.[36] It published the magazines Magpie, Understanding Paedophilia and Childhood Rights.[10][37]

United States
See also: FBI pedophile symbols (hosted by WikiLeaks)
- Childhood Sensuality Circle (CSC). Founded in 1971 in San Diego (California) by a student of Wilhelm Reich.[10]

Considered to be largely defunct.[38][39]
- Pedophile Information Society.[40]
- Project Truth. One of the organizations which was expelled from ILGA in 1994 as a pedophile organization.[3] Defunct.
- René Guyon Society (possibly fictitious). Its slogan was "sex before eight, or it's
http://www.rense.com/general71/legal.htm

Dutch pedophiles, launching a political party, to push for a cut in the legal age for sexual relations from 16 to 12 and the legalisation of child pornography and sex with animals, sparking widespread outrage.

The Netherlands, which already has liberal policies on soft drugs, prostitution and gay marriage, was shocked by the plan.

An opinion poll published Tuesday showed that 82 percent wanted the government to do something to stop the new party, while 67 percent said promoting pedophilia should be illegal.

"They make out as if they want more rights for children. But their position that children should be allowed sexual contact from age 12 is of course just in their own interest," anti-pedophile campaigner Ireen van Engelen told the AD daily.


More than 1,000 offenders - including some convicted of heinous crimes - have won human rights claims against the Government in the latest chapter of the prisoner voting scandal.

Some of the country's most dangerous criminals have won a human rights victory at Strasbourg over the Government's blanket ban on prisoners voting in elections.

Peter Chester is also among the prisoners bidding for compensation.

The 60-year-old is serving life for raping and strangling his seven-year-old niece, Donna Marie Gillbanks, in Blackpool in 1977. He murdered Donna in her bed before putting her back under the covers as though she were asleep and placing her favourite cuddly toy alongside her.

Chester has brought a series of legal actions over voting rights in the last five years.

Are there careers for pedophiles in the justice system? In positions where they can support pedophilia by “bending” justice? Positions where they can act in a manner of supporting co-pedophiles to continue in their lusts? Where they can exhibit dismissive attitudes towards exploitation crimes against the weakest and most innocent in society?


State trooper, Joseph T. Hutchens, who let Josh Duggar go in wake of alleged child molestation charges, later went to prison himself on child pornography charges.

He reportedly let Josh Duggar walk away after he was brought in by his father Jim Bob on alleged child molestation charges.

The officer, Hutchens, failed to follow up on these claims, it has been reported, and soon after he was in prison himself on child pornography charges.


A police officer with the Falfurrias Police Department has been arrested by the Texas Rangers for sexual assault of a minor. We are told the victim in this case is 16-years-old.

The officer arrested, Jonathan Diaz, had been on suspension since last week when the Texas Rangers began investigating this case. 3news has learned that another Falfurrias Police Officer is being investigated for his role in this case.


A veteran Miami-Dade police sergeant is back in jail facing charges on 12 counts of possessing child pornography.

James Edwards was arrested Thursday and taken to the Turner Guilford Knight Correctional Centre.

The 27-year veteran officer was already on house arrest from an open case from August. According to documents, Edwards was first arrested after he brought two teen brothers to
his home to do some landscaping. He allegedly admitted to giving the teens marijuana, the drug "Molly" and then began touching himself and masturbating in front of the minors.


ORANGE COUNTY, Fla. — A former Orange County deputy will spend the rest of his life in prison after he was convicted of sexually abusing a teenage boy. Mercado was convicted Thursday night of having sex with a young boy. In 2008, a man came forward saying that Mercado had sexually abused him while the then-young boy visited him at his home in 1992. Mercado was a school resource officer when he met the child. The man claimed he had been abused up until he was a teenager.

“This guy is right where he needs to be after his conviction. As law enforcement officers or former law enforcement officers we are not above the law,” said Demings.


“I cannot ignore the statements of (the victim) and the jurors who made findings,” Robison said before sinking the plea agreement. The latter half of the judge’s statement was a reference to Eddy’s second trial in 2010, when he was convicted of eight child sex-assault related felonies. Those convictions were wiped out last year when Robison ordered a new trial because of juror misconduct.


Hexamer’s lawyer, Gary Botting, said police should not have been allowed to access his client’s computer, which allegedly contained thousands of images of child porn. The sex assaults started in 1995 and ended in 2009, after Hexamer attacked a six-year-old girl in Surrey. He threatened to stab the little girl with a knife before forcing her 12-year-old brother and his 15-year-old friend to lay on the ground in the woods and look away while he sexually assaulted her.

http://www.alternet.org/news-amp-politics/judge-reduces-sentence-child-rapist-says-he-didnt-intend-harm-3-year-old-he

Rojano, who was convicted of lascivious acts with a child and of raping his toddler relative in early December, was accused of assaulting the young girl when she wandered into his garage while he was playing video games last June. He became sexually aroused upon seeing the three-year-old child, pulled down her pants and sodomised her. The girl’s mother found the garage door locked when she went to look for her daughter, and the district attorney’s office claims Rojano covered the child’s mouth to prevent her from screaming during the assault. He eventually let her out of the garage, but the girl’s mother did not know of the assault until her child complained of pain.

But here’s what Judge Kelly had to say about Rojano’s actions:

[In] looking at the facts of Mr. Rojano’s case, the manner in which this offence was committed is not typical of a predatory, violent brutal sodomy of a child case. Mr. Rojano did not seek out or stalk [the victim]. He was playing video games and she wandered into the garage. He became sexually aroused but did not appear to consciously intend to harm [the victim] when he sexually assaulted her.


Serial rapists terrorised Cleveland's women and children in 1990s, while police set cases aside

Spurred by media reports of thousands of untested rape kits across the state, Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine issued an open call in 2011 for law enforcement agencies to send the decades-old evidence to state labs for testing. Results began rolling in late last year.
Among the most stunning revelations from the testing is that at least 12 serial rapists, responsible for as many as 50 attacks were on the prowl in Cleveland in the early 1990s. But because some cases were ignored or quickly abandoned, more women and children were raped.


Police: Retired Cop Serial Rapist Had Up to 50 Child, Adult Victims.
“Hundreds” of photos, some believed to be of victims as young as 13, were seized in four raids at former Michigan police sergeant’s homes.
Lawrence B. Woods, 66, a retired police sergeant raped upwards of 50 women and children while on duty from 1996-2000, authorities in the southeast Michigan city of Flint alleged at a news conference Friday after recovering “hundreds” of photos of victims snapped on police property and other locations.
Six of the charges were for the alleged rape of children under the age of 13, and some of them were 30-year-old, WJR and WEYI-TV report. Police believe Woods took the photos, which were date stamped, at his homes, in police vehicles, at the police station, and at a hotel.

http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2015/05/20/argentine-judges-dismiss-rape-of-6-year-old-because-child-is-gay.html

Argentine Judges dismiss rape of 6-year-old saying child has been sexually abused before and is ‘gay’ because he is showing homosexual tendencies.
This has also revealed a pair of judges with a long record of reducing sentences for sexual and gender-based crimes, including justifying a pastor’s rape of two teenage girls because they belonged to a lower economic class.


NEW DELHI: There was outrage in India today over the rape of a five-year-old girl in Delhi, who is now in critical condition in hospital.
The Delhi Police has suspended two officers for allegedly misbehaving with her father, who says he was offered Rs.2000 by cops to buy his silence


On July 14, a six-year-old girl went missing in Begumpur.
Two days later, the Begumpur police arrested 24-year-old Ravinder Kumar for the child’s rape and murder. During the course of the interrogation, the police claims Kumar confessed to sexually assaulting and killing at least 15 other children in the past six years.
The confession made headlines. When questions were raised over why the police had taken so long to nab Kumar, a disturbing fact emerged: This was not the first time Kumar had been arrested.
In June 2014, a six-year-old boy had been sexually assaulted and left to die in Begumpur. He survived. His case led the police to Kumar. But 11 months later, on May 20, 2015, Kumar was released on bail.
Why had the criminal justice system set free a man accused of raping a child? Who is responsible for the crimes that followed his release?


Three police forces repeatedly ignored warnings that Ian Watkins was a dangerous pedophile.
Serious allegations dating back to 2008 were not investigated.

By the time he was charged six police forces had been flooded with tip-offs from appalled fans, former girlfriends and even Crimestoppers informants.
One former lover told four different forces about Watkins eight times over four years. She passed on the names, ages and addresses of the toddlers he would go on to subject to horrific torture.
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But instead of probing the claims, officers warned her she could be charged with harassment.
Another lover, Joanne Mjadzelics, said the police failings led to the singer becoming increasingly brazen and believing he was invincible. The 36-year-old was caught by chance – officers arrested him for drug offences last December and found a video of him attacking a baby. Even then he was twice granted bail before being charged.

FULTON COUNTY, GA (CBS46) - A former Fulton County police officer, Michael Wilson, 32, was sentenced to serve 25 years in prison.
Wilson was arrested in November 2010, accused of molesting a 12-year-old girl. According to Wilson's arrest report, he assaulted the child more than once, and held her against her will.

MIAMI - A former Miami police officer convicted of child molestation and downloading child pornography was sentenced to 25 years in prison Thursday.
Juan Roman, 57, was arrested last August after police raided his Biscayne Bay home, where they found numerous stashes of child pornography, including a video of him fondling a 6-year-old girl in his home. Police said Roman also has a Batman fetish and often dressed up as the caped crusader and even had a tattoo of the superhero. They said he called the hard drive that contained more than 500 X-rated videos of young boys and girls the "batcave."

Andrew Demers Jr., a 26-year veteran twice named Trooper of the Year, pleads guilty to sexual contact
One of the mostly highly decorated police officers in Maine’s history was sentenced Tuesday for four years in prison for sexually assaulting a 4-year-old child.

http://countercurrentnews.com/2015/09/convicted-prosecutor-tom-plea/
Protesters have taken to the streets outside of the court house in San Angelo, Texas after a local prosecutor's plea deal let a convicted child rapist (Thomas Boden) home without serving any jail time, or even requiring him to register as a sex offender.
Brittany Lynn Rosser explained that her boyfriend, Thomas Boden, 29, was drunk and apparently suicidal and homicidal after raping her daughter. She got home, her 2 year old daughter came out screaming, “My butt, my butt!” So Rosser, “laid her down and opened her diaper to find an enormous amount of blood and a clear vaginal tear.” Then, she recalls, she “asked Annabelle what happened and she said, ‘Tom hurt me with his hand.’ I ran outside screaming and crying, pushed past several cops and asked Thomas if he touched my daughter. He didn’t say a word, but had a slight grin on his face.” Assistant District Attorney Jason Ferguson issued a statement in response to protests that erupted from members of the community, saying that prosecutors did what was in “the best interest of the child”.

http://https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AcU10tWk5Cw
Insanely light (1 year jail & 5 years probation) sentence for confessed 100 count rapist of a 6 year old child. A dangerously ill pedophile who justifies his behaviour saying the child was curious about sex. (00:4:06)

On Friday, Oct. 23, the case against Fisher—who was charged with two felonies for forcible
sodomy of a child—was dismissed by Cole County Circuit Judge Patricia Joyce. She agreed with Fisher’s defence attorney that the confessed rapist’s Constitutional right to a speedy trial had been violated, and after six years of legal proceedings, he walked free.

“I have never in all my life seen such a horrible decision by a judge,” said Ben Winfrey, Prosecuting Attorney for Miller County. Fisher had pleaded guilty to committing two counts of forcible sodomy against his own 5-month-old infant daughter on Oct. 27, 2009, while the child was left in his care at their Brumley-area home. The child was transferred for emergency treatment to the University of Missouri-Columbia Hospital due to internal injuries resulting from the sexual assault, and an apparent skull fracture and broken bones.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/02/19/judge-g-todd-baugh-blaming-rape-victim_n_4814627.html

Judge G. Todd Baugh admits Fault After Blaming Victim In Child Rape, saying she looks older than her age of 14 years. But he defends lenient sentencing of one month prison, for school teacher rapist. Saying some will find the sentence lenient and some others will see it as harsh. The 14 year old rape victim committed suicide.


Rotherham’s Labour led council combined with a staggering culture of denial allowed more than 1,400 vulnerable girls to be routinely abused by gangs of Asian men, a withering report has concluded.

Children as young as nine were groomed, trafficked and raped by members of the town’s Pakistani community, Councillors turned a blind eye to the appalling abuse for 16 years.

A damning report, written by former Victims’ Commissioner, Louise Casey, has laid bare the true extent of the council’s failings and accused those in charge of deliberately trying to cover up scandal and silence whistle-blowers.

The report also criticised the role of the police in the scandal, stating that there were “numerous occasions when girls were not believed”. The report went on: “They were threatened with wasting police time, they were told they had consented to sex and, on occasion, they were arrested at the scene of a crime, rather than the perpetrators.”

Her report, published in August revealed how the victims were routinely let down by those who were charged with safeguarding their welfare.


Hundreds of possible suspects, including two serving or former Rotherham councillors, have been identified so far by an investigation into child sexual abuse in the town, the National Crime Agency has said.

http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/real-life-stories/kidnapped-daughters-killer---only-3728024

For three long decades Andre Bamberski waged a tireless campaign to win justice for the daughter he was sure had been murdered. Pretty, sports-mad Kalinka, 14, was found dead in suspicious circumstances in 1982.

But a bungled investigation, disputed post mortem and conflicting medical evidence left Andre convinced she had been drugged, raped and murdered by the stepfather, suave cardiologist Dr Dieter Krombach.

Krombach told investigators that the evening before he had injected his stepdaughter with iron and cobalt to treat anaemia and given her a sleeping tablet.

But a post mortem later found evidence that the teenager had been raped and had choked on her own vomit while she was unconscious.

Despite this, Krombach, a former diplomat with friends in high places, was never formally interviewed. German prosecutors decided Kalinka’s death was an accident and closed the case.

Krombach was convicted of drugging and raping a 16-year-old female patient. Five other patients came forward to make complaints of rape against him, but their testimonies were rejected owing to a lack of forensic evidence.
They included two sisters whose mother had been befriended by Krombach. He took them on holiday to London and the south of France where, they alleged, he gave them injections of iron and cobalt and raped them. One was just 14. For raping the 16-year-old girl Krombach was given a two-year suspended sentence struck-off. But in 2006 he was found to be practising without a medical licence. He was prosecuted and served 18 months in jail. French authorities eventually issued a European arrest warrant for Krombach but the German authorities continued to block it. Kalinka's grey-haired paternal dad hired two men to kidnap Krombach from Germany and take him across the border into France. They dumped him – bound, gagged and bleeding – outside a prosecutor’s office in the town of Mulhouse, where police found him. In 2011, Krombach, 75, was convicted and jailed for 15 years for administering the injection which killed his stepdaughter.

A woman former lay judge in a youth court in Germany is thought to have headed a child abuse ring that murdered a five-year-old boy. Police have arrested 12 men and women thought to have abused the dead boy, his friend, now aged seven, and an even younger girl and possibly other children.

The abuse took place in the back room of a pub run by the former judge, named only as Christa W. She had legal custody of Pascal's friend when he was six, granted by a former colleague at the Youth Ministry who is now also under investigation.

He was removed from her care after complaining that she, her partner and his own mother and her boyfriend sexually abused him.

On Tuesday, Nui was sentenced for sodomising a seven-year-old boy on Phu Pha Sing mountain in Nong Ya Plong sub-district of Wang Saphung district, in the northeastern province of Loei, on Nov 10, 2013.

He lured the boy away from a fair at Wat Sri Udomwong to the mountain, where he abused and murdered him. His confession after his later arrest led officials to the body.

In March last year, the same man was sentenced to life imprisonment for the rape and murder of a 6-year-old girl known as Nong Cartoon. He lured her from her parents' pickup truck, where she had been left...

His first victim was a seven-year-old girl who went to a temple fair alone in Puai Noi on Jan 18, 2008. He tried unsuccessfully to rape her, then to strangle her. The girl, however, survived, and identified him following his arrest. He served three years and eight months in prison in Phn district of Khon Kaen and was released on Aug 23, 2012.

He told police he had attacked about 10 children, and killed four of them.

Andrew Timothy Davies was found guilty by jury verdict in June of the rape and abduction of two girls in a Nagambie primary school last year. It was the fourth time that Davies, 34, formerly of Ardeer, had been convicted of sex offences in schools and kindergartens.

Darren Reynolds, 45, of Wagtail Drive, Heybridge, had asked the schoolgirl: "Do you like it darling?" while sitting on bench in Grange Hill, Coggeshall, with his penis exposed. Yet police traced him after the "courageous" girl filmed his car registration plate, before singling him out in a police identity parade.

At his Chelmsford Crown Court sentencing hearing on Thursday, Patricia Lynch QC told
Reynolds has **20 previous convictions for 61 offences**.

**Are those that stand up/speak out for the victims of child sex abuse been blocked/attacked/punished?**


Sergeant 1st Class Charles Martland, the Green Beret was separated involuntarily from the U.S. Army for kicking and body slamming an Afghan police commander he describes as a "brutal child rapist," began telling his side of the story Monday.

Martland is **under a gag order imposed by the Pentagon**, but at the request of Rep. Duncan Hunter, R-Calif, he wrote a statement. The Pentagon denies that telling soldiers to look the other way is **official practice**.

Martland and former Captain Daniel Quinn were disciplined by the Army. They say they had been encouraged by higher-ups that there was nothing to do about such horrific acts.

Quinn and Martland were told by a young Afghan boy and his mother, through an Afghan interpreter, that the boy had been tied to a post at the home of Afghan Local Police commander Abdul Rahman and raped repeatedly for up to two weeks. When his mother tried to stop the attacks, Rahman's brother beat her. Quinn says he verified the story with other ALP commanders from neighbouring villages. Then they invited Rahman to the camp.

"**After the child rapist laughed it off and referenced that it was only a boy**, Captain Quinn picked him up and threw him," Martland writes. "I continued to body slam him and throw him for fifty meters until he was outside the camp," Martland writes. "He was never knocked out, and he ran away from our camp."

http://ireport.cnn.com/docs/DOC-861166

**Testimony: Life was wonderful until the Council, hired a police chief (I was brand new) who was accused in a book, "The Franklin Cover-Up" written by former Nebraska state senator, John W. DeCamp of all kinds of crimes against children. During the same time, a mother asked for my help to get a copy of a police report of the complaint that she had filed numerous times on behalf of her son who had been molested by the executive director of an after school program. It took me two years to get her a copy of the report. The executive director moved on to manager one of the finest restaurants in Wilmington and continues to volunteer with children;**

A well-known business woman was later convicted of 55 counts of sexual harassment and many of her victims were children. **She served little or no jail time.** I continued to seek justice for the twelve year old victim and turned to the local sheriff for help. The sheriff, who had served the county for fourteen years, usually running unopposed, promptly resigned his position and moved to another city.

I was left in financial ruin with a campaign of character assassination, a false arrest, and the near destruction of the careers of both of my children: both attorneys. The remainder of my story can best be viewed on my two websites: www.childlinehelpline.com and www.oneagieswingsfearnoevil.com


In early September 2014, Ms. Draper informed Barnet police of the molestation testimony of her children and provided them with the video-taped evidence. A police medical examination was ordered that confirmed that the children had been sexually abused, with serious injuries to the inside of rectum area noted. A hair sample analysis was also conducted to determine if the children had ingested any drugs. On September 11th Gabriel and Alisa were taken into protective custody and six days later Ms. Draper was informed that both children had retracted their allegations against their abusers (incl. their father). Barnet police then closed the case.

It appears that the police inquiry concluded that the allegations were fabricated and that the children had probably been coached by Mr. Christie (the man heard in the videos).

Some investigation has been conducted into proving or disproving, however most appear to be **slanted towards merely disproving the offences occurred [...]**

**Other named suspects who are professionals were not formally interviewed.**
The two children are now in the custody of child welfare. Their mother is allowed to see them once every two weeks while their father is allowed to see them once a week.

In 2009, Sacks, [a mother who lost custody of her children after reporting child molestation by the father] appealed her case and wrote a 112-page Supreme Court petition that was denied in 2011. “I tried everything I could to fight for my children,” Sacks said. [The alleged molester were given full custody of their two daughters and Sacks only 1 hour visitation per month.]

When a family court judge gave California mother Cindy Dumas only supervised visits with her children, she took her fight to the streets. “I told the judge I would continue protesting every week outside family court if she didn’t let me have my kids back unsupervised,” said Dumas. “After one year of that, (the judge) relented and made the deal for me to stop going public, so I stopped and got my kids for unsupervised visits. But still I had no legal custody.”

A New York mother, ordered almost eight years ago to have no contact with her then-10-year-old daughter after making a report of alleged abuse, told me she is too afraid of her ex-husband and the U.S. family court system to speak publicly about her case. “What the average American doesn’t understand is that these children are hostages.”

This is the fifth in a series of articles for Daily Kos about the treatment of molested and abused children in the U.S. family court system. M.C. Moewe is a former criminal justice and investigative reporter for several newspapers with a B.A. in journalism from the University of North Texas. Email m AT moewe.com or use this link.

http://www.humanrightseurope.org/2012/07/legal-victory-for-doctor-forced-to-take-drugs/
Judges today ruled that Finland’s decision to confine X, a paediatrician, to a mental health hospital where she was injected forcibly with drugs breached human rights laws.
The case concerned her confinement in the context of criminal proceedings against her for aiding and abetting a mother to kidnap her daughter, suspected of being sexually abused by her father. The child was taken into public care in June 2000; the mother had retained the right to spend time with her daughter. Following a health check-up in mid-December 2000 at X’s practice, the mother and daughter left but the girl did not return to the family support centre where she was expected. An in-house doctor concluded that X, aiding the mother, suffered from a delusional disorder and that she met the criteria for involuntary confinement. As of March 2005, the hospital started forcibly injecting X with Zyprexa and then Risperdal Consta.

Investigators would have found numerous inappropriate photos of a 13-year-old girl posted on the walls of her math teacher’s office, but administrators at her Douglas County school never called them. At least two students and their parents warned school officials about an inappropriate relationship between the teacher and his student. But instead of calling law enforcement or child welfare services — as required under a state law to help prevent child abuse — two former administrators at Rocky Heights Middle School punished the children who reported the abuse, and failed to trigger an investigation that could have stopped the 30-year-old from preying on the girl for months before he raped her for the first time, according to a lawsuit filed by the girl’s parents.

Last month the Australian government, with the support of the opposition, passed the Border Force Protection Act through both houses of Parliament. It will come into effect on July 1. If the act defines you as an "entrusted person," you might be facing jail for up to two years if you reveal anything about what happens in Australia’s immigration detention centres to anybody else. That’s doctors, nurses, psychologists, teachers, counsellors, security staff,
maintenance workers, or anyone who has signed a government contract. Outside of detention centres, they're legally obligated to report child abuse. As of July 1, they can't do the same with abuse witnessed on the inside. Refugee advocates and human rights lawyers say the legislation is a veiled attempt to silence whistleblowers from revealing human rights violations inside Australia's detention centres. And the mounting evidence of such violations makes this legislation all the more disturbing. In October last year, Australia's Immigration Department ordered ten workers from Save The Children to leave Nauru's detention centre after they alleged sexual abuse against women and children.

What do pedophiles do? How do they operate? What do pedophiles want? What do pedophiles want to legalise? Who are pedophiles? The following articles might provide a clearer picture.

A shocked thief dumped three tapes, which allegedly contained footage of 10-year-old children being abused, underneath a car in the southern Spanish city of Jaén. He then phoned in an anonymous tip to police. Officers found the haul, which included an attached note. "I have had the misfortune to have these tapes fall into my hands and feel obliged to submit them so you can do your work and put this **** in jail for life," it read. The alleged pedophile's address was also written down. The pedophile suspect had reported being burgled nine days earlier. He listed several appliances as being stolen, but did not make a statement about the missing tapes. Investigating officers say they have traced four of his alleged victims. They include a 16-year-old boy who had been abused for more than six years.

http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/crime/celebrated-irish-chef-convicted-over-child-pornography-125349.html
Tim Allen began the internationally renowned Ballymaloe Cookery School in Co Cork with his wife, Darina. He was caught in the same police inquiry that led to the arrest of the rock star Pete Townshend in Britain. Middleton District Court in Co Cork was told that police found hundreds of images of child pornography on three of Allen's computers, including one in the cookery school. After he pleaded guilty, the judge ordered him to do 240 hours of community service and pay a fine of €40,000 (£27,000) to a charity for street children in India.

Childcare worker exposed as the boss of an international pedophile ring after a group member was caught overseas...and says he wants to work with kids AGAIN. Former Families SA employee Shannon McCoole, 32, faced court in Adelaide on Friday over his role in creating a website contained more than 50,000 images of child porn he believed was 'helping' other pedophiles. He had 100,000 still images and 600 video files of child exploitation material.

A California beauty queen, Meghan Breanna Alt, 25 was busted for making child pornography with a 4-year-old relative and then selling the sexually explicit images to a U.S. Marine, federal officials said Thursday. Alt allegedly advertised her services online, often with her children present, officials said.

Piano teacher arrested for child abuse on baby. The videos displayed Felten breast feeding an infant and rubbing oil on herself and the child along with other sexual acts, etc.," Wisconsin's Crawford County Sheriff's Office said in a release Tuesday.


Former day care worker, Christina Regusters, 19, was convicted of child rape. Regusters, dressed in Muslim garb, posed as the 5-year-old's mother as she signed the child out of Bryant Elementary School in the Cobbs Creek section of the city on Jan. 14, 2013. She was accused of leading the girl to a nearby home, putting her in a laundry bag and carrying her up into her bedroom. There, prosecutors say, Regusters blindfolded and stripped the girl, put her under a bed and sexually abused her with a sharp object. The entire ordeal lasted 19 hours, prosecutors say. The girl was then dumped in an Upper Darby, Pennsylvania, playground wearing only a T-shirt. She was later found by a passer-by. The girl had been severely injured in the attack, and doctors had to give her a temporary colostomy to let her body heal. Regusters' DNA was found on the girl's shirt, and images of child porn were found on the computer in Christina Regusters's bedroom, detectives testified.


A former restaurant cook, Daniel Wine, 47, is on trial, accused of sexually assaulting only one of the girls, allegedly with assistance from his former wife, Jessica Wine, 28, who is serving a five-year prison sentence for her crimes. He faces more trials for the allegations involving the other girls. On Friday, the fourth and final day of his trial, Daniel Wine testified to a Superior Court jury in Rockville that he did not sexually assault any children. Charges against him includes sexual intercourse and oral sex with the victim when she was between the ages of 7 and 12. But, Jessica Wine pleaded guilty to the risk of injury to a minor and fourth-degree sexual assault and two counts of conspiracy.


Chemist, Jeremy Oketch, 30, raped two-year-old girl and filmed his sickening abuse of the toddler on a camcorder. The girl's foster mother had previously told the court the girl now suffers 'extended periods of crying and screaming'.

http://www.mercurynews.com/peninsula/ci_25022805/man-who-impregnated-12-year-old-daughter-goes

Parent Manuel Jacinto's crimes against his daughter were revealed in December 2007, when he took her to San Mateo Medical Center to terminate her pregnancy. Hospital officials notified police. Jacinto told officers that a 12-year-old boy named "Giovanni" was the father and when they spoke with his daughter she initially told the same story. But the girl appeared "apprehensive," so police requested a DNA sample from Jacinto, according to prosecutors. The next day Jacinto fled to Guatemala without providing a sample and the daughter eventually disclosed to police that her father had been having sex with her.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BBFPHEhK1iA

Russian boy, abused (by father). actual child testimony— (00:3:25)


TULSA, Oklahoma - A 1-year-old girl has died from her injuries in what Tulsa police call one of the worst child sex abuse cases they've ever seen. Officers arrested the boyfriend of the girl's mother early Sunday morning. Cody Alan
Johnson, 30, initially was booked into the Tulsa jail Sunday on complaints of child physical abuse and child sexual abuse, both after former felony conviction. By evening, a first-degree murder complaint was added against him. Police said 16-month-old Sawyer Jefferson was unconscious when she was brought into the emergency room Saturday night. She never regained consciousness. Johnson made up a story to go with the toddler’s injuries, officers said. The only explanation given was that the child “slipped on her pyjamas and fell on a toy,” the arresting officer said.


BENTON COUNTY (KFSM) – The death of a 6-year-old boy in Bella Vista was caused by injuries related to rape and chronic child abuse, according to the Benton County prosecutor. Maurice Isaiah Torres’ biological parents, Mauricio Alejandro Torres, 45, and Cathy Lynn Torres, 43, were arrested Monday (April 6) and are facing charges of capital murder, rape and first-degree battery in connection to his death, Prosecutor Nathan Smith said.


A Southern California father was charged Tuesday with sexually abusing and killing his 19-day-old daughter, whose body was found in the cab of a pickup truck, prosecutors said. Matthew Brendan Warner, 30, showed no emotion during a court hearing where he was charged with one count each of murder, assault on a child causing death, torture, oral copulation or sexual penetration with a child 10 years or younger and aggravated sexual assault of a child.

Last Friday, Matthew Warner and his wife reported that their infant daughter, Ellorah Rose Warner, had disappeared from their home in Newhall. About 12 hours later, deputies announced they’d arrested Warner after he led them to her body inside a parked pickup truck.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fnvLwsuCR4M
Parents Plan Sexual Abuse - Most vile story ever. [Disturbing] (00:4:07)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PcilYYiWO2Yo
8-month-old baby girl raped by mother’s boyfriend (00:2:14)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pAUkt4Qt-bU
Reginald Davis Rapes His 8-day-old daughter (00:2:47)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aMTc-lzHWqw
Greensburg Man charged with raping 5-month-old (00:2:08)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LObiMxW-BIU
Police: Girl, 5 raped at McDonald’s playground(00:1:47)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rJuRWkyN4IE
5 year old Shaniya Davis Raped and Murdered Same Day (00:4:34)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rBZfxfg14w
4-month-old dies after mother invites Boyfriend to Rape child (00:2:37)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YUYWvPJi00U
Woman rapes Toddlers at Day Care (00:1:50)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CaCqZlGJaeg
12Yr Old Girl Pregnant By 74 Yr Old Man
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jswajq__NXM
Dad Gets 10 Yr Old Girl Pregnant (00:2:28)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V9ZKts1jaaE
10 Year old Gives Birth After Being raped (00:1:21)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EQl0IQS2JGM
Yemen, married 12 year old girls dies giving birth (00:3:11)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ljo0OEJMmFA
Ohio Mother sexually assaults 10-month-old son. Sent recording of abuse to boyfriend. (00:2:38)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HC2E8noNVUk
Ariel Castro kidnapped 3 women, ages 14, 16 and 21 (thinking she is 13 years old). He kept them locked up and subjected them to rape, assault and torture for 11 years. His speech in court included him saying, “I am not a monster. I did not prey on these women. I just acted on my sexual instinct.” (00:6:25)

Pennsylvania children as young as 1 year old were subject to rape and BB gun shots by their mothers and a group of men, an investigation revealed. One of the mothers performed a sexual act on the 2-year-old boy and the children were forced to watch the adults engage in sex acts with each other. In one instance, the three male defendants held down one of the mothers and forced the 2-year-old’s genitals into her mouth. The children were subject to assault including punches and slaps and were thrown over a banister and shot with the guns.

One of the mothers performed a sexual act on the 2-year-old boy and the children were forced to watch the adults engage in sex acts with each other. In one instance, the three male defendants held down one of the mothers and forced the 2-year-old's genitals into her mouth. The children were subject to assault including punches and slaps and were thrown over a banister and shot with the guns.

Shakia Jackson, 31; Danielle Hammond, 22; Mark Isom, 22; Daryl Carter, 24; William Wade, 23; are among those charged in the case, Philly.com reported on Friday. One child was 1 year old, who belonged to Hammond. The 2-year-old and the three other children belonged to Jackson. All five of the victims were under 12 years old.

A 35-year-old woman from Salem, Essex County in Massachusetts has been charged with 20 counts of rape and sodomy of a 11-year-old boy.

Sarah Beth Hopkins is alleged to have sexually abused an unidentified son of a family friend for eight-months. When confronted, Hopkins also admitted to the sexual abuse. However, Hopkins defended herself saying that she never forced the child, nor did she offer him anything in return of the sex. Police are now worried that Hopkins may have victimised other children from the neighbourhood.

A 35-year-old woman from Salem, Essex County in Massachusetts has been charged with 20 counts of rape and sodomy of a 11-year-old boy.

Sarah Beth Hopkins is alleged to have sexually abused an unidentified son of a family friend for eight-months. When confronted, Hopkins also admitted to the sexual abuse. However, Hopkins defended herself saying that she never forced the child, nor did she offer him anything in return of the sex. Police are now worried that Hopkins may have victimised other children from the neighbourhood.

Criminal defence attorney Michael Edward Harssema has been charged with sexual assault of a child. He has been practicing law in Texas since 1999, according to the State Bar of Texas website. According to a police report obtained by Channel 11, the girl met the attorney who was representing her mother, in the summer of 2013. The lawyer then asked the mother if he could speak to the girl about the case. As they made their way back to the girl's home in McKinney, Harssema stopped by a 7-Eleven and bought a beer for himself and a Four Loko drink — a flavored malt alcoholic beverage for her. According to police, the man stopped the car in a neighbourhood in Allen and ignored repeated calls from the girl’s mother. He then raped the girl in the back seat, according to police documents. He took her home in the morning and told his client that his car broke down and his phone was dead.

Lisa Biron, a Manchester, N.H., lawyer associated with the Christian litigation group, Alliance Defending Freedom, was arrested by FBI agents on Friday morning on charges of transportation with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity, possession of child pornography and five counts of sexual exploitation of children, according to the Concord Monitor.

Kentucky State Police arrested Timothy Madden, 38, of Scottsville, on Friday and charged him with murder, kidnapping, first-degree rape and first-degree sodomy. The arrest came six days after Gabriella Doolin, 7, disappeared during a football game and was found dead less than a half-hour later in a creek.

Cleveland, Texas– Over a dozen people, ranging from 16 to 27 have been arrested in alleged connection to the horrific gang rape of an 11-year-old girl. The girl told authorities that at least 20 people assaulted her, and the attack was also captured on video. A 19-year-old, Timothy Ellis, lured her into an abandoned trailer where she was ambushed and sexually assaulted by numerous teenagers and men.

While on death row Carl Panzram, while awaiting execution, wrote a detailed summary of his crimes and nihilistic philosophy. It began with a straightforward statement: “In my lifetime I have murdered 21 human beings, I have committed thousands of burglaries, robberies, larcenies, arsons and, last but not least, I have committed sodomy on more than 1,000 male human beings. For all these things I am not in the least bit sorry.”

An Auburn man raided by the FBI after bragging online about raping children/toddlers/infants – one boy made a “hell of a mess,” he’s alleged to have joked – now faces child pornography charges. Federal prosecutors contend Hank Hirst's child pornography collection held nearly 3,000 images and 447 videos he apparently obtained online. The FBI agent noted a book titled “How to practice child love” was found in Hirst's bedroom. According to charging papers, it served as a pedophile’s guide to child molestation. Federal prosecutors claim those disturbing boasts were in line with Hirst’s video collection, which included recordings of grown men raping crying children and small children bleeding from sexual assaults.

http://theavtimes.com/2014/02/28/ex-soccer-coach-found-guilty-on-all-counts/
Valenti, an AYSO coach who used numerous aliases, was convicted of molesting 14 boys, six of whom were soccer players he coached, and a young girl. Valenti’s victims ranged from 8 to 12 years old at the time of the crimes, and the incidents occurred between 1995 and 2012. Many of the male victims’ stories were similar. They were drawn to Valenti because he lavished them with gifts and took them on trips; they often spent nights at Valenti’s home; and Valenti showered them with hugs and kisses, which then progressed to something more. The disturbing testimony on the sexual abuse ranged from accounts of genital fondling to attempted anal rape. Valenti is a classic pedophile, a master manipulator, and a liar who used his position as a youth soccer coach to gain the trust of single moms, so that he could prey on their children, Hatami told the jury during closing statements.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jerry_Sandusky
Gerald Arthur "Jerry" Sandusky (born January 26, 1944) is a convicted serial child molester and retired American football coach. In 1977, Sandusky founded The Second Mile, a non-profit charity, serving Pennsylvania underprivileged and at-risk youths. After Sandusky retired as assistant coach at Penn State, he continued working with The Second Mile, maintaining an office at Penn State until 2011. In 2011, following a two-year grand jury investigation, Sandusky was arrested and charged with 52 counts of sexual abuse of young boys over a 15-year period from 1994 to 2009. He met his molestation victims through his charity organisation, The Second Mile, who were children participating in the organisation, and several of them testified against Sandusky in his sexual abuse trial. Sandusky and his wife Dorothy "Dottie" (née Gross) in 1966, have also served as foster parents.

'At bedtime his ritual began': Jerry Sandusky’s adopted son opens up to Oprah about the seven years of horrific sexual abuse he suffered that started when he was just eight Matt Sandusky, one of six children adopted by Jerry and Dottie Sandusky.
According to Matthew, his father had all the children sleep in either underwear or mesh shorts to 'let their bodies breath.' As the family prepared to turn in, Jerry would enter his bedroom and 'blow raspberries' on his stomach, then move his hand 'south', rubbing up against his genitals.

The bedtime ritual often involved Sandusky tickling the boy, pulling him off the bed and rolling around on the floor with him.

"He would just be laying on me, he would be aroused," Matthew recalled.

"Then, as I now know, it's oral sex – he's doing it to you," Matt said, adding, 'it's very confusing to you because…you have a reaction.'

A U.K. pedophile gang has been caught redhanded grooming entire families so they could rape and abuse their young sons and then broadcast the attacks online. John Denham, 49, and Matthew Stansfield, 34, were convicted on Wednesday of conspiracy to abuse children under the age of 13. Five of their co-conspirators have already plead guilty to a slew of similar charges. They have been identified as Robin Hollyson, 30, Christopher Knight, 35, Adam Toms, 33, David Harsley, 51, and Matthew Lisk, 32. The group of seven faced a total of 30 charges including rape of a child, conspiracy to rape a child, sexual activity with a child and administering a substance with intent. Police have identified three victims – a baby, a toddler, and a pre-schooler – but they believe there are likely many more. A member of a pedophile ring tried to recruit people from around the world to join him as he drugged and raped babies, a court heard. A gang of seven men travelled the UK raping pre-school children and babies as fellow sex monsters watched live on camera, a jury heard. They had access to one baby who was raped and sexually abused by three of them over nine months while others watched via live internet feeds, the jury was told.

Europol targeted an online network with its server based in the Netherlands. At its height, the network had almost 70,000 members worldwide. It has since been taken down. The suspects were members of an online forum, boylover.net, which promoted sex between adults and young boys, the policing agency said. Police in Britain first detected the online forum. The website was well camouflaged and even carried warnings against child pornography.

"It was designed not to be illegal," Peter Davies of Britain's Child Exploitation and Online Protection Centre told the press conference. The website operated as a forum where members connected without committing an offence. Camouflaged by a veil of legitimacy.

81 arrested in Spain child pornography sweep.

MADRID, Oct. 17 (UPI) -- Spanish law enforcement arrested 81 men and boys across the country in a widespread crackdown on Internet child pornography. Among those arrested were two minors and two who are considered mentally disabled, the national police said. Thousands of images and videos were seized, including some that were "extremely harsh" with content featuring minors and "sadism or bestiality," police said.

As 2015 begins, high-profile cases involving accusations of pedophilia in the highest ranks of political powers are making headlines on both side of the Atlantic.

Partial List of Convicted British Pedophile Politicians.

June 2008 - Timothy Edmeades, 33, Labour Party super activist and events organiser to Labour Lord Mayor abused his position of trust when he sexually assaulted the two children on swimming trips.

October 2011 - A councillor has narrowly avoided jail after he admitted to a doctor that he had been looking at child abuse images. John Butcher had 400 images of child abuse on the computers – 48 of these were at level four, one level below the very worst kind of images.

May 2005 - Liam Temple – Labour Councillor and former Mayor (Halton/Cheshire), convicted of Inciting a child under 16 to commit an act of gross indecency. He was jailed for six months at Chester Crown Court for offering a 12-year-old girl money to grope her.

September 2008 – Members of the Establishment refused to accept pedophiles depravity. Roger Took was sentenced this February to a minimum of four-and-a-half years in prison as part of an indeterminate sentence for 17 other crimes relating to child abuse. They included molesting two of his step-granddaughters and the possession of 260 photographs, including 102 ‘Level 5s’, which mean they contain images of children being tortured or raped.

October 2011 - Two men jailed for sexual activity with 14-year-old girls had been thrown out of the British National Party when their offences came to light, it has been revealed. Ian Richard Hindle, 32, of Church Walk, Blackburn, and Andrew Paul Wells, 49, were jailed on Thursday for a total of five years and three months.

February 2004 - FORMER Bentham councillor, John Pilkington, has been jailed for 21 months after amassing a huge quantity of sickening child pornography over a seven year period. “Yours is one of the worst collections of child pornography it has been my misfortune to have to look at,” said Judge Scott Wolstenholme.

August 2008 - A tory ex-deputy mayor who sexually assaulted a teenage girl and kept child porn while a member of police authority was spared jail. Ben Redsell, 29, admitted assaulting the 19-year-old at her home after a night out in Colchester, Essex, last August and also 10 child offences. Police had found indecent images of children, which dated from 2000, at his home in Melton, Suffolk.

January 2006 - THE arrest of the man at the head of a massive pedophile network led police to a pervert in Coventry, it has emerged. Roderick Rowley, who stood as a candidate for the BNP in Coventry’s Woodlands Ward in the local elections in 2004, was jailed for 15 months in December after sending obscene images involving children.

November 2008 - A former city councillor was given a five-year jail sentence for molesting an 11-year-old boy and threatening the youngster’s mother in a bid to cover his tracks. Ex-Paston councillor Gilbert Benn (48) was locked up at Peterborough Crown Court yesterday having earlier been found guilty of twice attempting to rape the young boy in the city.

Terry Power was a Labour Party Councillor in Dagenham, London. Terry Power would roam the streets looking to prey on youngsters who found themselves in a ‘hard up’ lifestyle from single parent families. He molested and took indecent photos of 3 young boys. Power known as the Beast of Dagenham was found guilty but only given a 30 month jail sentence. The photos of the children the labour party councillor took were shown to the jury who were sickened by what Terry Power had done.

2003 - Labour Councillor (Newham/London), Greg Vincent, who was the Election Agent to Labour MP Tony Banks at the 2001 General Election – was convicted and given a 2-year community rehabilitation order in 2003, for possession of hardcore child abuse films and photos, featuring children as young as 8.

August 2006 - THE former chairman of Long Sutton Parish Council has been jailed for three months after admitting downloading and distributing child pornography. Christopher Duckworth, 64, of Bingham Court, Long Sutton, admitted five charges of distributing indecent images of children.

August 2003 - A senior clerk at the House of Commons who used his work computer to download hardcore child pornography has been jailed for one year. Phillip Lyon was also found guilty on Tuesday of using his home computer to collect more than 1,000 images of children – some of whom were toddlers subjected to “disgusting” sexual acts.
April 2012 - An ex-councillor caught downloading indecent images of children walked free from court after a judge said he had ‘contributed’ to society. **Andrew Lamont**, 53, copied 207 images of girls as young as eight to his computer, 27 of which were level four, the second most serious level.

Dec 2009 - A Labour councillor who was arrested in a Belfast hotel room in 2007 with his 17-year-old boyfriend has failed in a bid to bring a legal challenge against police. Hull City councillor **Steven Bayes**, 47, was found with his boyfriend Dale Martin, who was 17 when he was arrested. At the time, the legal age of consent for gay sex in Northern Ireland was 18. This has now been lowered to 16, in line with the rest of the UK.

June 2007 - A Hampshire councillor who set up a spy camera to secretly film a woman and two teenage girls using his bathroom has been jailed for four months. **Neil Redrup**, a Ministry of Defence database manager at the time, put the camera in an airing cupboard with the lens spying through a hole at his home. Redrup, 45, regularly invited teenagers to parties at his home.

2008 - A former Tory politician has been jailed for six and a half years for five sex offences involving three girls under 14 yrs. old, including one charge of rape. **Stephen Mullins**, 52, formerly of Links Avenue, Hellesdon, was sentenced at Norwich Crown Court.

May 2007 - A man responsible for distributing indecent images of children to an online pedophile network was yesterday sentenced to three years’ imprisonment. Lib Dem council candidate 26-year-old **Karl Lindon**, a civil servant from Camberwell, London SE5 was arrested when his details were found on a computer seized in a separate arrest.

Dec 2008 - A former deputy mayor and councillor of Harrogate has been sentenced to eight months in prison for attempting to groom a 13-year-old girl for sex. **Morris Lightfoot**, of Dryden Close, Bilton, Harrogate, was caught in a sting operation by an administrator on a social networking site.

April 2009 - A councillor was jailed for six years yesterday after police found an illegal gun and 2000 indecent images of kids at his home. Officers were called to Wigan Town Hall after being told that **Joseph Shaw** had been caught with indecent images of children on his home computer.

June 2009 - A FORMER Conservative councillor who became “infatuated” with a 13-year-old girl and sexually assaulted her has been jailed for eight years. **David Kirton**, (55), of Longbanks, Harlow, admitted committing three sexual offences on the girl during an eight month period.

November 2011 - The agent of Labour MP Chris Bryant has been imprisoned after he was caught with a huge stash of ‘the most serious child pornography police have ever seen’. **Stephen Carnell**, who was a chairman on a primary school board of governors in Mr Bryant’s south Wales constituency, was sentenced to three years in jail.

September 2002 - FORMER Tory Councillor **Louise Burrows** failed to convince Doncaster Magistrates that she was acting in self-defence when she was found guilty of attacking three children with a whip after a window in her house had been smashed. A 13-yr-old boy was left with bruising to his left leg after being hit three times; a nine-year-old boy was hit twice and a 12-yr-old girl was slapped as she attempted to ride off on her bicycle. The magistrate gave Burrows a 6-mth community rehabilitation order and ordered her to pay compensation of £75 each to both boys, plus costs of £300.

2004 - Tory Councillor (Felixstowe/Suffolk), **David Smith** - Accepted a police caution for downloading child porn in 2004. Placed on the sex offenders register for 5 years.

Sir Ian Horobin was Convicted and jailed for 4 years for indecency in 1952, after paying young boys for sex. **Sir Ian Macdonald Horobin** (16 November 1899 – 5 June 1976) was a British Conservative Party politician.

July 2004 - An ex-Tory party official who went on the run after raping a six-year-old girl has been jailed for 10 years. **Stephen Mertens**, 53, was arrested in May 1990 while secretary of the Hackney and South Shoreditch Conservative Association.

2001 - Former Tory Party councillor (Bradford/Yorkshire), **Jim Merrick** – Received a 9-month jail sentence (suspected) and placed on the sex offenders register for 10 years in 2001 after being convicted of multiple sex attacks on little girls between the ages of 9 and 12.

2001 - Former Lord Mayor of Portsmouth & Tory Party Council Leader jailed. **Freddie Emery-Wallis** found guilty of indecently assaulting two boys and jailed for nine months in 2001. Emery-Wallis attacked the two teenage paperboys above the shop he owned in Leith.
Avenue, Paulsgrove, in the 1960s and 1970s.

1987 – Tory Party MP (Billericay/Essex) Harvey Proctor – Convicted and fined a total of £1,450 in 1987, for sex offences of a sadomasochistic nature against teenage boys, and was forced to resign.

Feb 1997 - CONSERVATIVE councillor Michael Howden, jailed for five-and-a-half years for the rape of a teenage girl. Howden's high profile Liverpool Crown Court case came to a dramatic end, when he was jailed for the rape and sexually molesting another 17-year-old girl.

July 1999 - A teacher and former Tory parliamentary candidate was jailed for child Net porn offences yesterday. Michael Powell, 51, was sentenced to three years by Cardiff Crown Court for downloading 16,600 pictures of children from the Web. Powell, who was conservative Mayor of Bridgend, South Wales, in 1984

March 2009 - A WOULD-BE Exeter city councillor who was jailed for four years after offering to pay £500 for sex with young girls is no longer a member of the Labour Party. Richard Harris, 28, of Union Street, Exeter, who was jailed for four years after admitting seven charges of inciting sexual activity, had unsuccessfully contested seats across the city at elections in 2006, 2007 and last year for Labour.

July 2005 - DISGRACED ex-councillor Dean Jenkins was jailed yesterday for possessing, making and distributing thousands of pornographic images of children. Newport crown court heard the images of young boys ranged from level one to the most severe, level five.

July 2004 - A former councillor who indecently assaulted an eight-year-old girl has been jailed for two-and-a-half years. David Mills, 55, preyed on the child, now aged 16, as she played in her bedroom when he babysat.

July 2001 - A FORMER North-East aide of Prime Minister Tony Blair has been jailed for 15 years for an ‘appalling’ string of sex offences against teenage boys. Martyn Locklin, 41, of Ladybower, Newton Aycliffe, County Durham, was found guilty by the jury of eight men and four women at Teesside Crown Court

July 2007 - A FORMER councillor jailed for indecently touching an 11-year-old girl failed yesterday in a bid to overturn his conviction. Fifty-six-year-old Harry Devine was branded a danger to young girls following his trial, at Durham Crown Court. Devine was also found to have home videos of girls in swimming costumes, in which the camera zoomed in on their private parts.

August 2011 - FORMER Derby City Council leader Maurice Burgess has been jailed for five years for child sex offences. Ex-Liberal Democrat leader Burgess has admitted indecently assaulting four boys, aged seven to 11 years old, between 1989 and 1994.

Feb 2011 - THE owner of a taxi firm has been banned from driving schoolchildren after he sexually touched a teenage girl. Sadiq Choudhry, a councillor who runs 24 7 Private Hire, in Swadlincote, was convicted by a jury of four offences of sexual activity with a child.

November 2008 - A former teacher and Erewash councillor at a Leicestershire school has been convicted of making and possessing indecent child images. Robert Dockenill, 32, from Crook, County Durham, denied the charges but was found guilty

August 2010 - A SERIAL pervert, who admitted he was sexually attracted to girls aged 8 to 12 after police found 810 indecent images in his Derby home, has been jailed. Derby Crown Court heard that former music teacher and Green Party candidate Gary Anderson had been convicted in 2000 and 2003 for similar offences.

January 2012 - A FORMER Chelmsford ex-Conservative councillor mayor has failed in a High Court bid to clear his name over possessing child pornography. David Lee, 48, of Rushleydale, Springfield, was given a caution by Essex Police in August 2008 after indecent images of children were found on computer equipment at his home.

March 2009 - A FORMER Wirral Tory councillor led a secret life looking at indecent images of children. While his wife and two daughters slept upstairs, Ian McKellar spent hours poring over child porn downloaded from the internet onto his computer. The court heard that the 1913 images mainly involved girls aged between 12 – 14, sometimes naked

November 2003 - A HIGH school teacher & Ex-Vale Royal Borough and Kingsley councillor has been jailed for two-and-a-half years after indecently assaulting two vulnerable pupils who had turned to him for help. David Reaper has been banned from working with young children and ordered to register as a sex offender for life.

October 2011 - A BNP councillor who downloaded and distributed child pornography on the
internet has been jailed for 16 months. **Gavin Leist** (20), of Ratcliffe Road, Loughborough, admitted three counts of possessing indecent images and three of distributing them, between 2008 and 2010.

October 2003 - A DISGRACED former school governor and town and district councillor, convicted of collecting child porn on his personal computer, has been offered honorary membership of a community association. Grandfather, **Keith Rogers** from Birch Coppice, Droitwich, was fined £5,000 by Worcester Magistrates a fortnight ago after police found nearly 2,000 indecent images of children on his computer equipment.

February 2008 - A FORMER Malvern Hills councillor was branded a "dirty old man" by a judge but escaped jail for possessing indecent photographs of children. **Michael Angell** was given a six-month prison sentence suspended for two years at Hereford Crown Court

April 2012 - A disgraced former Cumbrian council chairman has been jailed for four years after admitting abusing a school boy. **Tony Brunskill**, 66, is already serving a 10 year sentence for offences against three school boys. Carlisle Crown Court was told this morning that the latest crimes, carried out between 1979 and 1981, happened when the boy was aged between 11 and 13.

January 2004 - A councillor found guilty of downloading and storing indecent images of children on his council computer has been disqualified from standing for office for five years. Tory **Chris Pilkington**, who lives in Shipston, was re-elected for the Vale of the Red Horse ward, which includes Tysoe, Edgehill, Whatcote and Pillerton Priors, with a 384 majority in May 2002

March 2009 - A FORMER Worcester UKIP candidate — who has since converted to Islam – has been sentenced for possessing indecent photographs of children. **Jason Phillips**, who stood in the city’s 2007 elections for the Arboretum ward, had previously admitted downloading two indecent images of a child.

April 2012 - The former leader of Worcestershire County Council who sexually abused a Sunday school pupil while he was a church minister has been jailed for four years. **George Lord**, who represented Alvechurch, also sexually assaulted a “vulnerable” teenager in the council chamber when he ran the local authority. Lord, 79, who had previously admitted three charges of indecent assault and was found guilty of sexual assault

August 2002 - A DISGRACED councillor who sexually assaulted a 16-year-old boy has quit. **Neil Derbyshire** had refused to give up his Stockport council seat despite being ordered to sign the Sex Offenders’ Register after he admitted indecent assault and attempting to procure an act of gross indecency.

April 2009 - **David Charles**, a councillor in the St Andrew’s ward in Hornchurch, was sentenced to a six month suspended prison sentence after pleading guilty to 16 counts of possessing pornographic images of youngsters. He was also ordered to pay court costs of £500 and remain on the sex offenders list for 7 years

May 2003 - A former school governor and ex-local councillor is starting a two year jail sentence for downloading more than 42,000 pedophilic images, including 2,500 movies. **Alec Dyer-Atkins** and 45 other people were arrested last July for their membership of a major, Internet-based pedophile network, known as the Shadows Brotherhood

June 2011 - A former Labour councillor who downloaded child pornography from the internet was shopped to police by his partner. **Adrian Cirket**, 54, was arrested after the mother of three of his five children, spotted the illegal cache on his laptop computer. Forensic experts discovered more than 500 sexual images of children – some as young as five years old – on the ex-councillor’s computer which he had downloaded over 3 years

October 2001 - A former assistant director of social services, magistrate and Labour councillor has been jailed for abusing boys in a children’s home scandal which may have had as many as 70 victims over 30 years, it emerged yesterday. **Alan Prescott**, 62, who was described at the Old Bailey as a “pillar of his local community”, was sentenced to two years in prison after admitting indecently assaulting four boys in his care between 1970 and 1980 while he was superintendent of a Tower Hamlets children’s home.

April 2006 - FORMER Cowes town councillor **David Christopher Hill** has been jailed for two years and banned from working with children indefinitely after admitting child sex offences. Hill, 59, of High Street, Cowes, pleaded guilty to two charges of inciting indecency with a child and four counts of indecent assault

July 2011 - A PREDATORY pedophile has been sentenced to 14 years in prison for abusing
eight children. **William Barber** was a respected councillor, school governor and “pillar of the community” in Brockworth, but he was secretly preying on vulnerable children as young as five. Guilty of 18 charges of indecently assaultling or committing gross indecency with his eight victims over a 13 year period

June 2003 - A pedophile babysitter who gained the trust of a mother by showing a picture of himself with Tony and Cherie Blair, was jailed today for 15 years. Prominent Labour Party activist **Mark Tann**, from Whitstable in Kent, pleaded guilty to 24 charges at Canterbury Crown Court including two counts of rape against a four-year-old girl

July 2008 - TORY chiefs are demanding that a newly-elected councillor quit after he was exposed as a violent pedophile with a 41-year history of crimes. Pervert **Robert Richdale** got the backing of the local party faithful in leader Michael Howard’s own constituency despite having 30 convictions involving 65 offences and FIVE jail sentences. In 1973, a six-month sentence for indecently assaulting underage girls. In 1997, received a caution for a sexual crime involving a girl of 14.

June 2008 - A FORMER Councillor has escaped jail for downloading thousands of child porn images. **Peter O’Brien**, 46, formally of Drakes Way, Hatfield, was given a 3 yr. com order and is attending rehabilitation for his sick addiction. The ex-councillor pleaded guilty to 11 counts of making indecent images of children and two counts of possessing indecent images of children.

July 2011 - A PEDOPHILE who described his collection of indecent images of children as ‘art’ has been jailed for 15 months after admitting a string of child sex offences. Ex councillor **Martin Fisher**, of Queens Road, Whitstable, was sentenced at Canterbury Crown Court today after earlier pleading guilty to five counts of sexual assault, three of which were against a girl under 13, and possessing and making indecent images of children. 3 additional offences of indecent assault on a child under 14 will remain on file

Jan 2001 - A Labour councillor has been jailed for seven years for raping a nine-year-old child. **Iestyn Tudor Davies**, 50, from Brackla in Bridgend, south Wales, carried out the sex attacks on the girl while his magistrate wife Pamela slept just yards away in the next bedroom.

June 2006 - GANG sex, blackmail, death threats, lighter gas sniffing and sex for rent this man used them all in decades of perversion against young girls and a single mother. Pedophile Eric Coates and his father, Former Labour Councillor **Raymond Coates**, preyed on those who came into contact with them in their Huby home and elsewhere, Leeds Crown Court heard. Eric, 51, formerly of Huby, is serving 14 years behind bars after pleading guilty to 15 sexual crimes against girls aged 11 to 14 and a single mother of three.

February 2005 - Labour Councillor (Coxhoe, Durham) **Les Sheppard** was convicted in 2004 on ten counts of indecent assault on young girls. Jailed for 2 years and placed on the Sex Offenders’ Register for 10 years. Teeside Crown Court heard how 71 year-old Cllr Sheppard lured his victims into his gold Porsche before driving them to remote spots, where he would submit them to sickening sex attacks

August 2009 – A former mayor & Labour councillor escaped jail after being convicted of possessing and distributing child pornography. **Stewart Brown**, 60, admitted 21 offences of possessing indecent images of children and one of distributing pictures. Brown, of Nutclough, Hebden Bridge, admitted all the charges, some of which were said to relate to images of girls as young as three

May 2009 - A disgraced former Walsall councillor who left a computer memory stick containing child porn behind after a town hall meeting was fined £443. **Jonathan Phillips** was placed on the sex offenders register for two years

March 2010 - **Keith Potts**, 60, who is a former Labour Party councillor, and served on Chester-le- Street District Council, accepted a police caution for possessing 24 indecent images of children. He must sign on the sex offenders register for two years.

March 2012 - A former Leicester city councillor who caused a political storm by describing Gordon Brown as “the worst Prime Minister in history”, pestered two schoolgirls for sex and indecently assaulted a young woman. **Manish Sood** kissed a 22-year-old on her bottom in the guise of “therapy” and offered to pay two girls, aged 14 and 15, to visit his home for “massages”

Nov 2011 - A Labour councillor with close links to Harriet Harman and Tessa Jowell has been jailed for attempting grooming an underage girl for sex. **John Friary** has been jailed for 15
months after he was caught attempting to sexually groom a child online.

January 2007 - A councillor been sent to jail for five years after molesting two 11-year-old girls while he was a school governor. Bob Hargreaves, 62, who was elected to Bradford Metropolitan District Council in 2004, was given five years in jail.

December 2006 - A former Labour Party organiser has been jailed for 15 months for grooming a 13-year-old boy on the internet. Peter Tuffley, 28, was working in the North West when he took the teenager back to his flat in Liverpool after they met through an internet chatroom. Tuffley was also sentenced to six months imprisonment, to run concurrently, for abducting the youngster

September 2006 - The former leader of Dartford Borough Council has been given a three-year community rehabilitation order for downloading child porn. Kenneth Leadbeater, 50, pleaded guilty before magistrates in June to 14 counts of making indecent images of children. Police found 320 images of indecent images of boys on his computer

September 2006 - A former Redruth councillor has been jailed for indecently assaulting a young girl. Andrew Trathen, 52, of Rose Row in Redruth, denied intentionally sexually touching the girl

April 2008 - A DEVIOUS pedophile convicted of possessing images of child pornography on his computer has been fined £100 for failing to comply with his community order and warned he could go to jail if he does so again. Disgraced former Haverhill town councillor Mabon Dane, 38, appeared at Ipswich Crown Court where he denied breaching the order imposed on him in 2006 when he was found guilty after a trial of 16 charges of making indecent images of children and one of possessing them

February 2005 - A man who served Leeds for 10 years as a Labour councillor has been given a suspended jail term for possessing pornographic images of young girls. Lee Benson, 46, of Yew Tree Lane, Colton, pleaded guilty at Leeds Crown Court to 12 counts of possessing indecent images of children

January 2005 - A city councillor has been jailed for two years after he used his car to lure schoolgirls for sex assaults. Leslie Sheppard, 71, of The Grove, Coxhoe, County Durham, was found guilty of nine indecent assaults and one attempt on three girls aged 9 to 13.

January 2005 - Tunstall councillor Lee Wanger was found guilty of encouraging the spread of internet child porn after logging on to a website under investigation. He was fined £250 and placed on the sex offenders' register for five years

March 2004 - A former teacher and Labour councillor walked free from court after admitting viewing child porn. Nelson Bland, 50, from Woodside, pleaded guilty to four counts of taking indecent photographs of a minor

March 2004 - A former Perth councillor who downloaded child pornography has been banned from owning a computer after escaping a jail sentence. Disgraced SNP politician Iain Hunter was found guilty of accessing child porn at home and in his party office

March 2003 - An ex-political leader in Lancashire has admitted accessing child pornography from the internet. William Chadwick pleaded guilty, at Liverpool Crown Court, to 18 offences of making indecent photographs of children. Chadwick, who was leader of the Lib-Dem group in Preston, was fined £1,000 and ordered to sign the sex offenders' register for life

August 2008 - A disgraced former Coventry city councillor has been given a six month suspended jail sentence for downloading hundreds of child porn images. Peter Stidworthy, 53, appeared at Coventry Crown Court. The images were found when he took his computer into a shop for repair

January 2002 - A former Bedfordshire Conservative council leader has been jailed for 18 months for indecently assaulting two girls. Albert Whinnett, 73, was chairman of South Bedfordshire District Council in 1984. Judge Ronald Moss told him he had been younger and in better health the sentence would have been four or five years

November 2009 - A young Tory has been jailed for six months after he admitted downloading sickening child porn. Alister Cooling, 27, who writes for the Conservative Home website and campaigned for London Mayor Boris Johnson, owned films featuring girls as young as five being abused. The pervert also downloaded a bestiality film
September 2007 - Three people who abused two children during a six-hour orgy arranged over the internet have been jailed. Liberal Democrat activist Archibald Wood, 60, from Tavistock, Devon, was jalled for seven years. After communicating with Wood over the internet, another man took the 13-year-old boy and 12-year-old girl to the private property in Fleet.

December 2008 – He was the urbane gent who waited on the Royal Family for nine years – first serving the Queen at Buckingham Palace and then her mother. But throughout his service Paul Kidd was leading a double life as a serial child abuser who molested a number of boys over a 30-year period. Kidd, even stood for election as a UK Independent Party candidate in Dukinfield and Stalybridge.

January 2003 - FORMER Weymouth councillor David Crosland has been found guilty of child pornography charges. Some of the photographs showed young girls performing sex acts with adult males.

April 2004 - TOP Tory councillor Mike Oram has been urged to quit after appearing in court on child pornography related charges. Oram, who has represented Blandford East since 1999, admitted one charge of making an indecent photograph of a child and another of inciting the distribution of pseudo-photographs of children under the age of 16. Oram was given a two-year conditional discharge and ordered to pay £87 costs.

May 2011 - A child protection charity has attacked an “appallingly lenient” decision not to jail a former Lambeth councillor who admitted possessing more than 94,000 images/videos of abused children. Toren Smith, of Lilford Road, Camberwell, was given a two year suspended sentence at Southwark Crown Court on Monday, after earlier pleading guilty to 24 counts of possessing and making indecent images of children.

Feb 2012 - A former SDLP councillor from Londonderry has been given a probation order after admitting two charges of sexual assault against a child. The girl was 11 at the time of the attack. William McCorriston, 77, from Phillip Street, was also placed on the sex offenders register for five years. The young girl told her mother he had kissed her, and felt her body over her clothing. She also said that weeks earlier, he had kissed her on the cheeks and then the lips.

Feb 2012 - A Darlington councillor has been jailed for sexually assaulting a girl and having pictures of child abuse. Married father-of-three Mark Burton, 43, of Middleham Road, Darlington, was chairman of a committee responsible for children’s welfare. He was jailed for 22 months at Teesside CC. Burton took advantage of the schoolgirl following a drunken party.

Dec 2011 - A FORMER councillor has described how he considered suicide after being arrested on child pornography charges. Nathan Bale, former Cornwall councillor for Bude and Stratton North, viewed indecent images of children online over an eight-year period.

Bale, of Penfound Gardens, Bude, was ordered to attend a sex offenders’ programme.

Nov 2011 - THE agent of leading Labour MP Chris Bryant was jailed for three years yesterday for having an “appalling” hoard of vile child pornography. Disgraced Stephen Carnell, who helped shadow Justice Minister Mr Bryant to victory in last year’s election, was caught with over 12,500 sick images and videos of children.

A court heard the 58-year-old former councillor and school governors’ chairman had amassed some of the most serious child porn police had ever seen.

December 2011 - A FORMER councillor was jailed for three years for indecently assaulting a girl more than 14 years ago. David Woodfinden, 70, of Lon Ely, Llanfairtalhaiarn, was sentenced at Liverpool C/C. He had pleaded guilty on November 22 to four indecent assaults on the same girl aged under 16 in between 1993-97.

Tory Party Councillor(Wickbar/Bristol) Roger Talboys – Convicted and jailed for 6 years for multiple sex attacks on children.

Labour Councillor (North Lincolnshire) David Spooner – Convicted and jailed for 1 year for masturbating in front of 2 young boys.

Labour Mayor (Westhoughton/Lancashire) Nicholas Green – Convicted and jailed for 10 years for 3 rapes and 13 counts of indecent assault against little girls between the age of 6 and 10. He raped one woman on her wedding day.

Labour Parliamentary candidate(Cheadle/Cheshire), Paul Diggert – Subject of a 2002 police investigation into the alleged procurement of underage girls for sexual purposes via internet chatrooms. According to the ‘Sunday Mirror’ (3/11/02), Diggert had admitted to having four
underage girls that he was `grooming’ for sex. In 2004 Diggert was convicted of making and distributing indecent pictures of children

1999 – Michael Cunningham, who is a member of the nationalist Social Democratic and Labour Party (SDLP) from Northern Ireland has pleaded guilty to 13 charges of child sex abuse. He admitted indecently assaulting two girls aged six and seven in a series of charges
dating back to 1979

MP, Harriet Harman argued for incest to be decriminalised and argued that sexually explicit photographs of children should be legal unless it could be proven that the subject had suffered harm or that the an inference to that effect or to the effect that harm might have been caused could reasonably be drawn from the images themselves

1992 - A FORMER Labour councillor and her lover who ‘terrified’ a 15 yr-old girl in 3-in-a-bed sex sessions were jailed. Susan Smith, 33, the former member for St Martins ward in the London borough of Lambeth, was sentenced to a total of 18 months. Ronald Lineker, 53, who was said to have transvestite tendencies, was jailed for 3 years after being convicted of two charges of indecent assault and six of unlawful sexual intercourse

2005 - A FORMER Conservative councillor has been branded “a menace to young women” and sent to jail for two-and-a-half years for a string of sex attacks. Michael Robinson, 59, pleaded guilty to two indecent assaults and four sexual assaults on four women who worked at his new age and body piercing shop. One of his victims was just 15-years-old.

2007 – A FORMER councillor has been jailed for five years at Cardiff crown court for raping a 15 yr old girl. Alan Jolly, 68, of Lower Salisbury Street, Tredegar got into bed with her and raped her. When arrested, Jolly claimed she was an active participant, suggested going to bed and instigated the sex.

Jan 2012 - A preacher who stood as a Conservative candidate for Holyrood has been jailed for eight years for a 15-year campaign of child abuse. John Smart, 48, preyed on three youngsters he met at the Edinburgh City Mission. He began abusing one of his victims when the boy was just 3 years old

August 2007 - A LABOUR councillor who swapped child sex images with internet pedophiles beat a jail sentence yesterday — after a judge decided he was NOT a pervert. Gregory Vincent, who worked as former sports minister Tony Banks’ agent at the last election, spent hours trawling for pictures of young girls being abused.

Mar 2000 – Former politician `not fit to stand trial’ on child abuse charges

The DPP is not to proceed with the prosecution of a former senior politician on 70 charges of indecent assault of five children following expert medical advice that the man is unfit to stand trial due to his age and illness, the High Court was told.

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2682958/Pro-paedophile-group-leader-routinely-stored-campaign-material-Home-Office.html

The former head of a pro-paedophile activist group routinely stored campaign material at the London Home Office, it has been claimed.

Steven Adrian Smith was working as an electrical contractor at the Westminster building between 1979 and 1982 - and allegedly used locked cabinets in his office to hide details of child abusers known to the Pedophile Information Exchange.

The information comes as extraordinary new claims pointing to an Establishment cover-up of child sex abuse by senior politicians emerged last night.

Smith, who was jailed indefinitely in 2011 for possessing indecent images of children, became head of the Pedophile Information Exchange in 1979 - arguing for the abolition of the legal age of consent.

While chairing the pro-paedophile group, security cleared him for employment monitoring alarm systems at the Home Office’s then headquarters at Queen Anne’s Gate in Westminster.


Scotland Yard’s anti-corruption command are investigating claims that evidence the minister was part of a pedophile ring in Lambeth, South London, in the 1980s was covered up.

Retired detective Clive Driscoll says he was stopped and removed from investigating the Labour star in 1998 after he named the politician as a suspect.

[Part of the briefing Document that was written to the Blair administration:]
Liverpool police have been investigating conducting a major investigation into a number of allegations of pedophile activity in Merseyside Children’s Homes. The investigations has produced strong evidence of abusive behaviour by staff in St Edmunds Children’s Home Liverpool during the period 1971-1976. In particular 12 men have made statements that they were systematically abused as children, by Mr John Carroll. This has resulted in the arrest and charge of a Mr John Carroll with over 30 counts of indecency, 10 buggery and 10 attempted buggery. Mr Carroll has admitted to the indecency and is challenging other charges. Carroll was convicted of a Schedule 1 offence in 1966. From 1978-1991 he was employed in Lambeth as Officer-in-charge of two different Children’s Homes ( Highland Road, Angel Road). He was dismissed for financial irregularity. During his time in Lambeth Mr Carroll applied to foster 2 children, despite his application being rejected— agreed for children to stay with Mr Carroll and Lambeth (council) paid him an allowance…

http://abcnews.go.com/blogs/politics/2013/12/senators-chief-of-staff-investigated-for-child-pornography/  
The chief of staff to Sen. Lamar Alexander, R-Tenn., was arrested on child pornography charges today by the U.S. Postal Inspection Service, a Justice Department spokesman said.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_6FKEwjPmHQ  
Elite child sex slaves “1981” forgotten documentary (1:29:50)  
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QsrlBUOrJ8s  
The boy business “pedophile in Amsterdam” (56:07)  
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WcsITzVKrNQ  
Wonderland Club pedophile ring (Operation Cathedral) (38:23)  
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jfNeB6Hx7N0  
60min Australia UK  pedophiles Full Program (30:58)

Repeatedly, the ISIS leadership has emphasised a narrow and selective reading of the Quran and other religious rulings to not only justify violence, but also to elevate and celebrate each sexual assault as spiritually beneficial, even virtuous. “Every time that he came to rape me, he would pray,” said F, a 15-year-old girl who was captured on the shoulder of Mount Sinjar one year ago and was sold to an Iraqi fighter in his 20s.

A 12-year-old girl was tied up, beaten and raped for by seven ISIS different fighters when she was kidnapped from her home in the Iraq region of Yazidi, a shocking new report has revealed. The suffering of Jalila - whose name has been changed - is just one of a number of harrowing accounts given by women who have escaped captured by the brutal Islamist organisation which is taking over swathes of territory in Syria and Iraq. The study undertaken by Human Rights Watch found fighters were kidnapping women and children as young as eight, forcing them to marry and convert to Islam and raping them repeatedly – sometimes picking their victims’ names out of a hand in a sick lottery. ISIS fighters have carried out ‘systematic rape’ of females from Iraq region. Survivors left feeling suicidal and in need of psychological support

New evidence has revealed how Islamic State fighters buy children as sex slaves and force them into marriage. Girls from Iraq and Syria told a senior UN official they were stripped, sold, and made to undergo over a dozen virginity reparation surgeries. “Girls are literally being stripped naked and examined in slave bazaars,” by Islamic State (IS, previously ISIS/ISIL) fighters, Zainab Bangura, Special Representative of the United Nations Secretary-General on Sexual Violence in Conflict told the journalist during a briefing.
Bangura visited the Middle East between April 16 and 29 to talk to surviving rape victims. The girls and children were treated like cattle, she said. They are “categorised and shipped naked off to Dohuk or Mosul or other locations to be distributed among ISIL leadership and fighters.”

One of the victims was married off over 20 separate times. “Women and girls are at risk and under assault at every point of their lives,” Bangura said, adding that this kind of violent treatment of women was actually encouraged as part of jihad. “ISIL has institutionalised sexual violence and the brutalisation of women as a central aspect of their ideology and operations, using it as a tactic of terrorism to advance their key strategic objectives.”

According to Bangura, Militants even use trafficking, prostitution and ransom plots as a way to raise money, she added. Sexual violence is used by ISIS as a tactic to punish, humiliate, and demoralise local populations, making it easier to get information from them and displace them. As a result of these atrocities, these victims of child rape are growing into “a generation of stateless children,” who will remain vulnerable to IS recruitment tactics.

There have been reports that doctors have performed illegal abortions on pregnant girls as young as nine.

Many of the women have expressed feeling ashamed and are unable to restart their lives after surviving the brutal and humiliating attacks. Human Rights Watch also interviewed more than a dozen international and local service providers, medical workers, Kurdish officials, community leaders, and activists who corroborated these accounts. A local doctor treating female survivors in Dohuk told Human Rights Watch that of the 105 women and girls she had examined, 70 appeared to have been raped in ISIS captivity.

DALORI, Nigeria — Hundreds of women and girls captured by Boko Haram have been raped, many repeatedly, in what officials and relief workers describe as a deliberate strategy to dominate rural residents and possibly even create a new generation of Islamist militants in Nigeria.

In interviews, the women described being locked in houses by the dozen, at the beck and call of fighters who forced them to have sex, sometimes with the specific goal of impregnating them.

Jonathan N.C. Hill of King's College, London, has pointed out that Boko Haram kidnapped these girls after coming increasingly under the influence of al-Qaeda in the Islamic Maghreb, and asserts that the group's goal is to use girls and young women as sexual objects and as a means of intimidating the civilian population into non-resistance.
60min exposing the truth about Boys town sexual abuse Queensland Australia.
http://lewisblayse.net/2013/08/26/boys-town-beaudesert-or-the-greatest-con-of-all-time/
Last year, the “60 Minutes” program did a special on Boys’ Town. On the program, lawyer and former detective, Jason Parkinson, said that he is now representing 35 former Boys’ Town residents, and has begun legal action in Queensland’s Supreme Court. He commented that “I haven’t heard the degree of physical abuse, together with some of the most outrageous sexual abuse I’ve heard, in the one institution before. Things happened to some of those 12-year-old boys that wouldn’t have happened to prisoners of war.”
In 1984, the same program had done a promo-piece for Boys’ Town, in which then director, Br. Paul Smith, said that: “I think it is terribly important to be loved, and I think the boys who leave Boys’ Town can honestly say that at least they know they are loveable and that’s important.” The later program noted that “at this very same time it is now alleged some of the worst abuse was being committed.”
Br. Paul continuously tried to attribute the former residents’ claims to “false memory,” while denying all claims. However, at least two Brothers from Boys’ Town were convicted of child sex offences.
Boys’ Town was the subject of a three-year secret police investigation known as “Operation Sari” which commenced in 1999. It resulted in two staff being charged with 48 serious sexual offences – but the case collapsed when former students withdrew complaints.
As a result of the program, and the number of men coming forward, Queensland police have now launched a fresh investigation into the Boys’ Town.

An Australian commission is hearing allegations of the physical and sexual abuse of boys in the care of the Salvation Army over several decades. The shocking treatment at some of the organisation’s boys homes included rape, beatings, locking boys in cages and, in one case, forcing a boy to eat his own vomit, the commission was told Tuesday.
The Salvation Army instructed a law firm to try to resolve claims made by sexual and physical abuse victims without going to court, a royal commission has heard.

The Nature and Scope of the Problem of Sexual Abuse of Minors by Catholic Priests and Deacons in the United States, commonly known as the John Jay Report, is a 2004 report by the John Jay College of Criminal Justice, commissioned by the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, based on surveys completed by the Roman Catholic dioceses in the United States. The report determined that, during the period from 1950 to 2002, a total of 10,667 individuals had made allegations of child sexual abuse. Of these, the dioceses had been able to identify 6,700 unique accusations against 4,392 clergy over that period in the USA. The John Jay report found that 81% of the victims were male; and of all the victims, 22% were younger than age 10, 51% were between the ages of 11 and 14, and 27% were between the ages of 15 and 17 years.

Church leaders and government watchdogs covered up ‘endemic’ and ‘ritualised’ abuse of thousands of children in Roman Catholic schools and orphanages in the Irish Republic, a shocking report revealed yesterday. For six decades, priests and nuns terrorised boys and girls in the workhouse-style schools with sexual, physical and mental abuse. No abusers will be prosecuted.

In 1898, the Roman Catholic Archbishop of St. John's Michael Francis Howley donated land for Mound Cashel orphanage. An extensive pattern of sexual and physical abuse of more than 300 orphan children perpetrated by staff members. Canada's largest sexual abuse
scandal—one of the largest in the world—was disclosed in 1989, resulting in the closure of the facility in 1990. In December 2000 The StarPhoenix reported that leaders of the Christian Brothers at the Vatican conspired to transfer ownership of the order’s assets out of Canada to prevent court-ordered liquidation to pay compensation to sexual and physical abuse victims.


Not long ago, Tuesday morning’s revelations at the royal commission into institutional responses to child abuse would have made headlines round the world. Priest after priest in the Melbourne archdiocese of the Catholic church was caught abusing children. And for decades bishop after bishop ignored these crimes. The priests were caught abusing as soon as they left the seminary. They kept abusing despite “treatment” and despite being shifted from parish to parish. The church knew what was going on and for a very long time no one called the police.

http://childabuserecovery.com/decapitated-dismembered-children-in-catholic-mass-grave-site-were-ritually-murdered/

The informant told five judges of the International Common Law Court of Justice (ICLCJ) in Brussels that forensic experts have confirmed the decapitation and dismemberment of babies in the mass grave resembled the usual signs of ritualistic murder. Last week death certificates were released for the 796 Irish children, ages two months to nine years, found in a cistern at the Catholic St. Mary’s Mothers and Babies Home near Taum. Since March the ICLCJ International Court has been prosecuting members of the Ninth Circle Satanic Child Sacrifice Cult network for child trafficking, pedophilia and murder that possibly linked to 34 child mass grave sites discovered in Ireland, Canada and Spain. The child mass grave sites were on properties mainly owned by the Roman Catholic Church, Anglican Church, United Church of Canada and Irish and Canadian governments. The Canadian 32 child mass grave sites have been refused excavation by the Catholic Church, Canadian government and Crown of England even though children’s remains have been uncovered and examined since 2008 by licensed archeologists including one from the Smithsonian Institute.

In the last month over 20 witnesses from nine different countries have named members of the Ninth Circle Satanic Child Sacrifice Cult network as their perpetrators. Global elites under indictment included European royalty and prominent church and government officials in England, Italy, Belgium, Holland, Ireland, Canada and the US.


Mafia Wife Says Royals, Politicians, are Killing, Raping Children For Fun.In this video Anne Marie van Blijenburgh, the spouse of a Ndragheta criminal syndicate member, gives her firsthand eyewitness account on Ninth Circle Satanic Child Sacrifice Cult murders of “throw-away children,” claiming the killings are going on today in Belgium and Holland and have been since at least 2004. This just-released interview by Kevin Annett of the International Tribunal into Crimes of Church and State was done on June 5 2014 in preparation for Ann Marie’s testimony before 5 judges and 27 jury members of the International Common Law Court of Justice in Brussels.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8Y2NWWhpK_M
Scorpions Bassist Yeah about those parties I went to (00:1:44)

http://www.ukcolumn.org/ukcolumn-news/uk-column-live-satanic-ritual-abuse
Satanic Ritual child Abuse(SRA): To understand the scam around the fake independence of the Goddard Inquiry into child sexual abuse, viewers should read the article www.ukcolumn.org/article/acting-outside-authority-goddards-csa-inquiry If Justice Goddard talks about SRA and forces police to investigate SRA cases, there may be hope that her inquiry is in earnest. Otherwise, it is likely that the inquiry is there for cosmetic purposes and
to keep SRA (satanic ritual abuse) under wraps. Geoffrey Dickens was the first MP to speak out about SRA in the chamber of the House of Commons, in the 1980s. No other MP has done as much to expose it. He died relatively young in the mid-1990s but the names of those whom he identified were preserved in dossiers which then “went missing”. The dossiers were suppressed because high-ups were identified. Dickens’ home and office were broken in to at least twice while he was striving to expose SRA. Wilfred Wong estimates that the numbers of victims of SRA are in the thousands from the past couple of decades. There is a constant flow of SRA survivors coming out, all talking about very common characteristics of SRA, and this flow of survivors is not going to stop however much authorities ignore it. Most (but not all) police will not deal with it. A woman has described having been tied to an altar and raped by Jimmy Savile during a Black Mass when she was aged 13. Therapists knew about Savile’s SRA long before he was exposed, as victims kept telling them. Scepticism about the existence of SRA, spoken to victims who have the courage to speak out and who are broken, is “actually secondary abuse”, Wong points out. Is SRA real? Six sets of case details are given on screen of successful prosecutions of British SRA perpetrators. One (Colin Batley in Kidwelly, South Wales) involved a whole family of abusers. The “Hampstead” SRA case in North London hit the press in September 2014. The Daily Telegraph called the children's mother, who had discovered the abuse, “evil” and accused her of “torturing” the claims out of them. Then, the man whom the children clearly accused of abuse — their father — was given an opportunity on the BBC to deny it all, but the BBC failed to point out that the man is a professional actor. The spin put on the Hampstead case by the media is a 180-degree twisting of the truth regarding the torture of the children. This is a recurring pattern, a tactic of suppression, to protect those engaged in SRA.


“Papa Kills Babies” ~ Two Young British Children Expose Ghastly Baby Decapitation Murders & Sexual Abuse by Teachers & Parents of Large Satanic Cult Among Schools & Church in Hampstead, London

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ADGQyOqkUac
Satan’s children 60 Minutes Pt 1 0/4/89 (17:32)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8biKWVVWTJio
The female in this video wished to remain anonymous.Ex Satanist speak out. (00:09:06)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tas0kPLy4BU
International ritual child sacrifice, torture, trafficking, a survivor speaks out. (2:28:12)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0KMwSHj12Tk
Satanic high priest’s daughter testify. (00:06:22)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ofjCb9HGOyj
6 horrifying satanic ritual crimes
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MUzQ7aqFyiU
Ritual child abuse in France: German documentary pt1/5 (00:9:29)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TquVPktCtn6U
Ritual child abuse in France: German documentary pt3/5 (00:9:02)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mUDAZLwRuCs
Ritual child abuse in France: German documentary pt5/5 (00:9:17)

Child abuse victim: I was raped 1,800 times by satanic cult members. ‘Forest’ is not the only young girl to have been initiated into this cult, although the exact number of young people, which involved teenage and pre pubescent boys as well as girls, is not known. When jailed in 2011, the cult leader had been convicted with 11 cases of rape, three of indecent assault, the crime of causing prostitution for personal gain, causing a child to have sex, inciting a child to have sex, six counts of buggery and four counts of possessing child pornography.
EXCLUSIVE: Charities claim that the satanic abuse of children is rife
CHILDREN have been the victims of satanic abuse including rape, murder and even the production of so-called snuff films which depict killings, two leading charities claimed last night.
The existence of such terrifying cults is said to have gone unchecked in Scotland for decades, with victims facing scepticism and outright disbelief. Experts are sure ritual abuse rings still operate.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marc_Dutroux
In February 1986, Dutroux and Martin were arrested for abducting and raping five young girls. In April 1989, Dutroux was sentenced to thirteen and a half years in prison. Martin received a sentence of five years. Dutroux was released on parole in April 1992, having served only three years, by Justice Minister Melchior Wathelet. Upon his release the parole board received a letter from Dutroux's own mother to the prison director, in which she stressed concern that he was keeping young girls captive in his house – which was essentially ignored.
Julie Lejeune and Mélissa Russo (both aged eight) were kidnapped together from Grâce-Hollogne on 24 June 1995, probably by Dutroux, and imprisoned in Dutroux's cellar. Dutroux repeatedly sexually abused the girls and produced pornographic videos of the abuse.
On 22 August 1995, Dutroux kidnapped 17-year-old An Marchal and 19-year-old Eefje Lambrecks who were on a camping trip in Ostend. He was probably assisted by his accomplice Michel Lelièvre, who was paid with drugs. Since the dungeon already contained Lejeune and Russo, Dutroux chained the girls to a bed in a room of his house. His wife was aware of all these activities.
In late 1995, Dutroux was arrested by police for involvement in a stolen luxury car racket. He was held in custody for three months between 6 December 1995 and 20 March 1996. During this time, Julie Lejeune and Mélissa Russo were still alive in the basement dungeon, but in spite of their cries being heard, police, searching the house, failed to discover them.
Michelle Martin (Dutroux's wife) allegedly fed her husband's German shepherd dogs but did not follow his orders to feed the girls, later claiming she was too afraid to go into the dungeon.[6] Lejeune and Russo starved to death, and were later buried in bin bags in the back garden.[7]
Two months after his release, Dutroux, with help from Lelièvre, kidnapped 12-year-old Sabine Dardenne who was on her way to school on 28 May 1996. She was imprisoned by him, once again, in the dungeon where he had kept his previous victims.
On 9 August 1996, Dutroux and Lelièvre kidnapped 14-year-old Laetitia Delhez as she was walking home from a public swimming pool.
Authorities were criticised for various aspects of the case. Dutroux had offered money to a police informant to provide him with girls, and told him that he was constructing a cell in his basement.
His mother also wrote a second letter to the police, claiming that he held girls captive in his houses.
Dutroux was actually under police camera surveillance the night he kidnapped Marchal and Lambrecks, the police had only programmed the camera to operate during the daylight hours of 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.
Perhaps most notably, the police search of Dutroux's house on 13 December 1995 and again six days later in relation to his car theft charge, came under harshest scrutiny. During this time, Julie Lejeune and Mélissa Russo were still alive in the basement dungeon, but the police failed to discover them. While in the basement, a locksmith who was accompanying the police said he clearly heard children's cries coming from inside the house, but was overruled by the police, who concluded the cries must have come from the street outside.
The country was at that time in the midst of a nationwide search for missing children.
Several videotapes were also seized from the house that showed Dutroux constructing the secret entrance and the dungeon where the girls were then held. The tapes were never viewed by the police, who later claimed this was because they did not have a videotape player.
Ian David Karslake Watkins (born 30 July 1977) is a Welsh musician and convicted sex offender. Watkins achieved prominence as a founding member, lead vocalist, and lyricist of the rock band Lostprophets. Lostprophets disbanded in 2013 after Watkins was charged with sexual offences in late 2012. In November 2013, he pleaded guilty to 13 charges, including the attempted rape and sexual assault of a child under 13; these offences were committed against the infant children of two women who were also convicted.

Miss Mjadzelics, 38, began an on-off relationship with Watkins in 2006, after contacting him through The Lostprophets' MySpace page. She said he had fantasies about kidnaping and murdering a baby and warned police at first in 2008. She admitted she was utterly charmed by the handsome singer, who appeared ‘so sweet, so kind and always attentive’.

But in 2008, he admitted to sinister fantasies involving the rape of children. She said: ‘He began telling me about all these 14-year-old fans that he loved to take the virginity of. ‘And it just got worse and worse and he would start telling me things about how he wanted to get me pregnant so that he could rape our daughter.

‘He wanted to kidnap children. He wanted to get me involved in it.’

Miss Mjadzelics said she reported him to South Wales Police, even telling the child protection unit in his home town of Pontypridd the name of a four-year-old girl he had boasted of abusing.

But she said a detective sergeant told her they were dropping the investigation and warned her she could face harassment charges, after she wrote to Watkins’ mother to warn her about what she knew.

Miss Mjadzelics said: ‘I said to him, look, I’m not a psycho, I’m not a liar, I’m telling the truth. And if anything else happens to another child it’s on your head, not mine.’

But in 2011, she returned to the police after Watkins contacted her on Skype from Los Angeles and told her about a five-year-old girl he had been assaulting. He also texted her three images of child abuse.

She said she emailed South Wales police to say Watkins had escalated the abuse and had now raped ‘at least one’ young child.

She claims a detective sergeant called her back, but did not ask the see the images of the abuse and did ‘nothing at all’.

In spring 2012, Miss Mjadzelics turned to South Yorkshire Police in Doncaster, where she lived, and told them the name of a baby Watkins planned to abuse, after speaking to the child’s mother.

The mother later called police to claim it was a lie and no further action was taken, she said. Three weeks later he sexually assaulted the 11-month-old with the mother’s consent.

Watkins has persistently refused to accept that he is a pedophile and even denied a video showing him trying to rape a baby was him.

A Ms O’Neill handed over letters from Watkins’ family and friends which described him as ‘kind, gentle, considerate and talented man’. Watkins attempted to blame memory loss caused by drugs and also obsessed fans for his string of child sex offences.

The court heard Watkins, 36, had images on his computer of children between the ages of two and 14. He also had 22 pictures of bestiality, showing sex with dogs.

Police had to search through his huge banks of computer storage to find his sick child porn stash - then turned to the Government’s top computer specialists at GCHQ to crack his encryption codes. He also used passwords including one: ‘If***kids’.

A woman molested on live TV by Jimmy Savile has slammed the inquiry in which BBC bosses said they were unaware of his sex crimes.

Victim Sylvia Edwards, now 58, blasted the corporation - claiming that, in her instance, his assault was caught on camera.

She told the Sun: "Of course the BBC knew. In my case it’s even on video for God’s sake."

The shocking Top of the Pops clip emerged showing a smirking Savile fondling Edwards.
The review by retired judge Dame Janet Smith condemns the corporation over its "deferential culture" and "untouchable stars", and criticises it for having managers who were "above the law".

The draft report suggests Savile, carried out four rapes and one attempted rape while at the BBC, among 61 incidents of sexual assault.

The inquiry was leaked online to website Exaro and also warns that it was possible another "predatory child abuser could be lurking undiscovered in the BBC even today".

http://www.abplive.in/world-news/bbc-indias-daughter-trying-to-cover-up-for-a-serial-child-rape-employee-136723

**London**: The British Broadcasting Corporation, whose "internal culture" was described as "rotten" by a prominent British politician, has a record of trying to cover up sexual attacks by its own employees, including cancelling a TV programme on a BBC star personality, Jimmy Savile, who was a serial child rapist.

BBC canceled the investigative report exposing its popular presenter Jimmy Savile’s predatory sexual attacks on scores of children that was scheduled to have been broadcast in December 2011 in the Newsnight programme.

Just last week a report by a panel investigating Savile’s sexual crimes at one of the hospitals where he volunteered reported that he had attacked 60 people there, about half of them under 16 years, some as young as eight.

A report by the Metropolitan Police and the Britain’s National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children said in 2013 that 214 criminal offences have been formally recorded in which Savile is a suspect and these took place from 1955 to 2009. Many of the abuses took place in 14 medical establishments.


Another batch of reports published today on the scale of Jimmy Savile’s abuse at NHS hospitals over half a century add to the mass of evidence against the former BBC DJ. A separate report was also published on Thursday regarding allegations of sexual abuse made against Savile’s older brother Johnny, who died in 1998.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AJBNdCncsrE

Jimmy Savile and Gary Glitter: The BBC dressing Room Hell (00:2:34)

https://www.corbettreport.com/episode-304-political-pedophilia/

The evil history of Jimmy Savile

Jimmy Savile/BBC cover-up

Savile Scandal: MP implicates ‘senior aide with links to No10

Sir Jimmy Savile: Prince Charles’s love for Savile’s ‘ladies’

British VIP Pedophile Ring

About 40 politicians in UK pedophile ring

How MP Cyril Smith got away with child abuse

Ex-chief constable who says Cyril Smith cover-up went right to the top

What did Bill Clinton know? Former president named in Epstein sex slavery


An Open Secret, by Oscar-nominated documentarian Amy Berg, debuted at the DOC NYC festival Friday

Film claims X-Men star Brian Peck abused a well known child star and got away with it

Among the men named are talent managers Marty Weiss, Michael Harrah and Bob Villard, who represented Leonardo DiCaprio as a budding actor

It also includes interviews with Michael Egan III who accused X-Men director Bryan Singer of raping him before dropping his suit earlier this year

In his upcoming memoir, Coreyography, Corey Feldman details sexual abuse he and Corey Haim experienced in Hollywood.

Mark Salling, 33, who plays the "bad boy" in *Glee*, has been released on $US20,000 ($29,100) bail after being arrested for possessing child sex images. Electronic devices allegedly containing thousands of images of sex scenes involving underage children, some aged under 10 years, according to *TMZ* sources. His ascent to fame was tarnished by a 2013 lawsuit by his then girlfriend, who accused him of shaving her and forcing unprotected sex when she had requested he use a condom.

Exciting news for fans of Capt. Alfred Dreyfus—Roman Polanski will get to continue filming a movie about him in Poland after the country rejected an extradition request from the United States. Polanski, of course, was convicted in 1977 for plying a 13-year-old girl with champagne and drugs before having sex with her at Jack Nicholson’s house. But after serving 45 days of a 90-day sentence, Polanski fled the country. He’s been living in Europe ever since.

On Nov. 21, Fernando Rivas, 59, an award-winning composer for “*Sesame Street,*” was arraigned on charges of coercing a child "to engage in sexually explicit conduct" in South Carolina. The Juilliard-trained composer was also charged with production and distribution of child pornography.

Registered sex offender Jason James Murphy, 35, worked as a casting agent in Hollywood for years before his past kidnapping and sexual abuse of a boy was revealed by the *Los Angeles Times* on Nov. 17. Murphy’s credits include placing young actors in kid-friendly fare like "Bad News Bears," "*The School of Rock,*" "Cheaper by the Dozen 2" and the forthcoming "Three Stooges."

“This has been going on for a very long time," concurs former “*Little House on the Prairie*" star Alison Arngrim. “It was the gossip back in the ’80s. People said, ‘Oh yeah, the Coreys, everyone’s had them.’ People talked about it like it was not a big deal.” Arngrim, 49, was referring to Feldman and his co-star in “The Lost Boys,” Corey Haim, who died in March 2010 after years of drug abuse.

“I literally heard that they were ‘passed around,’” Arngrim said. “The word was that they were given drugs and being used for sex. It was awful – these were kids. There were all sorts of stories about everyone from their, quote, ‘set guardians’ on down that these two had been sexually abused and were totally being corrupted in every possible way.” Arngrim, who revealed her own sexual abuse in her 2010 autobiography, “Confessions of a Prairie Bitch,” explains: “I’ve heard from victims from all over the country. Everyone tells the same kind of story, everyone is told to keep it secret, everyone is threatened with something.”

Ravinder Kumar, the 24-year-old accused described how he would strangle most of his victims, almost all aged between 2 and 12, before having sex with their lifeless bodies. “I
would kill them so that they did not cry and scream when I raped them. Killing them first saved me from being caught," he said.

"The children’s fight back was limited to pushing away my hand. None of them ever hit me back," said Ravinder. Barring one case in which he and two friends slit a boy’s throat with a barber’s razor, he claimed to have never used any weapons. "I wanted to leave no clues ever," he said.

http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2678248/Mistakes-contribute-death-suspected-molester.html

A 'serial child rapist' hanged himself while under investigation for molesting little girls and filming it. Coniglio's home held child pornography, more than 50,000 images and videos stored on laptops, external hard drives and thumb drives. Hidden in a bedside table turned around to conceal the doors, authorities made an even more horrifying discovery: 56 8-millimetre cassette tapes they say show him raping and molesting girls.

All were unconscious, apparently drugged, according to FBI Special Agent William Kirkconnell said. Coniglio worked as a sales representative for a food distribution company headquartered in Florida.


Sam Strange a 23-year-old man who raped a four-year-old boy on Christmas Eve when he was drunk has been jailed for nine years after his victim gave evidence holding his teddy bear.


Darren Myhill, 45, is serving an indefinite sentence after admitting a catalogue of rape and sexual assault charges against five youngsters. The abuse stretched over more than 20 years, during which Myhill kept a scrapbook containing photographs of his victims and a checklist of the illegal sex acts he carried out on them.


Mack Charles Andrews Jr., 55, on Monday pleaded guilty to multiple charges of rape, sodomy, sexual abuse and attempted rape in exchange for 15 years in prison. Smith(a victim) said her grooming for abuse began at age 7, when Andrews called her into his Thomasville church office. District Attorney Spencer Walker said many others interviewed in the investigation described how they were "groomed" by performing chores in his office before being sexually abused.

One warrant states Smith was subjected to sexual torture in September 1988 when Andrews violated her with drumsticks, pens, letter openers, a figurine (she told AL.com it was the talons of a brass eagle) and even a flashlight, the warrant states. "A 7-year-old girl isn't ready to take a full grown man," Smith said at the time. Her grooming, Smith said, culminated when Andrews raped her on her father's grave when she was 9. "(Andrews) told me if I didn't say anything, he would come back and put flowers on the grave," she said in the interview with AL.com. "If I did, he said demons would come and get me from my bed."

http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/why-serial-rapist-britain-sexually-6242827

Psychopath Eduard Peticky, 48, was sentenced to life in prison this week after a British court heard he had already served time overseas for sex offences. In his native Slovakia, he was jailed for gross indecency with a child and the gang rape of two women. Yet Peticky came here for a ‘better life’ in 2008 and sexually assaulted a girl aged five and a boy aged three in Rotherham, South Yorks.
Ryan Pitts, 28, a San Antonio man has received a six-decade long prison sentence for owning what FBI agents describe as the worst collection of child pornography they have ever seen.

Federal agents raided Pitts' grandmother's San Antonio home in October of 2013 as part of a child porn investigation that involved sadomasochistic pictures, including one that featured the genitals of a girl who had recently been born and was still wearing the bracelet from the hospital. His laptop was found at a pawnshop.

Pitts worked at the Austin Children's Shelter, a gymnasium for kids in Georgetown, on a school bus that was used for children's parties and advertised on the website Craigslist as a babysitter.

"Not only was he sexually assaulting children and exploiting them by documenting the sexual assaults but he was giving them some type of medication that would render them unconscious," A family member of "Child Victim 6", in court papers said: "I'm taking him(victim) to counselling. He's so confused," she testified. "He doesn't look at life like he should, like a normal child. He has tried to end his life a couple of times. I just want justice to be served."

The trial of alleged serial rapist Peter Dashboy Khoza, who targeted girls as young as nine, started in the Johannesburg High Court sitting at the Palm Ridge Magistrate's Court, southeast of Johannesburg, on Monday.

Khoza, 40, of Tembisa, faces 152 charges including 46 of kidnapping, 29 of rape, 28 of assault with the intent to do grievous bodily harm, 19 of compelling or causing a child to witness a sexual assault, six of theft, one of attempted rape and a charge of exposure or display or causing exposure of genital organs to a child.

His victims were between the ages of nine and 14.

Timothy Patrick Dunigan IV, 22, of the 700 block of Columbia Avenue, was sentenced in Montgomery County Court to 20 to 44 years in a state correctional facility on charges of rape of a child, rape, involuntary deviate sexual intercourse, aggravated indecent assault and terroristic threats in connection with incidents that occurred between 2004 and 2012.

The assaults occurred while the victim was between the ages of 7 and 15 and while Dunigan was between 11 and 19, according to court documents. While some of the assaults occurred when Dunigan was a juvenile, the juvenile offences were eventually transferred to adult court for prosecution.

Feden alleged Dunigan told the girl not to tell anyone about the assaults and threatened the girl with physical violence.

Rudolph Coetzee, a Kimberley photographer, was sentenced to an effective 24 years imprisonment after he was found guilty of five counts of rape, 22 counts of using children in the production of child pornography, 16 counts of sexual assault and two counts of attempted rape. The crimes involved local boys and girls aged between 3 and 12 years.

A Lysander man, McGuinness, convicted of child pornography knew a notorious Syracuse rapist, Clark, who is spending nearly three decades in prison.

The same judge sentenced Clark in 2014 for raping the two girls, 2- and 4-year-old, as his wife held them down. Clark was also convicted of child pornography.

McGuinness's lawyer, Robert Baska, noted today at sentencing that McGuinness and Clark
knew each other, apparently while working at Anheuser Busch.

Terrell Debarge Gable, 30, of 348 E. King St., is currently behind bars, facing three sets of sexual-assault-related charges and under investigation for at least two more, the lieutenant said.

Police say Gable broke into an East Salem Avenue residence in which a girl was sleeping on the couch as her parents slept upstairs. She told authorities Gable pulled the covers and her pants and underwear off, and anally raped her, injuring her, according to documents.

More assaults: Two other young children also told authorities Gable assaulted them, according to documents.

On May 27, 2015, a 4-year-old girl told authorities her "daddy's friend" had touched her private parts the previous day, according to charging documents. She said her father had been asleep at the time.

During a medical exam done at York Hospital the day of the assault, a nurse found tearing and an abrasion to her vaginal area, documents state.

Then, earlier this month, a 4 ¼-year-old girl reported Gable had assaulted her several times in May 2013, when she was 3, documents state. Police say another person witnessed him "humping" a chair the girl sat in.

BRIDGEPORT - A 27-year-old city man was sentenced Friday to 15 years in prison for repeatedly raping a 12-year-old girl at a local motel.

http://www.good4utah.com/news/local-wasatch-front--police-12-year-old-murder-victim-may-have-been-sexually-abused
WEST VALLEY Utah (ABC 4 Utah) – A 15-year-old accused of murdering a 12-year-old girl may have also sexually abused her. (00:2:14)

Durban - Police are searching for a man they believe to be a serial rapist who allegedly raped four children near Cato Manor on the same day. The children, aged between 10 and 14, were lured into the bushes near Booth Road three weeks ago by a man.

http://www.stuff.co.nz/national/crime/72572944/serial-rapist-unchecked-for-20-years--claim
A serial rapist went unchecked for more than 20 years in Hamilton despite two police investigations. Stephen Wallace, the owner of Incorporated Martial Arts in Hamilton, was found dead at his home in September after police launched a third investigation into sex abuse claims. The police said they could not push the earlier cases to prosecution because of a lack of evidence.

But one man who says he was a victim, who does not want to be named, said this isn't good enough. "So many adults knew back then and did nothing. Maybe I could have been saved if someone just took a stand," he said. "That's two investigations and the club knew. Why didn't the club warn parents? They let my parents believe I was coming to a safe place, but they delivered me to the devil himself. "The man, now in his late 20s, has struggled ever since. "I'm so angry. I'm always angry. I'm sick of feeling this way." Reid Clark said he was Stephen Wallace's employee at both times of investigations. "I asked Stephen, what's the story with this, and he explained to me that the kids were wrestling and 'I must have bumped one of the kids by accident.' And I said, 'Oh, okay.' "

Anthony John Carolan's offences were committed on three victims, two boys and a girl – aged about five, about seven and between about six and seven – between December 1997 and February 1999 at Endeavour Hills, Knox and Ferntree Gully.

212
Carolan at the time was employed with the Wesley Central Mission.

http://www.kentonline.co.uk/romney-marsh/news/serial-child-rapist-jailed-for-34418/
A child rapist who subjected two young girls to "ghastly" sexual abuse has been sentenced to 19 years in jail.
Brian Thrale, 65, pleaded guilty to the offence in September, when he also admitted an attempted rape and gross sexual misconduct dating back 30 years.
Thrale is already serving a 15-year prison sentence, imposed at the same court in 2012, for similar offences.

Frederick Springer, 70, was sentenced to life in prison with the possibility of parole in 39 years after a three-judge panel found him guilty of raping and killing 13-year-old Barbara Knudsen of Cleveland on June 26, 1973.
Springer also admitted raping a 29-year-old Euclid woman on July 21 of that year. In addition, he was found guilty of abducting and raping a 19-year-old Willoughby Hills woman in June 1972.
In 1964, he spent 31 months in the Lima State Mental Hospital for assaulting a 12-year-old girl. Knudsen's naked body was found by a Cleveland policeman in a clump of blackberry bushes. She had been badly beaten and sexually assaulted, according to court records.

The female victims are raped in their bedrooms and foyers, police said. The attacker carries a weapon, threatens the girls and always manages to escape. The most recent attack occurred around 7 a.m. Friday morning when a 13-year-old girl was raped in her bedroom after her parents left for work, police said. The suspect gained entry into the home, awakened the victim, and threatened her with a weapon.
The other attacks occurred Jan. 16 on a 13-year-old girl and Jan. 31 on a 14-year-old girl, police said.

A man was arrested after he allegedly broke into a suburban Philadelphia apartment and raped a 6-year-old girl who was sleeping in her bedroom.
Oscar Rivera Herrera, 28, is charged with rape, rape of a child, statutory sexual assault, sexual assault, indecent assault, burglary, criminal trespass, terrorist threats and simple assault.
An 11-year-old boy told police a man broke into his family's apartment and may have done "something" to his 6-year-old sister. The boy said he discovered the man naked in bed with his sister and immediately alerted his parents.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dean_Corll
Between 1970 and 1973, Corll is known to have killed a minimum of 28 victims. All of his victims were males aged 13 to 20. He was assisted by one or both of his teenaged accomplices: Elmer Wayne Henley, and David Owen Brooks.
Corll's victims were typically lured into one of two vehicles he owned, a Ford Econoline van or a Plymouth GTX, with an offer of a party or a lift, and then driven to his house. There, they were plied with alcohol or other drugs until they passed out, tricked into putting on handcuffs, or simply grabbed by force. They were then stripped naked and tied to either Corll's bed or, usually, a plywood torture board, which was regularly hung on a wall. Once manacled, the victims would be sexually assaulted, beaten, tortured and—sometimes after several days—killed by strangulation or shooting with a .22-caliber pistol. Their bodies were then tied in plastic sheeting and buried in any one of four places:

http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/614225/Child-rapist-jailed-for-LIFE-after-he-is-caught-on-CCTV-snatching-6-year-old-on-the-street
The girl later described to police: "After school she was riding her bike near her home in Burnley when a black Vauxhall car drove near to her and stopped. "The man who was the driver said to her that she should look under the car as a ball was stuck there and asked for her help to retrieve it. "The man then picked her up and dropped her into the car boot.

Mr Barr said the defendant had stopped the car and pulled down the backseat of the Vauxhall down so he could see the girl, before exposing himself and trying to force her to commit a sex act on him.

A victim impact statements taken from the little girl's mother told the court how she was living a "nightmare" following the attack.

At the time of the abduction, Khan of Burnley in Lancashire, only just completed a sexual offenders course to provide the pedophile with the mechanisms of dealing with any sort of urges or intentions they may have.

It came after he was handed a nine-year sentence in 2009 for abducting two young girls off the street, before raping a 12-year-old in the back of his car.

http://www.twincities.com/localnews/ci_28947580/court-had-ordered-suspected-minneapolis-child-rapist-into

Thirty-four-year-old Ahmed Hersi Abdi was charged Tuesday with two felony counts of first-degree criminal sexual conduct. He's accused of raping the girl Sunday in the hallway of the Riverside Plaza apartment complex in Minneapolis.

Abdi was diagnosed with schizophrenia and was committed for treatment last year. But he was discharged from the University of Minnesota Medical Center, Fairview in January.


Keith Ferguson, 44, was caught with images of sex acts he had committed. Ferguson admitted the crimes, as well as sexually assaulting a woman.

At an earlier hearing, he admitted sexually assaulting and raping a girl aged between three and five, sexually assaulting another three-year-old and sexually assaulting a sleeping woman. He also pleaded guilty to engaging in sexual activity in the presence of children and making and possessing indecent photos of children between June 2010 and June this year.

1,552 indecent photos were found including 78 showing the worst examples of abuse. A further 233 videos were found, including 103 at the most serious level. The total running time of the videos exceeded six hours.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pWm_TIVsA00

Disney world Child molesters caught on cam [24:39]

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EwrJdEccdB4

Video Catches Child Rape Attempt in Colombia [2:07]


Clifford Taylor, a 51-year-old former research scientist, admitted in court to molesting his girlfriend's daughter while baby-sitting her sometime between May and July of last year. The child later told her mother, who went to authorities. Taylor was convicted on two counts of rape.


Sex attackers in India who raped a toddler leaving her with scratch and bite marks all over her body have been branded "animals."

The two-year-old was one of two youngsters reported to have been attacked in separate assaults in the capital Delhi, sparking public outrage and demands to crackdown on rising violence against women and girls.

A five-year-old girl is also in a critical condition in hospital after being raped in the city. Three men have been arrested in connection with that sex attack, while two teenagers have been detained over the rape of the two-year-old.

The toddler had been snatched while playing outside her home in west Delhi. Family members found her unconscious and bleeding in a park three hours later.
The attacks come a week after a four-year-old girl was found dumped near a railway line. She had been raped and slashed with a blade.

The following are detail case studies. Exposing behaviour, progressively double lives, filled with manipulative, self-centred, lying games, and what pedophile's ill fantasies will result in.


Theodore Robert Bundy (born Theodore Robert Cowell; November 24, 1946 – January 24, 1989) was an American serial killer, kidnapper, rapist, and necrophile who assaulted and murdered numerous young women and girls during the 1970s. Bundy was regarded as handsome and charismatic by his young female victims, traits he exploited to win their trust. He typically approached them in public places, feigning injury or disability, or impersonating an authority figure, before overpowering and assaulting them at more secluded locations. He sometimes revisited his secondary crime scenes for hours at a time, grooming and performing sexual acts with the decomposing corpses. Bundy later said that searchers missed a collection of Polaroid photographs of his victims hidden in the utility room. He took Polaroid photos of many of his victims. "When you work hard to do something right," he told Hagmaier, "you don't want to forget it."

Bundy was an unusually organised and calculating criminal who used his extensive knowledge of law enforcement methodologies to elude identification and capture for years. He was unusually skilled at minimising physical evidence. Rule saw nothing disturbing in Bundy's personality at the time, describing him as "kind, solicitous, and empathetic". Classmates from Woodrow Wilson High School told Rule, however, that Bundy was "well known and well liked" there, "a medium-sized fish in a large pond". Biographer Ann Rule described him as "... a sadistic sociopath who took pleasure from another human's pain and the control he had over his victims, to the point of death, and even after."

"Sociopaths," prosecutor George Dekle wrote, "are egotistical manipulators who think they can con anybody. " Bundy granted an interview to James Dobson. "It happened in stages, gradually," he said. "My experience with ... pornography that deals on a violent level with sexuality, is once you become addicted to it ... I would keep looking for more potent, more explicit, more graphic kinds of material. Until you reach a point where the pornography only goes so far ... where you begin to wonder if maybe actually doing it would give that which is beyond just reading it or looking at it."[318] Violence in the media, he said, "particularly sexualised violence," sent boys "down the road to being Ted Bundys."

"You are going to kill me," he said, "and that will protect society from me. But out there are many, many more people who are addicted to pornography, and you are doing nothing about that."


Henry Lee Lucas (August 23, 1936 – March 12, 2001) was an American serial killer. Lucas was arrested in Texas and, on the basis of his confessions. Lucas was convicted of murdering 11 people and condemned to death. He was born on August 23, 1936 in Blacksburg, Virginia. Lucas lost an eye at age 10 after his brother knifed him. Bullied by his peers for his glass eye and cross-dressing, which he was forced into by his mother, Lucas later mentioned mass social rejection as a cause for his hatred of people. A friend later described him as a child who would often get attention by frighteningly strange behaviour. Aside from this, Lucas' mother was a prostitute who would force him to watch her have sex with clients.

In 1971 Lucas was convicted of attempting to kidnap three schoolgirls. While serving a five-year sentence he established a relationship with a family friend and single mother who had written to him. They married on his release in 1975 but he left two years later after his stepdaughter reported sexual abuse. Yet another unidentified victim was discovered in 1980, that of the Walker County Jane Doe. It was initially believed that Lucas was responsible for both her murder and sexual assault. However, a bite mark on the girl's shoulder has not been confirmed to match Henry Lee Lucas' dental charts.
One Texas Ranger said it was obvious to him that Lucas often lied.

Fred West was born into a poor family of farm workers in Bickerton Cottage, Much Marcle, Herefordshire. His parents were Walter Stephen West and Daisy Hannah Hill. He was the second of their six children. West would later claim that his father had incestuous relationships with his daughters. It has been suggested that incest was an accepted part of the household, and that his father taught him bestiality from an early age. It has also been alleged that his mother Daisy began sexually abusing him from the age of 12. In 2014.

In May 1992, Fred West filmed himself raping one of his seven daughters, and four times again afterwards. She told friends at school what had happened. On 4 August, one of the friends told her mother, who went to the police. On 6 August 1992, the police began an investigation, eventually leading to West being charged with rape, with Rosemary (his wife) as an accomplice. She was also charged with child cruelty, and the remaining children were placed in foster care. The rape case against the Wests collapsed when the two main witnesses declined to testify at the court case on 7 June 1993.

The police continued investigating the disappearance of their daughter Heather. After taking statements from social workers, and the children, about a joke about “Heather being buried under the patio”, they obtained a search warrant in February 1994. After West's arrest the following day, the police uncovered human bones.

Fred and Rose West were both indulging their unconventional sexual appetites, with Rose earning extra money as a prostitute (often while West watched) and West committing acts of bondage and violent sex acts on underage girls. He fitted out the cellar at No 25 as a torture chamber, and his daughter, Anne-Marie, became one of its first occupants, subjected to a horrifically brutal rape by her father while her stepmother held her down. The child was threatened with beatings if she told anyone of her ordeal.

In late 1972, they engaged 17-year-old Caroline Owens as a nanny. She was incarcerated, stripped and raped. Despite threats that she would be killed and buried in the cellar, Owens was able to make an escape and reported the Wests to the police. Charges were brought against them. Incredibly, and despite his existing criminal record, West was able to convince a magistrate, when the case came to court in 1973, that Owens had consented to the activities. Owens felt too traumatised over what she had survived to give testimony about the rape. The Wests both escaped with fines. Rose was pregnant at the time with their first son, Stephen, who was born in August.

Over the next several years Lynda Gough, Lucy Partington, Juanita Mott, Therese Siegenthaler, Alison Chambers, Shirley Robinson and 15-year-old schoolgirls Carol Ann Cooper and Shirley Hubbard all became victims of the Wests. After brutal sexual attacks, all were murdered, dismembered and buried in the cellar under 25 Cromwell Street.

Rose's daughter Louise was born in 1978, Barry joined the brood in 1980, with Rosemary Junior following in 1982 and Lucyanna in 1983. They were aware to some extent of the activities in the house, but West and Rose exercised strict control over them.

West's sexual interest in his own daughters didn't wane, when Anne-Marie moved out to live with her boyfriend, he switched his attentions to younger siblings, Heather and Mae. Heather resisted his attentions and, in 1987, told a friend about the goings on in the house. The Wests responded by murdering and dismembering her, and burying her in the back garden of No 25, where son Stephen was forced to assist with digging the hole.

Detective Constable Hazel Savage led a search at Cromwell Street in August of 1992 that found pornography and clear evidence of child abuse.

John Wayne Gacy, Jr. was born on March 17, 1942, to Marion Elaine Robinson. One of Gacy's earliest childhood memories was of being beaten with a leather belt by his father at the age of four for accidentally disarranging car engine components his father had assembled. In 1949, Gacy and another boy had been caught sexually fondling a young girl. The same year, Gacy was himself molested by a family friend. He was occasionally hospitalised due to seizures. A school friend of Gacy's, recalled several instances in which Gacy Sr. ridiculed or beat his son without provocation.
At the age of 18, Gacy joined the Jaycees (United States Junior Chamber). The same year, according to Gacy, a colleague of his within the Springfield Jaycees plied him with drinks, invited him to spend the evening upon his sofa, then performed oral sex upon him while he was drunk.

In August 1967, Gacy committed his first known sexual assault. The youth was a 15-year-old named Donald Voorhees. Gacy lured the youth to his house upon the promise of showing Voorhees pornographic films. Gacy plied Voorhees with alcohol and persuaded the youth to perform oral sex upon him. Several other youths were sexually abused over the following months, including one where Gacy blackmailed the youth into performing oral sex upon him.

In March 1968, Donald Voorhees reported to his father that Gacy had sexually assaulted him. Gacy was arrested and subsequently charged with oral sodomy in relation to Voorhees and the attempted assault of a 16-year-old named Edward Lynch.

Gacy was granted parole with 12 months' probation on June 18, 1970, after serving 18 months of his 10-year sentence.

On February 12, 1971, Gacy was charged with sexually assaulting a teenage boy. The youth claimed that Gacy had lured him into his car at Chicago's Greyhound bus terminal and driven him to his home, where he had attempted to force the youth into sex. This complaint was subsequently dismissed when the youth failed to appear in court.

October 1971, Gacy's parole ended. Records of Gacy's previous criminal convictions in Iowa were subsequently sealed. One week before Gacy's wedding, on June 22, he was arrested and charged in response to a complaint filed by youth named Jackie Dee, who informed police that Gacy, impersonating a police officer, had flashed a sheriff's badge, lured him into his car, and forced him to perform oral sex. These charges were later dropped after this complainant attempted to blackmail Gacy into paying money in exchange for dropping the charges.

1972, Gacy started his own construction business, PDM Contractors. In 1973, Gacy and an employee of PDM Contractors traveled to Florida to view property. On the first night the two were alone in Florida, Gacy raped the youth in their hotel room. Upon returning to Chicago, this employee drove to Gacy's house and beat him. Gacy explained to his wife that this attack happened because he had refused to pay the youth for poor quality work he had performed. His neighbours in Norwood Park considered Gacy gregarious and helpful; he was active in his local community and, from 1974, hosted annual summer parties.He also became active in Democratic Party politics, initially offering the labor services of his PDM employees free of charge. 1975, Gacy had joined the Jolly Jokers creating his own performance characters: "Pogo the Clown" and "Patches the Clown". He performed at numerous local parties, Democratic party functions, charitable events, and at children's hospitals. By 1975, Gacy had openly admitted to his wife he was bisexual. His wife found gay pornography inside the house.

On January 2, 1972, Gacy picked up 16-year-old Timothy Jack McCoy from Chicago's Greyhound bus terminal. In an interview after his arrest, Gacy stated that immediately after killing McCoy, he felt "totally drained", yet noted that he had experienced an orgasm as he killed the youth. In this 1980s interview, he added: "That's when I realised that death was the ultimate thrill." January 1974 the victim was an unidentified teenage youth estimated to be aged between 14 and 18.

Much of the labor workforce of PDM Contractors consisted of high school students and young men. On July 29, 1975, 17-year-old John Butkovitch, disappeared. Gacy later admitted to luring Butkovitch to his home to settle the issue of Butkovitch's overdue wages. Over the following three years, Butkovitch's parents called police more than 100 times, urging them to investigate Gacy further. Gacy later admitted to having "sat on the kid's chest for a while" before killing him.

March 2 1976, the Gacys' divorce—decreed upon the false grounds of Gacy's infidelity with women—was finalised. One month after his divorce was finalised, Gacy abducted and murdered an 18-year-old youth named Darrell Sampson. May 14, a 15-year-old named Randall Reffett disappeared while walking home from Senn High School. A 14-year-old named Samuel Stapleton vanished as he walked to his home from his sister's apartment. On June 3, 1976, Gacy killed 17-year-old Michael Bonnin. Ten days later, a 16-year-old William Carroll was murdered.
Gacy employed an 18-year-old named David Cram. On August 21, Cram moved into his house. The following day, Gacy conned Cram into donning handcuffs while the youth was inebriated. Gacy informed him that he intended to rape him. Cram, who had spent a year in the Army, kicked Gacy in the face, then freed himself from the handcuffs as Gacy lay prone. On October 24, 1976, Gacy abducted and killed two teenage friends named Kenneth Parker and Michael Marino: In March 1978, Gacy lured a 26-year-old named Jeffrey Rignall into his car. The young man was chloroformed and driven to the house on Summerdale, where he was raped, tortured with various instruments including lit candles and whips, and repeatedly chloroformed into unconsciousness. Rignall was then dumped, unconscious with burns and rectal bleeding, but alive. Police were informed of the assault, but did not investigate Gacy. Gacy was heard mentioning that his firm hired teenage boys while he was within earshot of a 15-year-old pharmacy employee named Robert Jerome Piest.

After Gacy left the store, Piest told his mother that "some contractor wants to talk to me about a job." When Piest failed to return, his family filed a missing person report. Gacy flatly denied any involvement in the disappearance of Robert Piest and repeated that he had not offered the youth a job. When asked why he had returned to the pharmacy at 8 p.m. on December 11, Gacy claimed he had done so in response to a phone call from Phil Torf informing him he had left his appointment book at the store. Detectives had already spoken with Torf, who had stated he had placed no such call to Gacy.

By December 16, Gacy was becoming affable with the surveillance detectives, regularly inviting them to join him for meals in various restaurants and occasionally for drinks in bars or his home. He repeatedly denied that he had anything to do with Piest's disappearance and accused the officers of harassing him because of his political connections.

On the evening of December 20, Gacy drove to his lawyers' office. Gacy informed his lawyers: "This boy is dead. He's in a river." Gacy gave a rambling confession. Some victims he referred to by name; most he dismissed as "male prostitutes", "hustlers" and "liars". On other occasions, he stated he would wake up to find "dead, strangled kids" on his floor, with their hands cuffed behind their back. In reference to Robert Piest, Gacy stated that as he placed the tourniquet around his neck, that Piest was "crying, scared".

Armed with a search warrant, police drove to Gacy's home. Daniel Gentry entered the 28-by-38-feet crawl space and began digging. Within minutes, he had uncovered a human arm bone.

In the early hours of December 22, 1978, Gacy confessed to police.

Once back at Gacy's house, the victims would be handcuffed or otherwise bound, then sexually assaulted and tortured. To muffle his victims' screams, Gacy would often stick cloth rags or items of the victim's own clothing in their mouths. Some victims had been partly drowned in his bathtub before they had been revived, enabling Gacy to continue his prolonged assault. Many of his victims had been strangled with a tourniquet, which Gacy referred to as his "rope trick;" Gacy dispose some of his victims off the I-55 bridge into the Des Plaines River. The final five victims—all killed in 1978—were disposed of in this manner because his crawl space was full.

Between December 22 and December 29, 1978, 27 bodies were recovered from Gacy's property. Excavations of the property resumed in March—despite Gacy's insistence to investigators that all the victims' bodies buried upon his property had been found. On March 9, the body of a 28th victim was found buried in a pit close to a barbecue grill in the backyard: One week later, on March 16, the skeletal remains of another victim were found buried beneath the joists of the dining room floor.

Three additional bodies, which had been found in the nearby Des Plaines River between June and December 1978, were also confirmed to have been victims of Gacy. In addition, several of the bodies were found with the ligature used to strangle them still knotted around their necks. In other instances, cloth gags were found lodged deep down the victims' throats. In some cases, bodies were found with foreign objects such as prescription bottles lodged into their pelvic region, the position of which indicated the items had been thrust into the victims' anus.

21 January 2016
Millions knew Jimmy Savile as an eccentric TV personality. He was one of Britain's biggest stars, a larger-than-life character who was known for tea-time TV favourites such as Top of the Pops and Jim'll Fix It as well as stints on BBC Radio 1. He was also, to some, Saint Jimmy, a diligent fundraiser who raised £40m for charity. But, a year after his death in 2011, allegations of abuse surfaced. It transpired that he was, in fact, one of the UK's most prolific sexual predators. He had been exploiting his status to prey on hundreds of people - girls and boys, men and women, but mostly vulnerable young females. Assaulting or raping them in television dressing rooms, hospitals, schools, children's homes and his caravan.

The abuse is thought to have begun in the mid-1940s, when he was in his late teens or early 20s, and lasted until 2009.

Metropolitan Police launched Operation Yewtree and interviewed 375 witnesses in connection with Savile. Savile abused at least 63 people connected to Stoke Mandeville Hospital in Buckinghamshire, and Surrey Police said 22 pupils and one visitor had been sexually abused by him at a school for emotionally disturbed teenage girls.

http://www.independent.co.uk/arts-entertainment/tv/features/lynn-barber-i-was-nervous-when-i-told-jimmy-savile-people-say-you-like-little-girls-8193169.html

Interview of Jimmy Savile by Lynn Barber - 1990

“What people say is that you like little girls.” He reacted with a flurry of funny-voice Jimmy Savile patter, which is what he does when he’s getting his bearings: “Ah now. Sure. Now then. Now then. First of all, I happen to be in the pop business, which is teenagers – that’s No 1. So when I go anywhere it’s the young ones that come round me.

“Now what the tabloids don’t realise is that the young girls in question don’t gather round me because of me – it’s because I know the people they love, the stars, because they know I saw Bros last week or Wet Wet Wet. Now you, watching from afar, might say ‘Look at those young girls throwing themselves at him’, whereas in actual fact it’s exactly the opposite. I am of no interest to them, except in a purely platonic way. “A lot of disc jockeys make the mistake of thinking that they’re sex symbols and then they get a rude awakening. But I always realised that I was a service industry. Like, because I knew Cliff [Richard] before he’d even made a record, all the Cliff fans would bust a gut to meet me, so that I could tell them stories about their idol. But if I’d said, ‘Come round, so that I can tell you stories about me’ or ‘Come round, so that you can fall into my arms’ they’d have said: ‘What! On yer bike!’ But because reporters don’t understand the nuances of all that, they say, ‘A-ha.”’ This seems a perfectly credible explanation of why rumour links him to young girls. His name has only ever been linked to one woman, his mother, whom he called the Duchess. Savile said: “When she died she was all mine. The best five days of my life were spent with the Duchess when she was dead. She looked marvellous. She belonged to me. It’s wonderful, is death.”

(Incidentally, he has an enthusiasm for dead bodies in general, which can be quite unnerving. The first time I ever met him, eight years ago, he raved on about all the bodies that came his way in the mortuary at Leeds Infirmary…)


TWISTED Jimmy Savile may have sexually abused CORPSES as well as kids, it emerged last night.

Stoke Mandeville Hospital, where he worked as a volunteer, launched an investigation into his unaccompanied mortuary visits.

These were just a few examples, to give an idea of how people with sexual perversions operate and the extent of what they will do to satisfy themselves.

Addition 15

What’s to be minimised? Professional Opinions: psychiatric profiles; psychological characteristics of pedophiles; sexual abuse effects on the victims; victim testimonies
(Warning: Reader discretion is advised)

"The serial killer has the same personality characteristics as the sex offender against children"
-Dr. Mace Knapp, Nevada State Prison Psychologist

http://www.criminaljusticeschoolinfo.com/sex-crimes.html
Usually sex crimes do not result in homicide. But in some cases they are deemed worse than murder both morally and legally. In "Understanding the Predatory Nature of Sexual Violence", Dr. David Lisak of the University of Massachusetts Boston points out: "In Senate Bill 218, the low end of the range for the most serious sex offences is higher than the mandatory minimum or low end of presumptive sentences for some crimes that result in death - Death has always been seen as the greatest harm that could be inflicted by an offender. But death can be caused by reckless conduct. Sex offences are not reckless - they are at the very least knowing and often intentional".

Those who survive a brutal form of sexual assault, battery or abuse often live with that experience for the rest of their lives. Their trust in others is shaky, their ability to be intimate with a long term partner is hugely challenged and a myriad of mental health problems, from post traumatic stress and anxiety to depression and addictions may result.

In a few cases, those who have been victimised during their childhood become sexual offenders as teens or adults creating a vicious cycle. However, it may surprise you to know that sexual pleasure is not always the motivation behind a sexual offender's crimes.

Types of Sex Crimes
When most people hear the term 'sex crime', their mind generally thinks of either rape or child molestation. While these unfortunately are prevalent sexual offences, there are several other categories of sex crimes.

**Exhibitionism/Indecent Exposure:** Someone guilty of indecent exposure or exhibitionism has exposed him or herself (i.e. his or her genitals) in public or to an unwilling stranger.

**Child Pornography:** Producing, possessing and/or distributing child pornography is illegal. Generally, child pornography refers to images, such as videos or photographs, of minors under 18 years of age; the images are deemed pornography if they are characterised by a sexual nature. Nude photographs are not necessarily considered pornography, such as the case of a parent taking a photo of baby's first bath.

**Voyeurism:** Generally voyeurism involves observing or watching one or more non-consenting individuals in a sexual manner, i.e. if they are undressing or engaging in private sexual acts. In some cases those guilty of voyeurism will masturbate as they watch.

**Frotteurism:** Frotteurism refers to an offender touching or rubbing his or her body against an unwilling or unsuspecting individual.

**Prostitution:** While legislation regarding prostitution varies, there are numerous criminal offences related to this area, such as pandering (pimping), solicitation and sex trafficking.

**Internet Sex Crimes:** Since the dawn of the digital age, the Internet has become a domain for sexual crimes. Internet sex crimes include watching or distributing child pornography or luring a juvenile to meet in person for sexual acts.

**Rape:** The legal definition of rape may vary according to state laws, but generally it refers forcing someone to have sex or having sex with a minor or a non-consensual adult. Examples include statutory rape and date rape, says the Criminal Defence Information Centre.

**Child Molestation:** Child molestation involves an adult performing a range of sexual acts on a juvenile. Depending on state legislation, a juvenile may be under the age of 19, 18, 17, 16, etc.

**Incest:** According to Fort Lewis College's Hal Arkowitz and Scott O. Lilienfeld ("Misunderstood Crimes") incest differs from child molestation in that it involves an adult performing sexual acts on a juvenile who is their blood relative.

**Sexual Homicide:** Dr. Mark S. Carich et al. (in "Sexual Murder and Sexual Serial Killers")
wrote, "It is understood that the vast majority of sexual offenders such as pedophiles and adult rapists do NOT kill their victims". However, in some cases an offender will commit sexual assault and then murder. Some even become sexual serial killers. Carich et al. add that sexual homicide may also be coupled with heinous acts such as necrophilia or cannibalism.

It is mistakenly believed that sexual offenders are solely motivated by sexual gratification when they commit their crimes. Dr. Nicholas Groth developed three typologies to describe the motivations of rapists, two of which suggest sexual gratification is secondary. **Anger rapists** are fuelled by rage towards their victims and rape is their way of seeking violent revenge. According to the Centre for Sex Offender Management (CSOM), these rapists may actually be extremely discontent with another area in their lives and thus take out their frustration on their victims. "Anger rapists tend to use a significant amount of physical force when they subdue their victims - in most cases, far more force than is necessary to perpetrate the abuse," adds the CSOM. Verbal abuse is also a common component of these types of violations that are generally impulsive - not planned. **Power Rapists** on the other hand are less impulsive and rely on psychological manipulation more than physical violence to subdue and sexually assault their victims. "The power rapist was motivated by his need to control and dominate his victim, and inversely, to avoid being controlled by [the victim]," describes Dr. Lisak. Those who rape their domestic partners are often characterised as power rapists. There are also sadistic rapists who receive sexual or erotic gratification from exerting power and control over the victims they rape. "Because they have an erotic response to power and control, extreme violence and torture often characterise their assaults," says the CSOM.

**Pedophiles** are a person over the age of 16 who has a dominating attraction to children, generally who have not yet gone through puberty. Those who carry out their acts fuelled by their attraction to children generally are not impulsive and carefully plan their offences. On the other hand, the situational or regressed child molester is normally attracted to someone who is age appropriate. This type of molester turns to juveniles as a reaction to stress or difficulties going on in their personal life. Amanda L. Cunningham from Missouri Western State University provides some interesting insight into the mind of some child molesters. "They believe that their victims enjoy the attention and care they receive and that what they are doing to the child is acceptable. In addition, child molesters often believe that they are expressing a romantic love and that their victims are returning this love. They believe that the sexual feelings are mutual". Cunningham adds that many child molesters do however feel they have to keep the relationship a secret and that they view other molesters' behaviours as wrong and not based on genuine love like their own 'relationship'.

**Does being sexually assaulted as a child lead to becoming a sex offender?**
Dr. Lisak states that neglect, physical abuse or sexual abuse during childhood is more common among rapists than non-rapists. The National Institute of Justice adds that those who are arrested for sex crimes were more likely subject to abuse (but not necessarily sexual abuse) during their childhood.

You may be surprised to know that repeat sex offenders do not necessarily target only one category of victim or offend in the same manner. Dr. Lisak explains that a proportion of sexual offenders are 'non-specialists'. "Multiple studies have now documented that between 33% and 66% of rapists have also sexually attacked children; that up to 82% of child molesters have also sexually attacked adults; and that between 50% and 66% of incest offenders have also sexually attacked children outside their families," states Dr. Lisak.


Who are the people that use children for their own sexual purposes? There is no one type of person. "We've treated high-ranking military officers, physicians, lawyers and plumbers," said Dr. Fred Berlin, co-director of the clinic for sexual disorders at the Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore. "Some are highly educated; others are not." Almost all pedophiles who reach the attention of the courts or who go to prison are men, but experts say that the sexual abuse by women may be more prevalent than reports show. Often a Lifelong Problem
The behaviour of many pedophiles seems a lifelong, uncontrollable compulsion. Pedophiles are often repeating experiences from their past. Dr. Groth said that 80 percent of the sex offenders he had studied had themselves been sexually abused as children.

Dr. Groth, who has written several books on people who sexually abuse children, categorises pedophiles into “fixated” or “regressed” types. He defines “fixated” pedophiles as those who become fixated on children as sex objects in adolescence, who usually stay single and who often have important sexual relations only with children. Of 175 sex offenders studied, Dr. Groth found almost half to be in this category. Of these 83 men, 35 had sexual relations only with boys; 28 only with girls and 20 with both boys and girls. This group makes “a narcissistic object choice,” Dr. Groth said. Another type of pedophile, who Dr. Groth classifies as “regressed,” regresses to sexual interest in children after a serious personal setback, such as marital problems, a death in the family or physical disablement. Alcohol abuse is much more likely to be involved in this group. This pedophile, who may be married, is often seeking a substitute for a woman and treats the child “as a pseudo-adult” Dr. Groth said. Of 92 such men studied, 65 had sexual relations only with girls; 15 only with boys and 12 with both boys and girls.

About 10 percent of those Dr. Groth has studied who abuse children use rape or other physical force on children, and Dr. Groth sees these men as motivated by anger or hostility toward the child or what the child represents.

Many of the abused children are seduced by people they know and trust, including close family members. Those who succumb without force are often persuaded by a combination of affection and bribery and are often lonely children themselves. On asking a convicted pedophile how he found his victims, he was told: “I would look at a schoolyard and find the child who was standing alone. The child who had thin clothes in winter, the child who was not as clean because the parents weren't taking good care of him.” The pedophile often tells himself that he is doing the child a favour by providing more care and affection than the child would otherwise receive. “Children are taught to cooperate with adults, and a child can cooperate without consenting,” he said. The pedophiles “capitalise on the vulnerability of children.

Some of the few people who publicly support sexual relations between adults and children point to prior periods when such practices were prevalent, such as in ancient Greece. Sexuality experts, however, note that the unions then were between men and adolescents, not younger children. Moreover, the relationship was institutionalised as a “mentorship,” and the boys were supposed to leave this period of their lives to resume heterosexual development.

While there may be some debate over whether sexual relations between adults and adolescents are always harmful, there is strong agreement among experts that sexual relations between adults and preadolescent children are destructive. Abused children miss the valuable period of childhood where they are sexually left alone to develop on their own. “Kids have an expectation that adults will protect them,” said Dr. Suzanne Sgroi, co-director of the St. Joseph College Institute for the Treatment and Control of Child Sexual Abuse in West Hartford, Conn.

Even when the pedophile uses no force, "on balance, it's a very negative experience," Dr. Sgroi said. Dr. Sgroi has worked with hundreds of sexually abused children, said, "I never encounter people who say 'it didn't hurt me,' "Often the hurt has come from the child's being passive, of not saying "no" to a degrading experience.

Difficult to Treat Pedophilia

Pedophilia is difficult to treat and is more likely to be chronic among men with a homosexual orientation than among heterosexual pedophiles, according to the American Psychiatric Association, which lists pedophilia as a "psychosexual disorder" in its "Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders."

http://www.silentlamb.org/Therootsofpedophilia.htm
By: Sam Vaknin
Pedophiles seem to have narcissistic and antisocial (psychopathic) traits. They lack empathy for their victims and express no remorse for their actions. They are in denial and, being pathological confabulators, they rationalise their transgressions, claiming that the children were merely being educated for their own good and, anyhow, derived great pleasure from it.
The pedophile's ego-syntony rests on his alloplastic defences. He generally tends to blame others (or the world or the "system") for his misfortunes, failures, and deficiencies. Pedophiles frequently accuse their victims of acting promiscuously, of "coming on to them", of actively tempting, provoking, and luring (or even trapping) them. The pedophile - similar to the autistic patient - misinterprets the child's body language and inter-personal cues. His social communication skills are impaired and he fails to adjust information gained to the surrounding circumstances (for instance, to the kid's age and maturity).

Coupled with his lack of empathy, this recurrent inability to truly comprehend others cause the pedophile to objectify the targets of his lasciviousness. Pedophilia is, in essence, auto-erotic. The pedophile uses children's bodies to masturbate with. Hence the success of the Internet among pedophiles: it offers disembodied, anonymous, masturbatory sex. Children in cyberspace are mere representations - often nothing more than erotic photos and screen names.

It is crucial to realise that pedophiles are not enticed by the children themselves, by their bodies, or by their budding and nubile sexuality (remember Nabokov's Lolita?). Rather, pedophiles are drawn to what children symbolise, to what preadolescents stand for and represent.

To the pedophile ...

I. Sex with children is "free" and "daring"
Sex with subteens implies freedom of action with impunity. It enhances the pedophile's magical sense of omnipotence and immunity. By defying the authority of the state and the edicts of his culture and society, the pedophile experiences an adrenaline rush to which he gradually becomes addicted. Illicit sex becomes the outlet for his urgent need to live dangerously and recklessly.

The pedophile is on a quest to reassert control over his life. Studies have consistently shown that pedophilia is associated with anomic states (war, famine, epidemics) and with major life crises (failure, relocation, infidelity of spouse, separation, divorce, unemployment, bankruptcy, illness, death of the offender's nearest and dearest). It is likely that the typical pedophile is depressive and with a borderline personality. Pedophiles are reckless and emotionally labile. The pedophile's sense of self-worth is volatile and dysregulated. He is likely to suffer from abandonment anxiety and be a codependent or counter-dependent.

Paradoxically, it is by seemingly losing control in one aspect of his life (sex) that the pedophile re-acquires a sense of mastery. The same mechanism is at work in the development of eating disorders. An inhibitory deficit is somehow magically perceived as omnipotence.

II. Sex with children is corrupt and decadent
The pedophile makes frequent (though unconscious) use of projection and projective identification in his relationships with children. He makes his victims treat him the way he views himself - or attributes to them traits and behaviours that are truly his. The pedophile is aware of society's view of his actions as vile, corrupt, forbidden, evil, and decadent (especially if the pedophilic act involves incest). He derives pleasure from the sleazy nature of his pursuits because it tends to sustain his view of himself as "bad", "a failure", "deserving of punishment", and "guilty".

In extreme (mercifully uncommon) cases, the pedophile projects these torturous feelings and self-perceptions onto his victims. The children defiled and abused by his sexual attentions thus become "rotten", "bad objects", guilty and punishable. This leads to sexual sadism, lust rape, and snuff murders.

III. Sex with children is a reenactment of a painful past
Many pedophile truly bond with their prey. To them, children are the reification of innocence, genuineness, trust, and faithfulness - qualities that the pedophile wishes to nostalgically recapture.

The relationship with the child provides the pedophile with a "safe passage" to his own, repressed and fearful, inner child. It is a fantasy-like second chance to reenact his childhood.

IV. Sex with children is a shared psychosis
The pedophile treats "his" chosen child as an object, an extension of himself, devoid of a separate existence and denuded of distinct needs. He finds the child's submissiveness and
gullibility gratifying. He frowns on any sign of personal autonomy and regards it as a threat. By intimidating, cajoling, charming, and making false promises, the abuser isolates his prey from his family, school, peers, and from the rest of society and, thus, makes the child's dependence on him total.

The pedophile erroneously feels that the child will never betray and abandon him, therefore guaranteeing "object constancy".

The pedophile stealthily but unfailingly exploits the vulnerabilities in the psychological makeup of his victim. The child may have low self-esteem, a fluctuating sense of self-worth, primitive defence mechanisms, phobias and mental health problems. In extreme and rare cases the victim becomes a masochist, possessed of an urge to seek ill-treatment and pain. The pedophile demands complete obedience from his "partner". He feels entitled to adulation and special treatment by his child-mate. He punishes the wayward and the straying lambs. He enforces discipline.

The child finds himself in a twilight zone. The pedophile imposes on him a shared psychosis, replete with persecutory delusions, "enemies", mythical narratives, and apocalyptic scenarios if he is flouted. The child is rendered the joint guardian of a horrible secret. The pedophile's control is based on ambiguity, unpredictability, fuzziness, and ambient abuse. His ever-shifting whims exclusively define right versus wrong, desirable and unwanted, what is to be pursued and what to be avoided. He alone determines rights and obligations and alters them at will.

The typical pedophile is a micro-manager. He exerts control over the minutest details and behaviours. He punishes severely and abuses withholders of information and those who fail to conform to his wishes and goals.

The pedophile does not respect the boundaries and privacy of the child. He ignores his or her wishes and treats children as objects or instruments of gratification. He seeks to control both situations and people compulsively.

The pedophile acts in a patronising and condescending manner and criticises often. He alternates between emphasising the minutest faults (devalues) and exaggerating the looks, talents, traits, and skills (idealises) of the child. He is wildly unrealistic in his expectations which legitimises his subsequent abusive conduct.

Narcissistic pedophiles claim to be infallible, superior, talented, skilful, omnipotent, and omniscient. They often lie and confabulate to support these unfounded claims and to justify their actions. Most pedophiles suffer from cognitive deficits and reinterpret reality to fit their fantasies.

In extreme cases, the pedophile feels above the law, any kind of law. This grandiose and haughty conviction leads to criminal acts, incestuous or polygamous relationships, and recurrent friction with the authorities.

V. The pedophile regards sex with children as an ego-booster

Subteen children are, by definition, "inferior". They are physically weaker, dependent on others for the fulfilment of many of their needs, cognitively and emotionally immature, and easily manipulated. Their fund of knowledge is limited and their skills restricted. His relationships with children buttress the pedophile's twin grandiose delusions of omnipotence and omniscience. Compared to his victims, the pedophiles is always the stronger, the wiser, the most skilful and well-informed.

VI. Sex with children guarantees companionship

Inevitably, the pedophile considers his child-victims to be his best friends and companions. Pedophiles are lonely, erotomaniac, people. (Erotomania is a type of delusional disorder where the affected person believes that another person is in love with him or her. This belief is usually applied to someone with higher status or a famous person, but can also be applied to a complete stranger. http://https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erotomania) The pedophile believes that he is in love with (or simply loves) the child. Sex is merely one way to communicate his affection and caring. But there are other venues.

To show his keen interest, the common pedophile keeps calling the child, dropping by, writing e-mails, giving gifts, providing services, doing unsolicited errands "on the kid's behalf", getting into relationships with the preteen's parents, friends, teachers, and peers, and, in general, making himself available (stalking) at all times. The pedophile feels free to make legal, financial, and emotional decisions for the child.

The pedophile intrudes on the victim's privacy, disrespects the child's express wishes and
personal boundaries and ignores his or her emotions, needs, and preferences. To the pedophile, "love" means enmeshment and clinging coupled with an overpowering separation anxiety (fear of being abandoned). Moreover, no amount of denials, chastising, threats, and even outright hostile actions convince the erotomaniac that the child not in love with him. He knows better and will make the world see the light as well. The child and his guardians are simply unaware of what is good for the kid. The pedophile determinedly sees it as his or her task to bring life and happiness into the child's dreary and unhappy existence. Thus, regardless of overwhelming evidence to the contrary, the pedophile is convinced that his feelings are reciprocated - in other words, that the child is equally infatuated with him or her. He interprets everything the child does (or refrains from doing) as coded messages confessing to and conveying the child's interest in and eternal devotion to the pedophile and to the "relationship". Consequently, pedophiles react badly to any perceived rejection by their victims. They turn on a dime and become dangerously vindictive, out to destroy the source of their mounting frustration. When the "relationship" looks hopeless, some pedophiles violently embark on a spree of self-destruction.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Narcissism

Hotchkiss identified what she called the seven deadly sins of narcissism:

1. **Shamelessness**: Shame is the feeling that lurks beneath all unhealthy narcissism, and the inability to process shame in healthy ways.
2. **Magical thinking**: Narcissists see themselves as perfect, using *distortion* and illusion known as magical thinking. They also use *projection* to dump shame onto others.
3. **Arrogance**: A narcissist who is feeling deflated may re-inflate by diminishing, debasing, or degrading somebody else.
4. **Envy**: A narcissist may secure a sense of superiority in the face of another person's ability by using contempt to minimise the other person.
5. **Entitlement**: Narcissists hold unreasonable expectations of particularly favourable treatment and automatic compliance because they consider themselves special. Failure to comply is considered an attack on their superiority, and the perpetrator is considered an "awkward" or "difficult" person. Defiance of their will is a narcissistic injury that can trigger *narcissistic rage*.
6. **Exploitation**: Can take many forms but always involves the exploitation of others without regard for their feelings or interests. Often the other is in a subservient position where resistance would be difficult or even impossible. Sometimes the subservience is not so much real as assumed.
7. **Bad boundaries**: Narcissists do not recognise that they have boundaries and that others are separate and are not extensions of themselves. Others either exist to meet their needs or may as well not exist at all. Those who provide *narcissistic supply* to the narcissist are treated as if they are part of the narcissist and are expected to live up to those expectations. In the mind of a narcissist, there is no boundary between self and other.


**sociopath**

A person with antisocial personality disorder. Probably the most widely recognised personality disorder. A sociopath is often well liked because of their charm and high charisma, but they do not usually care about other people. They think mainly of themselves and often blame others for the things that they do. They have a complete disregard for rules and lie constantly. They seldom feel guilt or learn from punishments. Though some sociopaths have become murders, most reveal their sociopathy through less deadly and sensational means.


The Abel and Harlow child molestation prevention study (2001) of 15,508 male adults in 41 US states having committed sexual boundary violations. The authors analysed using 3,952 adults, out of the 15,508, who admitted to sexually molesting children 13 years and younger. Findings
1. Demographics: Child molester match the U.S. population in education, percentage married or formerly married, and religious observance.

2. Ethnicity: Child molester occur in various ethnic groups: Caucasian, Hispanic, African-American, Asian, and American Indian.

3. Low-risk children: Only 10% of child molesters molest children they don’t know.

4. High-risk children: The overwhelming majority of molesters (68%) sexually abuse children in their own families - Children whom they parent, nieces and nephews, or grandchildren. As teenagers they molest much younger siblings.

5. Children in the social circle: The next largest number of molesters (40%) abuse children of families in their social circle. Some molesters molest children in their own families and children in their social circle.

9. Sexually abused boys who become molesters: Being abused as a boy appears to increase the risk that the abused child will himself eventually molest a child. More than 47% of the admitted child molesters had been sexually abused as children.

10. Severely sexually abused boys: Adult molesters who, as children, were sexually abused more than 50 times have triple the number of child victims compared to child molesters who were never sexually molested. Of those sexually abused more than 50 times, 82% can be categorised as pedophiles.

11. Sexual orientation: More than 70% of the men who molest boys rate themselves as heterosexual in their adult sexual preferences. In addition, 9% report that they are equally heterosexual and homosexual. Only 8% report that they are exclusively homosexual. The majority of the men who molest boys are also married, divorced, widowed, or living with an adult partner. Only 7% of child molesters show no sexual interest in adults.

12. Crossing multiple sex boundaries: More than 60% of pedophiles have other paraphilias. Many are also exhibitionists or voyeurs. Of the pedophiles who molest girls, 21% also molest boys. Of the pedophiles who molest boys, 53% also molest girls. More men molest girls; than fewer men molest boys, but have a larger number of boy victims. Number of child victims and acts per molester of boys only average 10.7 victims and 52 acts. Those molesting girls only average 5.2 victims and 34.2 acts. Those who reported molesting both boys and girls averaged 27.3 victims and 120.9 acts.

Estimated Number of child molestation victims in the U.S. 1999:
This ratio was applied to Finkelhor’s percentages of sexually abused girls by age group, and in turn applied to the male population as given in the 1999 U.S. Census Statistical Abstract. The final estimated number: 2,231,372 sexually abused girls and 1,004,117 sexually abused boys. Child molestation, because of its large numbers of victims and because of the extent of its damage to the health of its victims, is a national public health problem.

There could be as many as 11 million victims of sexual abuse in the UK – constituting a “national health epidemic” – an expert appointed to a government inquiry has said. Graham Wilmer, founder of the Lantern Project, said his estimation was based on “prevalence rates published by the government”, and he called for better support for victims. Wilmer, an abuse victim himself, told Sky News: “There are potentially about 11.7 million victims out there at the moment who have not disclosed and many of those people will start to come forward in very significant numbers."
“You are dealing with a massive, massive problem. From what we have seen, if you don’t provide the right level of support and intervention to support people when they come forward you see very significant health problems – mental health and physical health – which have a direct cost to us as a society.
“We look upon child abuse and its impact now as a national health epidemic.”
According to Sky News, statistics show one in six boys under 16 have been sexually abused, while for girls the figure is one in four. The children’s charity NSPCC estimates that one in 20 children in the UK have been sexually abused.

http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%252FBF00979029
An exploration of incest in the childhood development of serial rapists and serial killers.
This paper explores the phenomenon of male incest in a sample of 41 incarcerated serial rapists. Of 31 men who reported childhood sexual abuse (penetration, exploitation, and/or witnessing), just over half were victims of incest. All incestuous experiences occurred before puberty, and the majority of the experiences were protracted in nature. Incest victims were significantly more likely than non-incest victims to re-enact sexually abusive behaviour within the family. This finding suggests that clinical discoveries of sibling sexual activity should alert clinicians that other incestuous activities may be occurring or have taken place.


Chronic maltreatment defined as "recurrent incidents of maltreatment over a prolonged period of time" (Bromfield & Higgins, 2005, p. 39) has been linked to worse outcomes than transitory or isolated incidents of maltreatment (e.g., Ethier, Lemelin, & Lacharite, 2004; Graham et al., 2010; Johnson-Reid, Kohl, & Drake, 2012). Research suggests that maltreatment types are interrelated, that is, a large proportion of adults who experience childhood abuse or neglect are exposed to more than one type of abuse (known as multi-type maltreatment). Further to this, other forms of victimisation (known as poly-victimisation) such as bullying or assault by a peer have often been found to co-occur with child maltreatment (Finkelhor, Ormrod, & Turner, 2007). Research indicates that those who experience multi-type maltreatment and/or poly-victimisation are more likely to experience high levels of trauma symptoms and worse outcomes as adults than those who are exposed to no maltreatment or only one type (Finkelhor et al., 2007; Higgins & McCabe, 2001; Richmond, Elliot, Pierce, Aspelmeier, & Alexander, 2009).

Mass murder, serial killing, serial raping, pedophilia - Examples of child sexualization's fatal casualties:
Anders Behring Breivik (Norwegian pronunciation: [ˈɑnːɛɕ ˈbeːrɪŋ ˈbɾɛivɨk];[4] born 13 February 1979) is a Norwegian far-right terrorist and the perpetrator of the 2011 Norway attacks. On 22 July 2011, he killed eight people by setting off a van bomb amid the government quarter Regjeringskvartalet in Oslo, then murdered 69 participants of a Workers' Youth League (AUF) summer camp on the island of Utøya. In August 2012, he was convicted of mass murder, causing a fatal explosion, and terrorism.
When Breivik was 4-years-old, living in Fritzners gate, Oslo, two reports were filed expressing concern about his mental health, concluding that Anders ought to be removed from parental care. One psychologist in one of the reports made a note of the boy's peculiar smile, suggesting it was not anchored in his emotions but was rather a deliberate response to his environment. In another report by psychologists from Norway's centre for child and youth psychiatry (SSBU) concerns were raised about how his mother treated him: "She 'sexualised' the young Breivik, hit him, and frequently told him that she wished that he were dead." In the report Wenche Behring is described as "a woman with an extremely difficult upbringing, borderline personality structure and an all-encompassing if only partially visible depression" who "projects her primitive aggressive and sexual fantasies onto him [Breivik]."
2) [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aileen_Wuornos](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aileen_Wuornos)
Aileen Carol Wuornos (February 29, 1956 – October 9, 2002) was an American serial killer who killed seven men in Florida between 1989 and 1990. Her mother, Diane Wuornos (born 1939), was 14 years old when she married Aileen's father, Leo Dale Pittman (1937–1969), on June 3, 1954. Less than two years later, and two months before Aileen was born, Diane filed for divorce. Aileen's older brother Keith was born on March 14, 1955. Wuornos never met her father; he was incarcerated at the time of her birth. Pittman was diagnosed with schizophrenia, later convicted of sex crimes against children,[4] and eventually hanged himself in prison on January 30, 1969. In January 1960, when Wuornos was almost four years old, Diane abandoned her children, leaving them with their maternal grandparents, Lauri and Britta Wuornos, who legally adopted Keith and Aileen on March 18, 1960.
By the age of 11, Wuornos began engaging in sexual activities in school in exchange for cigarettes, drugs, and food. She had also engaged in sexual activities with her brother. Wuornos claimed that her alcoholic grandfather had sexually assaulted and beaten her family.
when she was a child; before beating her, he would force her to strip out of her clothes. In 1970, at age 14, she became pregnant, having been raped by a friend of her grandfather’s.


CHARLESTON, ILL. — Anthony Mertz, convicted in the 2001 murder and rape of an Eastern Illinois University student, testified at his sentencing hearing Monday that he was sexually and physically abused as a child.

4) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mary_Bell

Mary Flora Bell (born 26 May 1957) is a British woman who, in 1968, as a child, strangled to death two little boys in Scotswood, an inner-city suburb of Newcastle upon Tyne. She was convicted in December 1968, at the age of 11, of the manslaughter of the two boys, Martin Brown (aged 4) and Brian Howe (aged 3). Bell’s mother Betty (née McCrickett) was a prostitute. Mary says she was subjected to repeated sexual abuse, her mother forcing her from the age of four to engage in sexual acts with men.

5) http://www.damninteresting.com/the-unburdened-mind/?action=print

The following response from a psychopathic rapists when asked why they didn't empathise with their victims: "They are frightened, right? But, you see, I don't really understand it. I've been frightened myself, and it wasn't unpleasant." –Hare, Without Conscience: The Disturbing World of the Psychopaths Among Us


Jimmy Savile argued the images of child pornography found on Gary Glitter, pedophile’s computer were: “for his own gratification and whether that’s right or wrong is, of course, up to him as a person.”

I was taken aback and suggested that Gary Glitter, real name Paul Gadd, the disgraced singer, had gone much further than downloading images – as evidenced by his 2007 conviction for obscene acts with minors in Vietnam.

Jimmy Savile answered: “Are you telling me that some evil person didn’t stick little ‘birds’ into him?’

7) http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hOMaaOf2RaA

France African Raped white woman (He was sexualised from age 6 by his white stepfather.) (5:59)

Trainer’s Resource Handout The Traumatic Impact of Child Sexual Abuse: A Conceptualisation Centre for Sex Offender Management

The Traumatic Impact of Child Sexual Abuse: A Conceptualisation

by David Finkelhor, Ph.D., and Angela Browne, Ph.D.

Sexual abuse experiences can vary dramatically in terms of the amount and kind of traumatic sexualization they provoke. Experiences in which the offender makes an effort to evoke the child’s sexual response, for example, are probably more sexualising than those in which an offender simply uses a passive child to masturbate with. Experiences in which the child is enticed to participate are also likely to be more sexualising than those in which brute force is used. However, even with the use of force, a form of traumatic sexualization may occur as a result of the fear that becomes associated with sex in the wake of such an experience. The degree of a child’s understanding may also affect the degree of sexualization. Experiences in which the child, because of early age or developmental level, understands few of the sexual implications of the activities may be less sexualising than those involving a child with greater awareness. Children who have been traumatically sexualised emerge from their experiences with inappropriate repertoires of sexual behaviour, with confusions and misconceptions about their sexual self-concepts, and with unusual emotional associations to sexual activities.

Sexual Addiction

http://www.psychologicalscience.org/index.php/news/were-only-human/the-teenage-brain-how-do-we-measure-maturity.html

But psychological science has a more nuanced view of adolescence as a separate stage,
between childhood and adulthood. This view is supported by neuroscience, which shows that the frontal cortex—the seat of judgment, self-control, and sensible planning—matures very gradually into early adulthood. It is out of sync with the early development of the emotional brain, and as a result there is a gap between early sensation seeking and later self-discipline.

Bonnie and Scott do make some suggestions. Consider teenage drinking, for example, which is a form of sensation seeking and risk taking. It’s been argued that 18-year-olds should be permitted to drink, since they are considered old enough to go to war and assume other adult responsibilities. But the teenage brain is vulnerable to the effects of alcohol, and research has shown that the age at which teens start drinking—and the intensity of this drinking—are strong predictors of alcohol abuse and addiction later in life.


Current research on sexual addiction within the context of the reward-reinforcement model indicates that it is well-characterised as an addiction (in this context, a compulsive behaviour) and that it develops through the same bimolecular mechanisms that induce drug addictions; specifically, sexual activity has been shown to be highly rewarding and naturally reinforcing. Excessive activation of the associated reward-reinforcement mechanisms has been directly implicated in the development of compulsive (i.e., an addiction to) sexual behaviour.

Pornography

Dr. Victor Cline, a nationally renowned clinical psychologist who specialises in sexual addiction, pornography addiction is a process that undergoes four phases. First, addiction, resulting from early and repeated exposure accompanied by masturbation. Second, escalation, during which the addict requires more frequent porn exposure to achieve the same "highs" and may learn to prefer porn to sexual intercourse. Third, desensitisation, during which the addict views as normal what was once considered repulsive or immoral. And finally, the acting-out phase, during which the addict runs an increased risk of making the leap from screen to real life.

This behaviour may manifest itself in the form of promiscuity, voyeurism, exhibitionism, group sex, rape, sadomasochism, or even child molestation. The final phase may also be characterised by one or more extramarital affairs. A 2004 study published in Social Science Quarterly found that Internet users who had had an extramarital affair were 3.18 times more likely to have used online porn than Internet users who had not had an affair.


Defenders of pornography argue that it is not harmful, and thus should not be regulated or banned. Citing the 1970 Presidential Commission on Obscenity and Pornography, they conclude that there is no relationship between exposure to erotic material and subsequent behaviour. But two subsequent decades of research based on the increased production of more explicit and violent forms of pornography has shown the profound effects pornography can have on human behaviour.

Psychologist Edward Donnerstein (University of Wisconsin) found that brief exposure to violent forms of pornography can lead to anti-social attitudes and behaviour. Male viewers tend to be more aggressive towards women, less responsive to pain and suffering of rape victims, and more willing to accept various myths about rape.1 Dr. Dolf Zimmerman and Dr. Jennings Bryant showed that continued exposure to pornography had serious adverse effects on beliefs about sexuality in general and on attitudes toward women in particular. They also found that pornography desensitises people to rape as a criminal offence.

These researchers also found that massive exposure to pornography encourages a desire for increasingly deviant materials which involve violence, like sadomasochism and rape.3 Feminist author Diana Russell notes in her book Rape and Marriage the correlation between deviant behaviour (including abuse) and pornography.

Researcher Victor Cline (University of Utah) has documented in his research how men become addicted to pornographic materials, begin to desire more explicit or deviant material,
and end up acting out what they have seen. According to Charles Keating of Citizens for Decency Through Law, research reveals that 77 percent of child molesters of boys and 87 percent of child molesters of girls admitted imitating the sexual behaviour they had seen modelled in pornography. Sociologists Murray Straus and Larry Baron (University of New Hampshire) found that rape rates are highest in states which have high sales of sex magazines and lax enforcement of pornography laws. Michigan state police detective Darrell Pope found that of the 38,000 sexual assault cases in Michigan (1956-1979), in 41 percent of the cases pornographic material was viewed just prior to or during the crime. This agrees with research done by psychotherapist David Scott who found that "half the rapists studied used pornography to arouse themselves immediately prior to seeking out a victim."

The Final Report of the 1986 Attorney General's Commission on Pornography lists a full chapter of testimony (197-223) from victims whose assailants had previously viewed pornographic materials. The adverse effects range from physical harm (rape, torture, murder, sexually transmitted disease) to psychological harm (suicidal thoughts, fear, shame, nightmares).

**Testimony**

A day-care director, now serving three years for three counts of first-degree sexual assault, confessed the he had “started picking up pornographic materials occasionally, going to bookstores … no one knew, not even my wife … now I do recognise fully the shocking facts about pornography and how it will draw you into its clutches away from God into sinful fantasies …”

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/COPINE_scale

**The SAP scale**

The 2002 case of *Regina v. Oliver* in the Court of Appeal[6] established a scale by which indecent images of children could be "graded". The five point scale, established by UK's Sentencing Advisory Panel and adopted in 2002, is known as the **SAP scale**. It is based on COPINE terminology and is often mistakenly referred to as such.

**The SAP Scale**

1. Nudity or erotic posing with no sexual activity
2. Sexual activity between children, or solo masturbation by a child
3. Non-penetrative sexual activity between adult(s) and child(ren)
4. Penetrative sexual activity between child(ren) and adult(s)

**Sexual Offences Definitive Guideline**

From 1 April 2014, a new scale, replacing the SAP scale, will be adopted for UK sentencing for crimes relating to indecent images of children, put into place by page 75 of the Sentencing Council's Sexual Offences Definitive Guideline.

**Sexual Offences Definitive Guideline**

- **Category A** Images involving penetrative sexual activity and/or images involving sexual activity with an animal or sadism
- **Category B** Images involving non-penetrative sexual activity
- **Category C** Other indecent images not falling within categories A or B

**The survivors’ struggle.**

http://www.aets.org/article176.htm

The relationship between child sexual abuse and adult psychopathology tended initially to be conceptualised in terms of a chronic form of post traumatic stress disorder (Lindberg and Distad 1985; Bryer et al. 1987; Craine et al. 1988). This model focused on trauma-induced symptoms, most particularly dissociative disorders such as desensitisation, amnesias, fugues and even multiple personality. The idea was that the stress induced symptoms engendered in the process of the abuse and have reverberated down the years to produce a post-abuse syndrome in adult life.

A study of a random community sample of 2,250 New Zealand women revealed: Those reporting child sexual abuse involving sexual penetration were significantly more likely to report consensual intercourse with peers prior to 16 years of age.
The nature of the advocacy movement which placed child sexual abuse firmly on the social agenda provide an almost exclusive emphasis on female victims and incestuous abuse. The implications remain largely unexplored of the abuse of boys (which for abuse of the most intrusive kinds involving penetration rivals in frequency that of girls).


Trauma and early negative experiences affect the development and even structure of the brain. Women who were sexually abused as children show significantly diminished brain volume on brain scans. The structure and function of the hippocampus (responsible for learning and memory), for example, are different when compared to individuals who weren’t traumatised. The medial prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and other neural circuitry of the brain are also changed. The brain shows a sustained and pervasive stress response as the child grows, and this has a long-term effect on immune function. Brain wave patterns change. The brain’s response to inflammation and healing is altered. Neurotransmitter levels adapt to these new abnormal levels. The biological changes in the brain are even more profound if the abuse was early, pervasive, or severe.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1494926/

The Long-term Health Outcomes of Childhood Abuse
Kristen W Springer, MPH, MA,1 Jennifer Sheridan, PhD,1,2 Daphne Kuo, PhD,1,4 and Molly Carnes, MD, MS2,3

Childhood abuse has been associated with a plethora of psychological and somatic symptoms, as well as psychiatric and medical diagnoses including depression, anxiety disorders, eating disorders, post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), chronic pain syndromes, fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue syndrome, and irritable bowel. Compared with non abused adults, those who experienced childhood abuse are more likely to engage in high-risk health behaviours including smoking, alcohol and drug use, and unsafe sex; to report an overall lower health status; and to use more health services. Viewing these various health conditions and behaviours as the outcome and abuse in childhood as the exposure, many of the criteria for a causal relationship are met. Childhood abuse is positively related to adult depression, aggression, hostility, anger, fear, anxiety disorders, and personality disorders. At least 3 meta-analyses on the effects of childhood sexual abuse find clear and convincing evidence of a link between such abuse and a host of adult psychological symptoms.

Children need protecting
Giuseppe Ressa, 34, is behind bars without bond after his arrest Monday in Addison. He was under surveillance before his arrest. Ressa was able to touch the girl while she played on a slide, authorities said, while he offered the boy toys if he came over to the alleged deviant’s vehicle.
On Monday, Ressa approached a group of three kids, a 4-year-old boy, and 6-year-old and 7-year-old girls, in Addison and gave them candy. With cops watching, one girl ran to tell her mother, while the other two walked over to the apartment entrance with the alleged predator, who started to rub the 7-year-old girl’s thigh before authorities swooped in and made the arrest.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XAQ9T2Lpq48
Child Predator Social Experiment: Would YOUR KID Take Candy From a Stranger? (6:14)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TFAHtlfQDlA
Home Invasion (Social Experiment) - Child Predator Social Experiment (3:26)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nEcqRpnNTyFw
Child Abduction on Halloween (Social Experiment) - Child Predator Dangers (3:25)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0blXOG_vnRO
Child Abduction in Nice Car (Social Experiment) - Kidnapping Children (3:53)
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HgDUEQRCqCM
FEMALE ABDUCTION (Social Experiment) The Dangers Of Online Dating (8:19)

Message from Laura A. Ahearn, LMSW and Executive Director of Parents for Megan’s Law and the Crime Victims Centre

Dear Parent:

Convicted sex offenders tell us that “Parents are so naïve. Know that we will use any way we can to get to children.”

Most child sexual abuse, up to 90%, occurs with someone a child has an established and trusting relationship with, whether known or not by the parent, and who is often a person in a position of authority. Teaching your children about stranger danger is misleading and does not address the reality that most children know and trust those who abuse them.

http://www.burstingthebubble.com/stories.htm
Stories from Young People

'I thought it was my fault too . . .'

My father used to touch me in a horrible way when mum was at work at night. I was 10 years old when it started. I felt so sick when I knew it was a night that mum had to work, worrying about what would happen. I was tense and stressing out all the time.

I was scared to tell anyone - I thought it was my fault too because I didn't stop it. He used to say I shouldn't tell anyone and that mum would kick me out if she found out. I didn't know what to do. I didn't want anyone at school finding out, because I felt like they'd all look down on me.

This has been going on for the last 4 years. This year we had a health class, and someone came to our school to talk about abuse. I knew that what they were talking about was what was happening to me.

The real story: Victim of sexual abuse speaks out

“I am a 19 year old girl and I am a victim of child sex abuse and child pornography.”

So begins the powerful “Statement by Amy,” read in a packed court of law in front of the child’s uncle, a man she was trying to prevent being released from prison.

“I am still discovering all the ways that the abuse and exploitation I suffer has hurt me, has set my life on the wrong course, and destroyed the normal childhood, teenage years, and early adulthood that everyone deserves.”

One of the rare victims of child pornography to publicly speak out against her abuser, “Amy”—as she asked to be called to protect her privacy—was a victim depicted in a collection of sexual abuse images and videos shot by her uncle.

The collection, featuring Amy in various ages of development, begins with sexually explicit images and videos of a four-year-old Amy, and ends with Amy as a nine-year-old 3rd grader. Despite Amy's young age, she remembers her abuse vividly.

“At first he showed me pornographic movies and then he started doing things to me. When I was with him I did not have clothes on and that sometimes he made me dress up in lingerie. And I remember the pictures.”

In Amy's own words: “I am being exploited and used every day and every night somewhere in the world by someone.”


John, now 21, was sexually assaulted by a teacher in a small school in the country. The assaults began when he was about nine and continued, about two or three times a week, for about two years. The perpetrator was not only his class teacher but also the Headmaster. John found it impossible to tell his parents. The teacher was a good friend of the family and a popular and respected member of the local community. Unaware of all this, John’s parents used to urge him to visit the teacher who also gave individual music lessons. At the time John felt very angry with his parents for what seemed to him to be “handing me back to this guy.” John remembers later finding out that the same teacher was also sexually assaulting other boys. At some stage the teacher was forced to leave the school. He just disappeared;
nothing was ever said. John says his parents heard the rumours going around at that time but could not believe them. This also made him angry and bitter. John did not disclose to anyone until he was 19 when he told his girlfriend. He had begun to have flashbacks during sex and could not cope with being touched. At this point, “everything began to fall apart.” John says that, although he tried to suppress the memories and go on as though nothing had happened, this did not work. Previously a high-achieving university student, he began to fail his exams and could not understand why. He had always felt uncomfortable around other men and safer in the company of women. Now, he was buffeted by some very powerful emotions. He was consumed by shame and anger at having been abused by a man and worried that he might be gay. He remembers erupting unexpectedly in anger when male friends teased him. He still harbours feelings of shame and guilt and cannot bring himself to talk about the details of the abuse, even with those closest to him.

http://www.letgoletpeacecomein.org/sams-story/
Sam’s Story
When I was 9, my gran’s neighbour began to abuse me. My parents divorced around that time, and my granddad also died. My gran then remarried the neighbour, so I spent a lot of time around him. What the neighbour did to me was wrong, but I didn’t know what to do about it, so I did nothing. It stopped when my gran finally threw him out of the house when I was 12 because his drinking became too much of a problem. And until this summer, I never realised how much it had shaped my life. When I read about the issues that other people have, I realise that’s me – I’m angry at myself and my parents for not protecting me better, I’m ashamed, it has made it difficult for me to trust anyone, especially men, I don’t sleep very well because of it, and I recently discovered I have a mild form of startle syndrome, I just never knew there was a name for it!

http://www.letgoletpeacecomein.org/i-still-have-my-voice/
I Still Have My Voice. I’m writing this as a 28 year old man.
After 8 years of a solid drinking problem, from the age of 18-25, I’m slowly but surely starting to try and deal with all those issues I was trying to cover up with the alcohol. The main issue, being sexually abused as a child.
I went to a licensed daycare, from 1st through 6th grade. The daycare owner’s son was five years older than me, and he sexually abused me on at least a hundred different occasions. I’m emphasising “sexually abused”, because growing up, my mind told me, maybe it wasn’t sexual abuse if we were both underage? No, it was. He made sure to hide me in closets, touch me under tables, under water, in bathrooms, and even having a friend watch out for his mom while he abused me. Regardless of his age, he clearly knew what he was doing was wrong. This man is now a police officer, who also runs a children's program in a different state. I am overtaken by the thoughts of “What if he never stopped, because I let him get away with it” numerous times a day, so I decided to do something, not only for myself, but for any future victims of his, and spoke with investigators three days ago. I had to know I’d done and said everything in my power to make sure he has stopped.

"Emma" [not her real name], now aged 24, says she was 12 when she was first approached by a group of young men in an arcade in Rotherham. The boys, who she says were of "school age", began talking to her and struck up a friendship with her.
What she did not realise at the time was that she was being groomed for sexual abuse, she says.
The grooming went on for about a year, during which time she began going to Rotherham town centre where she was introduced to "grown men".
"They started introducing alcohol and soft drugs to me and then, when I was 13, I was sexually exploited by them," she says.
"Up until this point they had never tried to touch me, they had not made me ever feel uncomfortable or ever feel unsafe or that they could harm me.
"I trusted them, they were my friends as I saw it, until one night my main perpetrator raped me, quite brutally as well, in front of a number of people.
"From then on I would get raped once a week."
'Different men'
She says her abusers began to force her to have sex with "whoever wanted to come and have sex with me".
Speaking to BBC Panorama, she tells of one incident when she was taken to a flat, locked inside a bedroom and repeatedly raped by different men.
"I just had to sit and wait until they sent man after man in and whatever they wanted, I had to give them," she says.
"I can remember begging one of the perpetrators who I knew quite well not to send anybody else into that room and to just let me go home and them just laughing at me, telling me to get up and basically just get on with it."
She says she reported her abuse to the police "three months after my sexual exploitation started".
Emma says she saved the clothes she had been wearing during the attacks and handed the items to police as evidence. "They lost the clothing, so there was no evidence," she says.
After that, Emma says she was told it was "my word against his" and that the case "probably wouldn't result in a conviction, or even get to court".

I was an innocent little 13 year old girl, I basically knew nothing about sex. I was doing the cash register, it was a Sunday, so liquor sales didn't start until noon. Lawrence and Ziyad sat in the office. Lawrence and I traded places. For the longest time Ziyad and I sat in the office talking and getting to know each other. At about 2:30, Lawrence sent me and Ziyad into that back room to do some work. I was back there, minding my own business and doing my thing. Ziyad grabbed me by my arms and drug me into the bathroom. I screamed. He put this hand over my mouth and started to undo his pants. Knowing what was about to happen I froze. My whole body went numb. I couldn't move. After he was done, he got dressed and walked out of the bathroom like nothing happened. He left me there with my tears. When he walked out the door, he took with him my pride, my security and my virginity. I had so many thoughts going through my mind. What if I tell someone and they don't believe me? Was it my fault? Not to mention the multiple feelings I had. Shame. Guilt. Anger. Fear. But most of all disbelief. How could this happen to me?
I told my sister what happened. She told me I had to tell my parents. We pressed charges. All I wanted to do was sit in my room and listen to the radio so loud I couldn't even hear myself breathe. I had to go to the police station for more questioning. I found out that Ziyad had told a different story quite a few times. It was consensual. I gave him oral sex. I gave him oral sex and then had sex with him. I forced him. With those different stories, don't you think that would make it obvious that he did it? I mean, he couldn't even keep his story straight. It wasn't. The police took my case to the state prosecutor - before the rape kit results came back. They said there wasn't enough evidence to prosecute and dropped my case.

The following is taken from the address given by Mark Tedeschi QC, Senior Crown Prosecutor, NSW on launching: Innocence Revisited
This book is a roadmap for other victims of child sexual abuse who are facing the trauma of dealing with events that happened many years earlier. It is a strong message of hope for those staring death in the face, those who cannot see a way forward into a life of health, those who face rejection of their memories from family and friends, those who daily revisit terror and abject physical cruelty they experienced as children, and those who fear they are losing their minds and descending into madness. The book is a very harrowing account of child sexual abuse and the protracted period of time that Cathy Kezelman spent recalling her childhood abuse more than 40 years after the events. More than a memoir by a victim of child sexual assault it is more than anything a vivid and emotionally poignant portrayal in the first person of the intricate psychological and emotional contortions that a child will go through in an attempt to ensure the child’s psychic survival during a time of abject terror. It is also a dramatic and detailed description of the psychological processes involved in an adult striving for the state of health that comes from exhuming those memories of child sexual abuse that were deeply buried so many years previously.
There will be some people who read this book who will be reluctant to believe that such abject cruelty to a child or young adolescent could happen. As a prosecutor in the criminal courts for more than 25 years, I can tell you that it does happen and that it exists in every segment of our society and most other societies. Some people who read this book will find it hard to believe that such abuse and cruelty could emanate from family friends and family members. As a prosecutor, I can tell you that it frequently does emanate from such people. Some people who read this book may find it hard to believe that a complaint of sexual abuse to a trusted close relative like a parent could be rejected so peremptorily and dismissively and over such a long period of time. As a prosecutor, let me tell you that the reality is that it is extremely common. This dismissal of a child’s complaint represents a double betrayal of the child’s trust and sense of security – the first betrayal by the perpetrator, and the second betrayal by the person who has wantonly dismissed the complaint without making the slightest attempt to seek out the truth. Some people who read this book will find it hard to believe that a child could be a victim of abuse from multiple perpetrators. Let me tell you that statistics clearly show that sexual abuse of a child at a very young age of itself renders that child more susceptible to later sexual abuse by another perpetrator, and also to sexual exploitation as an adult.

For further background information visit www.cathykezelman.com

Ballarat, Australia, a town with a history of endemic child sex abuse.
http://cathykezelman.com/
Tardy redress would hurt victims more
Posted on December 28, 2015
The testimony given to the royal commission sitting in Ballarat provides further insight into the unconscionable human cost of child sexual abuse. The inquiry has revealed that 12 boys have died, allegedly by suicide from a single class of 33 at St Alipius school and 40 suicides related to child sexual assault have occurred within the Ballarat community. Silenced survivors have shown courage coming forward. … To not promptly address their needs would not only be soul-destroying but also life-threatening.

It has been asserted that there were schools in which no child was safe, with periods during which every teacher was an alleged sex offender. With no safe place or person to tell, these children lived in constant fear of the next assault, powerless and helpless, as those charged with their care abused their power and betrayed them time and again. The possibility of “fight or flight”, a normal physiological response to danger was not available. Where was their community of nurture, care and compassion? And why did no one intervene to protect them?

These survivors, now men, have related heartbreaking stories of lives ruined by disability, welfare dependency, mental illness, substance abuse and relationship breakdown. Many of those who have not paid the ultimate price are living a life sentence imposed by the predatory behaviour of pedophile priests and sealed by a system which protected its own at all costs.

The leadership of the Catholic Church in particular, is now under intense scrutiny. The Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse is prising open a previously secretive and closed network.


Peter Blenkiron, 52, Ballarat, was abused by a Christian Brother when he was 11 years old. A student at St Patrick’s Secondary School in Ballarat, he said convicted pedophile Edward Dowlan would set difficult homework and then punish him for not being able to complete it. Dowlan made the students look the other way while he punished them with physical abuse, before comforting and then sexually abusing them. "The worst stuff happened when I hadn't finished my homework, I was made to go back to his room," he said.

"When I reflect back on it, it makes me want to throw up, it makes me feel very damaged." Stephen Woods, 53, Melbourne, said he was abused by three different men under the Catholic auspice while growing up in Ballarat. Two of his older brothers were also sexually abused.

He said his first abuser was Robert Charles Best, the principal of St Alipius Primary School.
"I was 11 years old, and I was down the back in the classroom sitting on the art table and he came up and sat next to me and started putting his hand down the back of my pants," he said. "He saw me withdraw and he started to become very violent."

Mr Woods said the sexual abuse was coupled with verbal abuse.

"He would get me to slowly strip for him while he would masturbate behind his desk. And all the while he would tell me that it was my fault, that I was bad, that I was evil," he said. "He would then put me over his knee and then he would have a good feel of my ass and then smack me." Robert Best was found not guilty by a court of sexually assaulting Mr Woods. However, he has since been found guilty of crimes against more than 10 other boys and is currently serving jail time.

His next abuser was Brother Ted Dowlan, his religious teacher.

"He would feel your penis and testicles through your pants," Mr Woods said. "He kept saying my family couldn't make it and they were awful, so he would really be quite vicious in putting me down while molesting me."

In his teens, as he begun to develop issues with sex and his sexuality, Mr Woods went to see a priest. It was here that his third abuser, Gerald Ridsdale, preyed on him.

Mr Woods said Ridsdale then began molesting him and forced him to perform a sex act. "Then he drove me around Lake Wendouree to a toilet block where he dragged me inside, where he raped me," Mr Woods said.

Both Dowlan and Ridsdale were convicted of sexually abusing Mr Woods and are currently serving time in prison.

"I have had a very disjointed job history, I struggled a lot at school, I only passed year nine and it was years and years later that I forced myself through university. "I even became a teacher, but my anger started coming out more and started coming out in the classroom. So I had to retire."

Philip Nagle, 50, Ballarat. "I was sexually abused by Stephen Frances Farrell, a Christian Brother, in 1974," Mr Nagel said.

"Everything from then on is blanked out - I have no recollections of grade six, only recollections of the sexual assaults and being scared all the time." Mr Nagle said he was assaulted on the school campus and during camps. The first assault was the most vivid in his memory. "I was taken to the first aid bay by Farrell," he said. "He wrestled me to the ground, and he took my pants off."

Aged just nine, Mr Nagle said he did not realise he was being sexually assaulted at the time, only that he did not want it to happen again.

Like many of the victims, Mr Nagle said manipulation and childhood innocence meant it was not until later in life that he realised the severity of the crime, or the scope of abuse going on around him.

"We didn't actually know what was happening to us, but certainly when you look back now, you just think: how can so many evil Christian Brother pedophiles be in one place at the same time?"

Farrell was convicted of nine counts of assault in 1997 for the abuse of Mr Nagle and his brother. "The court case was an absolutely horrible thing," he said. "Farrell had three or four hours of witnesses coming up saying what a good bloke he was." Farrell was given a suspended sentence, with no jail time.

Addition 16

Eight Common Myths about Child Sexual Abuse

http://www.leadershipcouncil.org/1/res/csa_myths.html

Few people are aware of the true state of the science on child abuse. Instead, most people's beliefs have been shaped by common misconceptions and popular myths about this hidden crime. Societal acceptance of these myths assists sex offenders by silencing victims and encouraging public denial about the true nature of sexual assaults against children. The Leadership Council prepared this analysis because we believe that society as a whole
benefits when the public has access to accurate information regarding child abuse and other forms of interpersonal violence.

**Myth 1: Normal-appearing, well educated, middle-class people don't molest children.**

One of the public's most dangerous assumptions is the belief that a person who both appears and acts normal could not be a child molester. Sex offenders are well aware of our propensity for making assumptions about private behaviour from one's public presentation. In fact, as recent reports of abuse by priests have shown, child molesters rely on our misassumptions to deliberately and carefully set and gain access to child victims. According to Dr. Anna Salter, Ph.D., a foremost expert in sex offenders, "a double life is prevalent among all types of sex offenders . . . . The front that offenders typically offer to the outside world is usually a 'good person,' someone who the community believes has a good character and would never do such a thing" (Salter, 2003, p. 34). They also tend to adopt a pattern of socially responsible and caring behaviour in public. Many have practiced and perfected their ability to charm, to be likeable and to radiate a facade of sincerity and truthfulness. This causes parents and others to drop their guard, allowing the sex offender easy and recurring access to children.

In fact, Dr. Salter has found that the life a child molester leads in public may be exemplary, almost surreal in its righteousness.

**Myth 2: People are too quick to believe an abuser is guilty, even if there is no supporting evidence.**

In truth, people are too quick to believe that the accused is innocent, even if there is plenty of supporting evidence. According to Dr. Salter, " Normal , healthy people distort reality to create a kinder, gentler world than actually exists" (p. 177). She notes that in order to find meaning and justice in everyday life, most people assign victims too much blame for their assaults and offenders too little. In truth, it is hard for most people to imagine how any person could sexually abuse a child. Because they can't imagine a "normal" person doing such a heinous act, they assume that child molesters must be monsters. If the accused does not fit this stereotype (in other words if he appears to be a normal person), then many people will disbelieve the allegation, believing the accused to be incapable of such act.

**Myth 3: Child molesters molest indiscriminately.**

Not everyone who comes in contact with a child molester will be abused. Although this finding may seem obvious, some interpret the fact that an abuser didn't molest a particular child in their care to mean that those children who do allege abuse must be lying. In truth, sex offenders tend to carefully pick and set up their victims and "groom" their victims (Conte, Wolf, & Smith, 1989). For instance, Elliott, Browne and Kilcoyne (1995) interviewed with 91 child molesters, the all-male sample reported that they most often chose children who had family problems, were alone, lacked confidence, and were indiscriminate in their trust of others -- especially when the child was also perceived to be pretty, "provocatively" dressed, young, or small.

To ensure the child's continuing compliance, sex offenders report using bribes, threats and force (Elliott et al., 1995). Below, a young pedophile describes the careful planning that went into finding his next victim.

When a person like myself wants to obtain access to a child, there's a process of obtaining the child's friendship and, in my case, also obtaining the family's friendship and their trust. When you get their trust, that's when the child becomes vulnerable and you can molest the child. (Salter, 2003, p. 42)


**Myth 4: Children who are being abused would immediately tell their parents.**

The fact victims often fail to disclose their abuse in a timely fashion is frequently used as evidence that an alleged victim's story should be doubted. Research, however, shows that children who have been sexually assaulted often have considerable difficulty in revealing or discussing their abuse.
Estimates suggest that only 3% of all cases of child sexual abuse (Finkelhor & Dziuba-Leatherman, 1994; Timnick, 1985) and only 12% of rapes involving children are ever reported to police (Hanson et al., 1999). A nationally representative survey of over 3,000 women revealed that of those raped during childhood, 47% did not disclose to anyone for over 5 years post-rape. In fact, 28% of the victims reported that they had never told anyone about their childhood rape prior to the research interview. Moreover, the women who never told often suffered the most serious abuse. For instance, younger age at the time of rape, a family relationship with the perpetrator, and experiencing a series of rapes were all associated with delayed disclosure (Smith et al., 2000).

Sex offenders typically seek to make the victim feel as though he or she caused the offender to act inappropriately, and convince the child that they are the guilty party. As a result, children often have great difficulty sorting out who is responsible for the abuse and frequently blame themselves for what happened. In the end, fears of retribution and abandonment, and feelings of complicity, embarrassment, guilt, and shame all conspire to silence children and inhibit their disclosures of abuse (Pipe & Goodman, 1991; Sauzier, 1989).

Boys seem to have a particularly difficult time dealing with sexual abuse and are even less likely to report it than girls. A review of 5 community-based studies revealed that rates of non-disclosure ranged from 42% to 85% in abused men (Lyons, 2002). Research with abused males has found that the more severe the abuse, the more likely the boy is to blame himself and the less likely he will disclose the abuse (Hunter et al., 1992). In addition to self-blame, reluctance of boys to disclose abuse may be traced to the social stigma attached to victimisation, along with fears that they will be disbelieved or labeled homosexual (Watkins & Bentovim, 1992).

**Myth 5: Children who are being abused will show physical evidence of abuse.**

A lack of physical evidence of sexual assault is often cited as support that an alleged perpetrator must be innocent. However, research shows that abnormal genital findings are rare even in cases where the abuse has been proven. Some acts, like fondling and oral sex, leave no physical traces. Even injuries from penetration heal very quickly in young children and thus abnormal genital findings are not common, especially if the child is examined more than 48 hours after the abuse. In fact, even with proven penetration in up to 95% of cases, genital examinations will be essentially normal.

In one study, case files and colposcopic photographs of 236 children with perpetrator conviction for sexual abuse, were reviewed. The investigators found that genital findings in the abused girls were normal in 28%, nonspecific in 49%, suspicious in 9%, and abnormal in 14% of cases (Adams, Harper, Knudson, & Revilla, 1994). Delay in disclosure of childhood rape: Results from a national survey. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 24, 273-87.

**Myth 5: Children who are being abused will show physical evidence of abuse.**

A lack of physical evidence of sexual assault is often cited as support that an alleged perpetrator must be innocent. However, research shows that abnormal genital findings are rare even in cases where the abuse has been proven. Some acts, like fondling and oral sex, leave no physical traces. Even injuries from penetration heal very quickly in young children and thus abnormal genital findings are not common, especially if the child is examined more than 48 hours after the abuse. In fact, even with proven penetration in up to 95% of cases, genital examinations will be essentially normal.

In one study, case files and colposcopic photographs of 236 children with perpetrator conviction for sexual abuse, were reviewed. The investigators found that genital findings in the abused girls were normal in 28%, nonspecific in 49%, suspicious in 9%, and abnormal in 14% of cases (Adams, Harper, Knudson, & Revilla, 1994). Delay in disclosure of childhood rape: Results from a national survey. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 24, 273-87.
sexual abuse consisting of 36 pregnant adolescent girls who presented for sexual abuse evaluations. Historical information and photograph documentation were reviewed to determine the presence or absence of genital findings that indicate penetrating trauma. Only 2 of the 36 (5.5%) pregnant girls showed definitive evidence of penetration (Kellogg, Menard, & Santos, 2004).


Myth 6: Hundreds of innocent men and women have been falsely accused and sent to prison for molesting children.

Over and over again, the media has raised the question whether America is in the midst of a hysterical overreaction to the perceived threat from pedophiles. Actual research, however, shows that, as a whole, our society continues to under-react and under-estimate the scope of the problem.

Prior to the 1980s, child sexual abuse was largely ignored, both by the law and by society as a whole. In the 1980s, when the scope of the problem began to be acknowledged, the police began to arrest adults accused of child abuse. A backlash quickly formed and police and prosecutors were soon accused of conducting "witch-hunts." Although some early cases were handled badly -- mainly because the police had little experience in dealing with very young child witnesses -- there is little evidence to back the assertion that there was widespread targeting of innocent people.

In fact, research has consistently shown that few abusers are ever identified or incarcerated. Estimates suggest that only 3% of all cases of child sexual abuse (Finkelhor & Dziuba-Leatherman, 1994; Timnick, 1985) and only 12% of rapes involving children are ever reported to police (Hanson et al., 1999).

Further research reveals that of the few cases reported to authorities, relatively few accused offenders are ever investigated or charged. For instance, the first National Incidence Study (Finkelhor, 1983) found that criminal action was taken in only 24% of substantiated cases of child sexual abuse -- a finding replicated by Sauzier (1989). After reviewing numerous studies, Bolen (2001) noted that in the end, offenders may be convicted in only 1-2% of cases of suspected abuse known to professionals. And even then, most convicted child molesters spend less than one year in jail.

Based on the high prevalence of sexual crimes against children on our society, it strains credulity to assume that the small number of cases that are actually prosecuted constitute a "witch-hunt", or that somehow mostly innocent people are targeted for prosecution. In fact, statistics suggest quite the opposite: child abusers are rarely identified or prosecuted.


Myth 7: If asked about abuse, children tend to exaggerate and are prone to making false accusations.

Contrary to the popular misconception that children are prone to exaggerate sexual abuse, research shows that children often minimise and deny, rather than embellish what has happened to them.

In one study, researchers examined 28 cases in which prepubescent children had tested positive for a sexually transmitted disease by forensically accepted procedures. To be
included in the study, the children had to have presented for a physical problem with no prior
disclosure or suspicion of sexual abuse and were required to have adequate expressive
language capabilities. Each of the 28 children was interviewed by a social worker trained in
abuse disclosure techniques and use of anatomically correct dolls. Only 12 of the 28 (43%)
of the abused children interviewed gave any verbal confirmation of sexual contact (Lawson,

Another study involved a perpetrator who pled guilty after videotapes documenting his abuse
ten children were found by authorities. Because of these detailed video recordings,
researchers knew exactly what had happened to these children. They were thus able to
compare what the children told investigators when they were interviewed to the videotapes.
Despite this abundance of hard physical evidence, the researchers found a significant
tendency among the children to deny or minimise their experiences. Some children simply
did not want to disclose their experiences, some had difficulties remembering them, and one
child lacked adequate concepts to understand and describe them. Even when interviews
included leading questions, none of the children embellished their accounts or accused the
perpetrator of acts that he hadn't actually committed (Sjoberg & Lindblad, 2002).

Some people believe that recantations are a sure sign that a child lied about the abuse.
However, a recent study found that pressure from family members play a significant role in
recantations. Mallory et al. (2007) examined the prevalence and predictors of recantation
among 2- to 17-year-old child sexual abuse victims. Case files (n = 257) were randomly
selected from all substantiated cases resulting in a dependency court filing in a large urban
county between 1999 and 2000. Recantation (i.e., denial of abuse post-disclosure) was
scored across formal and informal interviews. Cases were also coded for characteristics of
the child, family, and abuse. The researchers found a 23.1% recantation rate. The study
looked for but did not find evidence that these recantations resulted from potential inclusion
of cases involving false allegations. Instead, multivariate analyses supported a filial
dependency model of recantation, whereby abuse victims who were more vulnerable to
familial adult influences (i.e., younger children, those abused by a parent figure and who
lacked support from the non-offending caregiver) were more likely to recant.

Myth 8: By using repeated interviews, therapists or police can easily implant false
memories and cause false accusations among children of any age.

Although research has consistently shown that children rarely confabulate about having
been abused and false allegations have been found to be rare (Everson & Boat, 1989;
Jones & McGraw, 1987; Oates, et al., 2000), the potential for false allegations continues to
be an area of great concern in sex abuse cases. Whenever prominent adults are accused of abuse, we frequently hear allegations improper
questioning and suggestions that the child may have invented molestation stories to please
probing authority figures. We also hear concerns that inappropriate, suggestive therapies by
overzealous clinicians may have shaped or implanted the allegations. Recent research suggests that these concerns have been greatly exaggerated (Lyons,
2001). There is now a substantial body of laboratory research which finds that children are
quite reluctant to discuss embarrassing events (Lyon, 1999; 2002). Overall, laboratory
research using suggestive questioning has consistently shown that negative events,
especially events involving a child's genitals, are relatively difficult to implant in children's
statements. In fact, research shows that children are more likely to fail to report negative
experiences that actually did happen to them, than falsely remember ones that did not.

Saywitz, Goodman, Nicholas, and Moan (1991) studied the memory of 72 five and seven-
year-old girls for a standardised medical checkup. Half of the children received a vaginal and
anal examination as part of the checkup; while the other half of the children received a
scoliosis examination of their back instead. The children's memories were later solicited
through free recall, anatomically detailed doll demonstration, and direct and misleading
questions. The vast majority of vaginal and anal touch went unreported in free recall and doll
demonstration, and was only disclosed when children were asked direct, doll-aided
questions. The children who received a scoliosis exam never falsely reported genital touch in free recall or doll demonstration; and false reports were rare in response to direct questions. It is also important to point out that many abused children exhibit post-traumatic and behavioural symptoms. To date no laboratory or clinical research supports the notion that children can falsely remember elaborate details of sexual abuse perpetrated by a trusted teacher, corroborate each other’s stories in independent interviews, and develop post-traumatic symptoms -- based solely on police interviews or suggestive therapy.

Part 4

Conclusion

I have tried my best in making my marriage work before the children told me about their molestation. My house is not always spotless, although never in the state depicted by this husband. I was not always a perfect mother, but I tried my best. My children's noses did run occasionally. I allowed my children to play in mud, water and to climb trees - with me always around. The hamster was at the police station, although it did not run around. I do smoke. I am also not the most orthodox person you would find. I do have a temper and can be a bitch, but I have never had violent tendencies. I have not intentionally hurt, abuse, indoctrinate, manipulate or controlled anyone.

I believe the LORD God exists and is alive and well. I also believe that Jesus Christ is His Son. I am not a saint, but definitely not a satanist/witch.

I have tried to stay close to my children and to show them that I love them. This is made difficult, sometimes impossible, and mostly prevented.

I have not lied about what I had heard my children say or anything else. It is my children that are placed in direct and imminent risk of being emotionally and physically killed by this lying, careless, abusive, insecure, emotionless situation.

How many direct lies can possibly be told, before someone is not found “worthy of the trust of a court”, or even examined closely before allowing that person to raise three small children?

This father lied in his diary to the respected country’s court. His Skype records are false. His “description” of my violence is false. To this day he deliberately provokes situations depicting me in a bad light and create false impressions about me.

This father lied, saying I lock my children in a room. The doors have no locks. He even lied about the children’s behaviour with the hamsters.

He lied, saying that I prevented all physical contact between him and his children.

This father lied, saying he is going to stop working and handed in a letter for salary information that is falsified; also falsely saying he is still paying a mortgage.

This father lied in our native country’s court, creating the impression his molestation case was heard in the respected country’s court; when in truth the child molestation investigation/case in the respected country was stopped at prosecution level and never reached a court.

This father lied in our native country’s court, saying the children had undergone evaluation/assessment in the police molestation investigation, when they had not. It was impossible in the respected country, due to language difficulties.

This father lied in our native country’s court, where he negated having driven over one of his children after loading small children, without supervision, on a moving wagon filled with maize.

This father lied in his appeal court affidavit in our native country, saying he is stuck in the neighbouring country, because the child only had one passport when he was in possession of two valid passports for the child. He deliberately stayed out of the court and police jurisdiction, who wanted the children to be assessed for molestation in their investigation.

This father also creates difficult circumstances for these children in their already unsure situation. He leaves the children for weeks with nannies. Some he did not interview before employing, some don’t speak the children’s language and I doubt they have child care training or training in dealing with traumatised children. These nannies are instructed by him to prevent any and all contact between me and my children, even a greeting, and to record
me every time they see me. They physically push these children around, spank them and punish them to achieve this.
In one court he states he is looking for the “perfect” nanny that is why there had been so many. In another he contradicts himself claiming the nannies are scared of me that is why there had been so many! One nanny, caught in a lie, said he manipulates her. The court says this is all fabulous!

What type of person stops a mother from giving proper love to her children, even prevents her from greeting them. While claiming it is for the benefit of the children, while, in fact, this is emotionally detrimental for a child, and parental alienation is proven abuse.

I myself have been forced to look at my children out of a window everyday, to listen to their voices through the floor below me! Pure emotional torture! But, according to the “sane”, I am the “mentally ill” that is fighting against them for nothing! (I would call it child welfare.) They utilise my Christianity, anti-pedophilia and hamsters. God help us if all mentally ill people and their children receive the emotional torture and harassment that we have endured at these “sane” people’s hands.

Is it normal for authorities to arrange circumstances that financially block mentally unstable people from receiving treatment? Have these authorities not already declared, by their half means, that the accused is innocent of molestation and that I, a mother, have delusional disorder for being concerned for the lives of my children? Is being concerned for children’s lives and their normal development an evil deed, worthy of their fabricated lies and emotional punishments?

Can a person be driven insane? Maybe by somebody behaving like a monster and hooligan towards this person? I am betting, yes! Only by the grace and help of my God do I stay sane.

I find it incredible that, up to today, some “educated” people are saying, “We'll wait until these children can speak.” When in reality these children can already speak. So why the comment to wait? Is there not urgency in compassion for the lives of three small children? Is your hearts desire for them to develop Stockholm syndrome or traumatic bonding, which would diminish the chances of them ever speaking out?

I have found incredible inefficiency thriving in this situation — far away from the direction of truth or in the direction of protecting the helpless and vulnerable. What is thriving are ruining and destroying lives.

Yes, I am frustrated and have every right to be, because reality is: protecting and assessing a small child is not such an enormous issue as it is made out to be. In total it will cost less compared to one day in court and no harm is done in any way or form to the child.

I am fighting for protection and safety for my children. None of the above-mentioned spewed (without evidence) lies of this father are remotely for protection and safety of the children, but this does not stop people accusing me, amongst other unreal things, of being a “threat to the safety and wellbeing of my children”.

Their actions are detrimental to the emotional and physical safety and wellbeing of me and my children. Children whom I had given birth to and love.